


# Mount Sinai and Mount Zion: Discontinuity and continuity in the book of Hebrews.

[Download Here](#)



## [In die Skriflig](#)

*On-line version* ISSN 2305-0853

*Print version* ISSN 1018-6441

**In Skriflig (Online) vol.47 n.1 Pretoria Jan. 2013**

### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

## **Mount Sinai and Mount Zion: Discontinuity and continuity in the book of Hebrews**

### **Berg Sinai en Berg Sion: Diskontinuuïteit en kontinuïteit in die brief aan die Hebreërs**

**Hulisani Ramantswana**

Department of Biblical and Ancient Studies, Unisa, Pretoria, South Africa

[Correspondence](#)

#### Services on

#### Article

- English
- Article i
- Article 1
- How to
- Automate

#### Indicators

- Access :

#### Related link

#### Share

- 
- More

Permalir

#### ABSTRACT

The author of Hebrews draws significant contrasts between Mount Sinai and Mount Zion which both p  
old covenant. For the author of Hebrews the former mountain, Mount Sinai, only had limited significa

new covenant, whereas the latter mountain, Mount Zion, continued to have significance in the new covenant. Mount Zion is viewed as a shadow of the heavenly reality, which is the true destination for the pilgrimage community. The locus of encounter or meeting between God and Israel only played a transitory role, whereas Mount Zion has significance as the destination, the dwelling place of God and his people.

---

## OPSOMMING

Die skrywer van Hebreërs wys op betekenisvolle teenstellings tussen Berg Sinai en Berg Sion, wat elke die ou verbond gespeel het. Vir die Hebreërskrywer het Berg Sinai egter beperkte betekenis vir die nuwe verbond nog steeds betekenis het. Berg Sion word as skaduwee van die hemelse werklikheid beskou, wat die nuwe verbond van die pelgrimsgemeenskap is. Berg Sinai, as die lokus van ontmoeting tussen God en Israel, speel slegs 'n rol terwyl Berg Sion steeds beduidende betekenis het as bestemming en woonplek van God en sy volk.

---

## Introduction

The Book of Hebrews pictures the new covenant people or the church as a community on a pilgrimage. Hebrews 11:1-16 describes it, Israel's wandering through the wilderness appears in Hebrews as a type for the new covenant. The pilgrimage is one that is deeply rooted in the old covenant community and it is a continuation thereof. The promise of entering God's rest still stands. Israel's wandering in the desert and their entrance into Canaan are part of the narrative within a macro-narrative in which God's rest, which God entered after he had completed his work, still stands. Secondly, Old Testament believers looked beyond their micro-narratives through faith; however, they did not receive the promises:

- 'Abraham ... looked forward to the city with foundations, whose architect and builder is God' (Heb 11:10).
- '[Abraham, Sarah, Isaac, and Jacob] were still living by faith when they died ... they were longing for a heavenly one. Therefore God is not ashamed to be called their God, for he has prepared a city for them' (Heb 11:13-16).
- 'By faith Moses, when he had grown up, refused to be known as the son of Pharaoh's daughter ... He chose to be afflicted with Christ as of greater value than the treasures of Egypt, because he was looking ahead to his reward' (Heb 11:24-25).
- Regarding all the heroes of faith, it is stated: 'These were all commended for their faith, yet none of them received what was promised. God had planned something better for us so that only together with us would they be part of that future' (Heb 11:39-40).

The author of Hebrews draws on several micro-narratives from the Old Testament to show the points of continuity and discontinuity, and points of contrasts between the old and the new covenant. In this article, I intend to draw a contrast between Mount Sinai and the heavenly Mount Zion in Hebrews 12:18-24. For some the contrast between the two mountains represents discontinuity between the old and the new covenant, which in turn represents the contrast between Judaism and Christianity (Attridge 1989:374; Gordon 2000:157; see also Lehne 1990:103; Williamson & Attridge (1989:374) contends that 'the two mountains and their symbolic equivalents are contrasted at the point of discontinuity rather than the coherence of God's action'. The idea that the contrast between Sinai and Zion expresses 'contrast' and 'discontinuity' tends to undermine the correspondences and progressive relationship between the two mountains which form the basis of the contrast. I will argue that for the author of Hebrews, Mount Sinai is a place of limited character and of limited significance whereas Mount Zion as a destination has perpetual significance; the relationship between continuity and discontinuity flow from the contrast that is drawn. The elements of continuity and discontinuity when the contrast drawn between Sinai and Zion in Hebrews 12:18-24 is viewed as a conceptual framework that converges with other symbols within the author's redemptive-historical framework that spans from creation to the eschatological earth and heavens at the climax of which stands a superior covenant mediator, Jesus. The contrast drawn between Sinai and Zion in Hebrews 12:18-24 is not 'the' conceptual framework from w

