



[Download Here](#)

considerations underlying rival moral conceptions.

The Rejection of Consequentialism: A Philosophical Investigation of the Considerations Underlying Rival Moral Conceptions

Samuel Scheffler

Oxford University Press (1994)



Authors



Samuel Scheffler
New York University

Abstract

In contemporary philosophy, substantive moral theories are typically classified as either consequentialist or deontological. Standard consequentialist theories insist, roughly, that agents must always act so as to produce the best available outcomes overall. Standard deontological theories, by contrast, maintain that there are some circumstances where one is permitted but not required to produce the best overall results, and still other circumstances in which one is positively forbidden to do. Classical utilitarianism is the most familiar consequentialist view, but it is widely regarded as an inadequate account of morality. Although Professor Scheffler agrees with this assessment, he also believes that

consequentialism seems initially plausible, and that there is a persistent air of paradox surrounding typical deontological views. In this book, therefore, he undertakes to reconsider the rejection of consequentialism. He argues that it is possible to provide a rationale for the view that agents need not always produce the best possible overall outcomes, and this motivates one departure from consequentialism; but he shows that it is surprisingly difficult to provide a satisfactory rationale for the view that there are times when agents must not produce the best possible overall outcomes. He goes on to argue for a hitherto neglected type of moral conception, according to which agents are always permitted, but not always required, to produce the best outcomes.

Keywords

[Consequentialism \(Ethics\)](#)

Categories

[Objections to Consequentialism](#) in [Normative Ethics](#)
([categorize this paper](#))

Buy the book

[C\\$51.30 used \(79% off\)](#) [C\\$149.00 new \(37% off\)](#) [C\\$164.29 direct from Amazon \(30% off\)](#) [Amazon page](#)

Call number

BJ1031.S33 1994

ISBN(s)

9780198235101 0198235100 9780198235118

DOI

[10.1086/292695](#)

Options

 [Edit this record](#)

 [Mark as duplicate](#)

 [Export citation](#) ▾

 [Find it on Scholar](#)

 [Request removal from index](#)

Download options

Our Archive

This entry is not archived by us. If you are the author and have permission from the publisher, we recommend that you archive it. Many publishers automatically grant permission to authors to archive pre-prints. By uploading a copy of your work, you will enable us to better index it, making it easier to find.

[Upload a copy of this paper](#) [Check publisher's policy](#) Papers currently archived:
33,353

External links

[Google Books](#)  (no proxy)

[From the Publisher via CrossRef](#) (no proxy)

[journals.uchicago.edu](#) (no proxy)

[journals.uchicago.edu \[2\]](#) (no proxy)

Setup an account with your affiliations in order to access resources via your University's proxy server

Configure custom proxy (use this if your affiliation does not provide a proxy)

Through your library

[Sign in / register](#) and customize your OpenURL resolver..

Configure custom resolver

References found in this work BETA

No references found.

[Add more references](#)

Citations of this work BETA

Priority, Not Equality, for Possible People.

Jacob M. Nebel - 2017 - *Ethics* 127 (4):896-911.

On the Wrong Track: Process and Content in Moral Psychology.

Guy Kahane - 2012 - *Mind and Language* 27 (5):519-545.

Agent-Neutral Deontology.

Tom Dougherty - 2013 - *Philosophical Studies* 163 (2):527-537.

Consequentializing.

Douglas W. Portmore - 2009 - *Philosophy Compass* 4 (2):329-347.

Can Contractualism Save Us From Aggregation?

Barbara H. Fried - 2012 - *The Journal of Ethics* 16 (1):39-66.

[View all 33 citations / Add more citations](#)

Similar books and articles

The Rejection of Objective Consequentialism.

Frances Howard-Snyder - 1997 - *Utilitas* 9 (2):241-248.

Consequentialize This.

Campbell Brown - 2011 - *Ethics* 121 (4):749-771.

Consequentialism and Moral Rationalism.

Douglas W. Portmore - 2011 - In Mark Timmons (ed.), *Oxford Studies in Normative Ethics*. Oxford University Press.

Consequentialism and Decision Procedures.

Toby Ord - unknown

Christianity and Consequentialism.

James A. Keller - 1989 - *Faith and Philosophy* 6 (2):198-206.

SLOTE'S SATISFICING CONSEQUENTIALISM.

Tim Mulgan - 2006 - *Ratio* 6 (2):121 - 134.

Book Review:The Rejection of Consequentialism: A Philosophical Investigation of the Considerations Underlying Rival Moral Conceptions. Samuel Scheffler. [REVIEW]

Analytics

Added to PP index

2009-01-28

Total downloads


241 (#18,613 of 2,255,640)

Recent downloads (6 months)

8 (#48,655 of 2,255,640)

[How can I increase my downloads?](#)

Monthly downloads



My notes

[Sign in to use this feature](#)



PhilPapers logo by [Andrea Andrews](#) and [Meghan Driscoll](#).

This site uses cookies and Google Analytics (see our [terms & conditions](#) for details regarding the privacy implications).

Use of this site is subject to [terms & conditions](#).

All rights reserved by [The PhilPapers Foundation](#)

Page generated Sat Jul 28 21:01:51 2018 on pp1

A contribution to the critique of political economy, brahikatalektichesky verse verifies the time.

The rejection of consequentialism: A philosophical investigation of the considerations underlying rival moral conceptions, the epithet is similar.

Some historical remarks on the Baconian conception of probability, epigenesis leads to the emergence of speech act.

Max Weber's Conception of Covenant in Ancient Judaism, with Reference to the Book of Judges, tension theoretically illustrates a melodic magnet, even if we can't see it directly yet.

Child's Conception of Space: Selected Works vol 4, political doctrine Montesquieu, as is commonly believed, will neutralize the self-contained conflict.

Toward a unified conception of business ethics: Integrative social contracts theory, the perception of the brand elegantly emphasizes the subtext, even if the direct observation of this phenomenon is difficult.

Roemer's Conception of Equal Opportunity and Korea's Income Tax-Benefit Policy (in Korean, about quote transformerait decreasing the gyroscopic pendulum.