Hebrews developed his argument throughout the book; rather, the contrast drawn has its place and function within a redemptive-historical framework. For the author of Hebrews, the primordial act of creation set the stage for a history which culminates in the eschatological transformation of creation (Heb 1:2; 12:26-28). It is within this broader historical framework that the author of Hebrews makes a contrast between the two mountains, Mount Sinai and Mount Zion, and within which the pilgrimage motif is set not only as a forward movement but also as a return movement.

Exposition in Hebrews 12:18-24 is given by the following pattern of contrast: 'For you have not come' [for you have not come] in contrast with 'For you have come' [but you have come] in Hebrews 12:22. The pilgrimage motif is characterized by a 'return motion' just as we find in Hebrews 12:18-24 (Käsemann 1984:22-23). In Hebrews 3-4, where the leading motif is 'rest' [rest], the goal of the new covenant people as a wandering community is to enter God's rest. The 'rest' into which they took possession of the land of Canaan is now viewed as a type of God's eternal rest, which is always available. As Johnsson (1978:239-251) points out, the tone in that section is one of expectancy - the goal has not yet been realised. In Hebrews 12:18-24, the goal is no longer just eschatological; it is in some sense realised: 'You have come'. The backdrop in this case is Israel's experience at Sinai and Israel's experience at Mount Zion.

## Mount Sinai - Mountain of transition

Although Mount Sinai is not explicitly mentioned by name in Hebrews 12:18-21, the author is alluding to the events surrounding the giving of the law when Israel was camped at the desert of Sinai. In this retelling of the Exodus account (Ex 19:1-20:23), its retelling in Deuteronomy (Dt 4:9-5:33), and interpretive use in Hebrews, the author's use of the events at Mount Sinai in this instance evoke, *inter alia*, three things: the theophany at Sinai and the fear motif.

### Theophany motif

The author of Hebrews introduces the contrast between Mounts Sinai and Zion by reminding his audience that the pilgrimage has not led them: 'For you have not come'; then it continues to offer a description of the pilgrimage. The already noted points to Mount Sinai, the locus of the old covenant. The description first of all recalls the theophany at Sinai. Mount Sinai is used elsewhere to refer to the place of theophany and the giving of the law (Ex 19:18, 20; 20:22; Dt 4:36; cf. 4 Ezr 3:17ff.; Bar 2:28; Sir 17:11ff.; 24:33; Jdg 5:14). Mount Sinai, due to the divine manifestation, could not be touched; anything that touched it, even if it was an animal, had to die (Ex 19:12-13). This terrifying for the Israelites: the mountain was on fire, darkness was over it, as were gloom and tempest. In the midst of this terror, there was the sound of a trumpet and a voice speaking. The Israelites requested Moses to be their mediator rather than risk their lives by directly conversing with God (Ex 20:18-19). As the author of Hebrews also points out, they heard the voice they begged that no further word be spoken to them (Heb 12:19). Then he continues to state that nobody could approach that mountain, not even an animal.

In the Exodus narrative, the preparation for the theophanic manifestation at Mount Sinai clearly set a boundary around the mountain. For the people to partake in the meeting they had to abstain from sexual intercourse and to abstain from eating (Ex 19:10-12, 23; cf. Dt 5:1-5). This was to set God apart as a Holy One separated from all imperfections and from this theophany and from the other theophanic manifestations that a place where God reveals himself and is approached from the ground and protected by explicit restrictions (cf. Ex 3:1-5; Harrington 2001:46). The burning fire on the mountain (2001) points out:

[...] is a good symbol of God's exalted, dangerous holiness. Fire separates pure from impure, crucible of which cannot be bridged. For that which can stand its heat, fire functions as purifier and perfecter. It is even uncontrollable; if unleashed it represents a dangerous threat which is respectable by all. (Harrington 2001:46)

In line with this, the author of Hebrews, as will become clear subsequently, stresses the fact that this mountain is unapproachable.

This also needs to be understood within the broader religious context of the ancient Near East, where mountains played an important role (see Clifford 1972; Levenson 1985:111-137; Talmon 1978:427-447). Mountain

locations where the gods held their divine assemblies and also as the homes of the gods. For example was thought to live on Mount Zaphon which in the Bible came to be an epithet for Zion (Levenson 198 the ancient world to holy mountains, as Clements (1965:1-2) points out, could be explained by the exp peoples for the gods to continue to reveal their presence in certain localities where a theophany occur certain localities were identified as their dwelling places and altars were erected. Attached to this was t on which a god dwelt was a chosen, a 'holy spot' or 'holy mountain'. This is evident in the following U by Clifford (1972):

Come, and I will seek it,

In the midst of my mountain, divine Zaphon,

In the holy place, the mountain of my heritage,

In the chosen spot, on the hill of victory. (p. 68)

When God descended on Mount Sinai it became a holy and unapproachable spot. However, when Go to Mount Sinai, he did not make Mount Sinai his permanent dwelling place. Mount Sinai was rather a God as he continued to narrow the gap between himself and the people by descending even further fr tabernacle. God became a wanderer with his people in the desert dwelling in a tent until many genera of David and Solomon when he chose Jerusalem and Zion to be his dwelling. Mount Sinai was never i destination for Israel in their pilgrimage, nor did God make it his permanent dwelling. Mount Sinai ra in Israel's pilgrimage.

### ***Visio Dei* and Motif of Fear**

The theophany goes hand in hand with the *visio Dei* motif. The author of Hebrews uses the verb of *see* about this linkage: 'Indeed, so fearful was *the sight* [ μ ]... In the Exodus narrative the two also go 19:20-24; 20:18-21; 33:12-13; 40:35-38). 'To the Israelites the glory of the Lord (at Sinai) looked [äàÆøÀí on top of the mountain' (Ex 24:17). The forbidding voice warned the Israelites not to 'force their way th and many of them perish' (Ex 19:21). The *visio Dei* motif is also confirmed by God's words in Exodus 2 [äàÈøÈ] for yourselves that I have spoken to you from heaven.' The significance of the *visio Dei* motif i passages, which strengthen the connection between seeing and death (see Ex 20:19; cf. Dt 5:24-27; Hag pleaded with God to show him his glory, God warns Moses saying: 'You cannot see my face, for no on (Ex 33:20).

It should also be observed that the author of Hebrews relates the theophany motif with the *visio Dei* a so fearful [ ] was the sight that Moses said, "I am filled with fear and trembling" (Heb 12:21). The fe Exodus 20:20, is the basis of the Sinai covenant: 'Moses said to the people, "Do not be afraid. God has the fear of God will be with you to keep you from sinning.'" As Jacob (1992:578) observes, the intent of 'was to restrain the people from sin'. However, the author of Hebrews expands the fear motif to includ

The words ascribed to Moses in this regard are not recorded in the Old Testament. The author was ma tradition that is attested elsewhere in the New Testament and in haggadic tradition, reproducing it in k with the other interpretive traditions. In Acts 7:32, Stephen describes Moses as trembling with regard to which Moses saw on Mount Sinai: '*and trembling*, Moses did not dare look'. The textual basis was prok hid his face for he was afraid to look at God' (Hughes 1977:543; Lane 1991:464; Thurston 1979:30-31) a 'I feared the anger of and wrath of the Lord .' (Bruce 1990:354-355). As Hughes (1977:543) expresses it, the divine presence within the fiery cloud, must have been inspired with awe beyond the rest of the pe below.'

It should be noted, however, that a similar motif is found in the *Bayblonian Talmud: Sabbat* 88b accord Sinai declared, 'I was afraid that the angels could consume me with the breath of their mouths.' The th is an important one in the Old Testament and is fully developed in wisdom literature, where the 'fear ( in a positive sense identified with wisdom. However, the fear in this case is one, which is associated w of the Most Holy God. The emphasis in Hebrews 12:18-24 is on the holiness of God, in which there is r

The Sinai covenant, or the old covenant, is one that made man conscious of his imperfection and show worship in fear due to his unworthiness.

### **Mount Sinai as representative of the old covenant of fear**

We have established so far that Mount Sinai, although not explicitly mentioned by name, is identified as the locus of the giving of the law, the mountain of encounter between God and Israel. Mount Sinai is where Israel covenantally came together to journey together to their final destination. The author of Hebrews focuses on the abolition of the significance of the covenantal encounter between God and Israel. Rather, he focuses on establishing the weakness of that encounter. The chronotopic encounter at Mount Sinai, an encounter between God and Israel is presented as weak. It was a fearful encounter. The sight was terrifying, the voice terrifying so that the people were terrified and Moses, the mediator between Israel and God, was also

In so doing, the author continues with his hermeneutical strategy that can already be observed in the opening, pointing out the weakness of the old covenant that was established at Sinai. The old covenant failed to reach the perfection demanded by God: 'If perfection could have been attained ... why was there still need for another? Again he states:

The former regulation is set aside because it was weak and useless (for the law made nothing perfect), and the introduction of the better hope through which we draw near to God. (Heb 7:18-19)

The same idea is repeated in Hebrews 10:

The law is only a shadow of the good things that are coming -not the realities themselves. For if they had been, never, by the same sacrifices repeated endlessly year after year, would they have made perfect those who draw near to God. Could, would they have not stopped being offered? (vv. 1-2a)

The old covenant is characterised by imperfection: the people failed to remain within the covenant boundaries (Heb 7-8). The Levitical priesthood was administered by fallible and weak men who also needed atoning for their sins. The gifts and sacrifices that they offered could not perfect the conscience of the worshiper (Heb 9:9); the sanctuary in which they were offered was a copy of that in heaven (Heb 9:23-24). The law was a conscious reminder of man's sinfulness and holiness that God demands (Heb 10:1-4, esp. vv. 4-5).

Mount Sinai, as Levenson (1985) describes it:

[I]s the mountain of Israel's infancy, of the days of Moses, when the nation, as the story has it, was only a few generations old. Mount Sinai is the location of only one great event in Israel's history, the revelatory event (p. 89)

However, for the author of Hebrews, this great revelatory event was one that was clouded in fear, the fear cast negatively as it was contrasted with the joy at Mount Zion. The idea that meeting with God is dangerous recalls the post-fall encounter between God and the first human couple, Adam and Eve. God's presence, once unthreatening, was now perceived as threatening, and so out of fear Adam and Eve hid from God (Gn 3:10).

However, the covenantal encounter at Mount Sinai is not simply presented as weak, it was also transitional. At Mount Sinai, both God and the people of Israel were in transition. Mount Sinai was not to be a permanent dwelling place as terrifying as the initial encounter was, the covenant-making process proceeded. God instructed Israel to build a tabernacle for him that would house him in their midst. God narrowed the gap between himself and Israel by making his dwelling place in the midst of Israel, becoming a wanderer with Israel to her final destination. The final destination for the people of Israel was Jerusalem, on Mount Zion (1 Ki 8:1). On the other hand, Israel at Mount Sinai still looked forward to the land of promise there within the land for 'the place the Lord will choose' (Dt 12:5, 11, 14, 18, 21, 26; 14:23, 24, 25; 15:20; 16:1, 10; 18:6, 26, 31), that is, a place where he will 'put his name there' (Dt 12:5, 21; 14:24) or 'make his name known there' (Dt 14:23; 16:2, 6, 11; 26:2). Israel became a carrier of God to his dwelling place. For the author of Hebrews, the covenantal encounter at Mount Sinai is not simply presented as weak, it was also transitional. The community unlike Israel at Sinai has come to Mount Zion.

## Mount Zion - The destination

Mount Sinai and Mount Zion both played significant roles within the old covenant. However, for the new covenant, Mount Zion has a continual significance whereas the significance of Mount Sinai does not. The boundaries of the old covenant. As we have already observed, Mount Sinai played a transitory role as God prepared to make his dwelling amongst men. As Levenson (1985:89) argues, as significant as the Sinai was in altering Israel's religion, 'the mountain itself had no ongoing significance for the people and their destiny was transformed there.'

Mount Zion, a hill within the bounds of the city of Jerusalem, became the centre of Israel's cult and the highest mountain on earth, and the peripheral city of Jerusalem became the centre of the world (Anderson and Clifford 1972:154-173; Levenson 1985:111-137). Mount Zion passed into the hands of the Israelites during the time when he conquered the city of Jerusalem from the Jebusites, formerly known under the name Jebus as Mount Zion (2 Sm 5:6-9; 2 Chr 11:5). The name Jerusalem, however, predates the conquest of the city by David. There are correspondences between the king of Jerusalem and the Egyptian pharaoh in the 14th century BC (Tel Aviv Museum 2003: COS 3.92A & 3.92B). This city was also known as Salem (Ps 76:3), which was initially a name of a Canaanite city (Levenson 1949:72-83). In the patriarchal narrative, we are told about the encounter between Abraham and Melchizedek of Salem (Gn 14:18). This, as Levenson (1985:93) points out, was 'perhaps in adumbration of the priestly role of the city from David's time on'.

Jerusalem was transformed in a unique way into a city of God by two complementary events, the bringing of the ark to the city by David (2 Sm 6; 1 Chr 13:1-14; 15:1-16:43) and the construction of the temple by Solomon (1 Chr 6:11; also Alexander 2008:45). However, as the story of the old covenant unfolded, Jerusalem, the city of God, became a name as a symbol of the transcendent action of God in creating a people for himself in the world, that is, the Kingdom' (Porteous 1967:109).

## Mount Zion as God's Heavenly Dwelling of Joyful Celebration

The author of Hebrews uses Mount Zion synonymously with 'the city of the living God,' which is in turn referred to as 'heavenly Jerusalem' (Heb 12:22). *Pace* Westcott (1909:413) and Casey (1976:337-346), who treat Mount Zion and Jerusalem as separate entities in order to accentuate the distinction made between the three designations - I regard them as referring to one and the same destination for the pilgrim community. The synonymous use of Mount Zion and Jerusalem is one that is also deeply rooted in the old dispensation (e.g. 2 Sm 5:6-8; Ps 147:12f.; Am 1:2; Mi 4:2; Isa 24:24; Jer 31:38; Jl 3:17). In the cultic hymns, Mount Zion is the dwelling place of God (Ps 9:12; 74:2), God's holy city (Ps 99:9) and the home of his holy house (Ps 5:7; 27:4). The tradition of the election of Zion is based upon the fact that Jerusalem after David had captured the city from the Jebusites. 'With or without the temple, the presence of God in Jerusalem meant that Yahweh was now dwelling there and had chosen this place for his abode' (Hayes 1972:109). Mount Zion as God's abode was transformed into a sacred place where no imperfection was allowed.

The city motif recurs in Hebrews under a variety of metaphors: 'the place' (Heb 11:8), 'the heavenly home' (Heb 11:16), 'the unshakable kingdom' (Heb 12:28), and 'the abiding city which is to come' (Heb 13:14). Thus, the idea of the heavenly city in Heb 12:22 ties this section with Hebrews 11 together, wherein the author introduces the idea of an eschatological city. The idea of the heavenly city in Hebrews 11 is that 'God's people throughout the OT looked beyond the present life to a heavenly reward, a better country, the city of God, but did not attain to it' (Johnsson 1978:240). They regarded themselves as citizens of the heavenly city on earth (Heb 11:13) because 'they were longing for a better country - a heavenly one' (Heb 11:16). Like the people of the old covenant, the new covenant people are supposed to live as aliens and strangers in the world (see also 1 Pet 2:11). For the author of Hebrews, the destination for the community of faith is heavenly, not earthly. The citizens of the heavenly city are those whose names are written in the heavenly book of life (Heb 12:23; cf. Phlp 3:20). The heavenly city is where Jesus, the mediator of the new covenant, resides and continues to fulfil his duty (Heb 12:24).

The city motif should also be identified with God's rest, which he entered into at creation. The author of Hebrews develops the depth of the issue of God's rest in Chapter 4. The promise of God's rest, or the Sabbath rest, still remains unfulfilled (Heb 4:1, 6). Israel's wilderness experience is analogous to and has a typological bearing on new covenant believers (see also indebted to Richard B. Gaffin with the lecture he gave at Westminster Theological Seminary). The Christian is currently living in 'today' [  $\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\upsilon\tau\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon\iota\varsigma$  ] which is not the situation of rest in Hebrews 4:7-8, rather, it is the wild

experienced by Israel when they entered Canaan was only a type of God's rest at creation, which believers enter. The Christian community is still on a pilgrimage; God's rest is still available for many to enter though not yet fully manifested itself, it is still 'the city which is to come' (Heb 13:14), 'but the privileges of its rest are enjoyed by faith' (Bruce 1990:357).

The encounter of the new covenant community with God takes place at a different spatial location. Though the earthly Jerusalem as significant as it was in the old covenant, is no longer the locus of encounter for the new covenant community. The earthly Mount Zion like Mount Sinai also represents the old order. At Qumran they rejected the Zion cult, the temple - its priesthood and rulers continued to use the Zion symbolism for the present and the future reality (Knibb 1987:3-6; Vermes 1995:19-35). The Qumranian community in the interim continued to use the temple until such time when the earthly Zion or the temple would be restored (1Q32; 2Q24; 5Q15; 11Q13:2-9; cf. *Apoc. Abr.* 29:17-18; *Sib. Or.* 5.249-255, 420-427; see Hughes 1977:546; Isaacs 2002:69). The author of Hebrews shares a view similar to Paul's. Paul, in his allegory in Galatians 4:21-34 regarding Hagar and Sarah, takes them as representing two covenants: Hagar represents the covenant of Mount Sinai, and Sarah represents the covenant of the new Jerusalem. Paul regards the present (earthly) city of Jerusalem to correspond with Mount Sinai. As Hughes (2009) notes:

The heaven-earth duality is not for our author an antithetical dualism: heaven and earth are not mutually exclusive. [Hebrews] 11:13-36, the earth is depicted as a place of sojourn, not the final settlement of the people. The 'heavenly' country is valued above the existing earth, but the distinction is hierarchical ('better' than the earth) (p. 134)

Furthermore, Mount Zion unlike Mount Sinai, is an inhabited city. The author of Hebrews gives a welcome to Mount Zion, comparing it favourably to the darkness, gloom, tempest, trumpets and voice coming from the mountain which terrified the Israelites at the foot of Mount Sinai. On the heavenly Mount Zion there is life, and multitudes of angels are seen, all in 'festal array [ ]'. The term *ekklesia*, as some commentators (Hughes 1977:547; Attridge 1989:220) have suggested, gives the assembly of angels a festive character - joy, celebration and worship. However, in their company is the 'assembly [ ] of the first-born who are enrolled in heaven' and 'to whom the angels are men made perfect' (Heb 12:23). The covenant people of God are given a title of honour, which in Hebrew is *primogenitus*, Jesus, 'firstborn' [ ] (Lane 1991; Samuel 1998:68), thus, indicating that the enrolment in heaven is for those who are the firstborn par excellence. Furthermore, as DeSilva (2000) argues:

The fact that these 'firstborn' were 'inscribed in heaven' recalls the Jewish notion of the names of the righteous being written in the 'books' of heaven (Dan 12:1; Rev 13:8). Here, however, since no books are available, the image may call up more strongly in the hearers' mind 'enrollment' (i.e. as a citizen) in the city of the living God, the enjoyment of full participation for which the people of faith, now dead, had sought (Heb 12:14) for which the hearers now are themselves being trained ([Heb] 12:5-11). (p. 467)

The heavenly Mount Zion is pictured positively as a place of joy in contrast to the fear that was experienced at Mount Sinai. The motif of joyous celebration also recalls the joyous occasion when David 'brought the Ark of God from the city of David with rejoicing [2] ' [ ] Sm 6:12). However, in this case it is not God who is received with joy, but the pilgrim community that is received in the city of the living God with joyous celebration. The heavenly Mount Zion is inviting and welcoming, whereas the picture of Mount Sinai is uninviting. To come to Mount Zion is to come to a populated mountain in contrast to the uninhabited Mount Sinai; it is to come into the heavenly, the company of angels; it is to come into contact again with the familiar, God's firstborn child who is written in heaven, the righteous ones; it is to come into the presence of his majesty, King Jesus, who sits at the right hand of God as a mediator of the new covenant.

### **Already and not yet**

For the author of Hebrews, the church as a pilgrim community 'has come to Mount Zion' (Heb 12:22). The pilgrim community, unlike Israel at the foot of Mount Sinai, has come to its destination, Mount Zion; and

like Israel at Mount Sinai, they have not yet reached their final destination. The latter is evident from the exhortation sounded to the new covenant community: they were to run with perseverance (Heb 12:1), fainthearted (Heb 12:3), beware of the 'root of bitterness' (Heb 12:15) and irreligion (Heb 12:16-17), and speaking (Heb 12:25; Johnsson 1978:241). The pilgrimage has not yet culminated in Mount Zion, in the heavenly Jerusalem. However, we should ask ourselves in what sense this new covenant community has reached the heavenly Mount Zion and yet was still not there?

For the author, the answer to this question lies in the fact that this is a community of faith, a faith that transcends the old covenant and those in the new covenant. This is a faith which is not simply directed toward the future unseen realities that already exist, though they will not be manifested till the consummation (Barrett 1978:19). The new covenant pilgrim community has an advantage over those in the old dispensation because 'for the things which God will one day enact is no longer entirely unseen; it has been manifested in Jesus' (Barrett 1978:19). Barrett points out, it is so because:

He [Jesus] himself is our forerunner ([Heb.] vi.20), and it is precisely because he has passed through the Most Holy Place ([Heb] vi. 20; x. 20) and entered now into the holy place in the city of God that we can be confident that in due course we shall endure the time of shaking ([Heb] xii. 26) and reach the city that is to come (Heb 11:10). (p. 383)

For the author of Hebrews, the new covenant people already tasted the heavenly reality through their brother, Jesus, who had travelled the route on their behalf and he was there making the preparations for the new covenant community in terms of their union and solidarity with the ascended Christ, believers 'have already tasted the heavenly reality' (Heb 11:10). Jerusalem. As Samuel (1998:56) points out, the verb  $\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon\iota\varsigma$  [to come or to approach] (Heb 12:18, 22) is used with regard to the call for believers to approach the throne of grace (Heb 4:16); with regard to those who approach Jesus, who intercede for them (Heb 7:25); with regard to those who had to approach to worship in the old covenant through sacrifices that had to be repeated endlessly (Heb 10:1); with regard to the confidence of the believers in Jesus through Jesus, who entered the Most Holy Place (Heb 10:22); and to show the impossibility of approaching the Most Holy Place (Heb 11:6; Samuel 1998:567). The new covenant people were already able to approach the Most Holy Place through Jesus, who went ahead of them as their high priest.

The pilgrim community is one that walks by faith, which for the author of Hebrews entails two things: hope for and being certain of that which they do not see. The two go hand in hand: faith entails expectancy of the unseen things hoped for. On the other hand, the unseen has become a reality through Jesus, who has "already tasted the powers of the age to come," though the full glory of that life is not yet' (Robinson 1978:11). Mount Zion, which has existed eternally in heaven, is now realised in and through Christ, yet it is still to come.

However, the author of Hebrews projects three comings to the heavenly Mount Zion. Firstly, the new covenant community through faith has already come to the heavenly Mount Zion (Heb 11:1; 12:22); secondly when they die they will be taken to the assembly of God's firstborn children, whose names are written in heaven (Heb 12:22); and thirdly they will be taken to the city that God shakes the earth and also the heavens (Heb 12:25). The final coming is in line with the author of Hebrews who says 'the earth is the place of sojourn in the expectation of a city that is to come. The formulation in Hebrews 11:10 'we looked forward to the city that has foundations, whose architect and builder is God' and Hebrews 13:14 'we have a better and lasting city, but we seek the city which is to come', 'suggests a future "earthly" manifestation of the city which is the heavenly reality' (Adams 2009:138). Thus, the author of Hebrews seems to project on the one hand an ascent to the heavenly Mount Zion, and on the other hand, a coming or a descent of Mount Zion, the heavenly Mount Zion. The final, climactic moment of entering the heavenly Mount Zion is still to come.

### **Warning and Blessing**

The heavenly Mount Zion is presented in Hebrews as both the throne of judgement and the throne of blessing. Hebrews 12 tells his audience:

[Y]ou have come to God, the judge of all men, and to the spirits of righteous men made perfect, to Jesus the mediator of the new covenant and to the sprinkled blood that speaks a better word than the blood of Abel (Heb 12:23b-24)



There is an intimate relationship between the two, even in the way that the author juxtaposes God as just righteous men made perfect on the one hand, and Jesus as mediator of the new covenant, whose blood of Abel, on the other. God's justice and mercy go hand in hand, there is no mercy without justice. Holiness has been satisfied through a perfect mediator who stands between God and man, Jesus who 'by means of his blood has perfected forever those who are being consecrated to God' (Heb 10:14). Man can have confidence in holiness only through the blood of Jesus, the 'great priest of the house of God' (Heb 10:21).

The phrase 'spirits of the righteous ones made perfect' gives the impression of 'complete divine favour' as judge (Dumbrell 1976:158-59).

It would be wrong, however, to suppose that the fear motif no longer plays a significant role in the new exhortations in the book of Hebrews carry a negative slant of warning. For the author of Hebrews, God however, this time he has spoken through an even greater theophany, the Son, 'who is the radiance of the exact representation of his being [ὁ ἀκτίτης ἀγαθή] (Heb 1:3a). The Son, on the other hand, he speaks through his blood, which speaks better than the blood of Abel. In Hebrews 12, the author of warning regarding this voice:

See to it that you do not refuse him who speaks. If they did not escape when they refused him who speaks on earth, how much less will we escape if we reject the one who warns from heaven! (v. 25)

This serves as a warning to the new covenant community not to be like the Israelites who begged not to be destroyed by refusing to take heed of the gracious voice which proceeds from the blood of Christ (Hughes 1977:5). The voice that proceeds from the heavenly Mount Zion is more powerful than that which proceeded from Mount Sinai, the voice 'shook the earth' (Ex 19:18), whereas in the eschaton the voice of God will shake the earth (Oberholtzer 1989:71-72). The shaking of the earth and the heavens is one which would result in the 'removal of things which can be shaken' [ἀσείστα] (Heb 12:27); only those things which are unshakable will remain. The new heaven and new earth. The coming judgement is one which is inescapable for those who fail to heed the voice (Thompson 1975:580-587).

The pilgrim community is especially warned against apostasy (Heb 6:4-6; 10:26-31; 12:15-17). For those who reject God's truth, having been enlightened by God's theophany through his Son, are warned to wait for judgement' [ἀποδοτέον] because they have trampled the Son of God underfoot, undermined the blood of the covenant, insulted the Spirit of God (Heb 10:27; cf. Ex 24:17; Dt 4:24; 5:25; Ps 21:9; Is 30:27, 30; 33:10). To drive this home, 'it is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God' (Heb 10:31). The fear motif, with regard to judgement, is not the basis for the worship of God but a terror which follows those who continue in unbelief: 'No sacrifice will be acceptable, only a fearful expectation of judgment and of raging fire that will consume the enemies of God' (Heb 10:27). To believe in Jesus the high priest approach the most holy place boldly and with assurance of faith (Heb 10:19). God expects those who draw near to him to pursue holiness 'without which no one can see God' (Heb 12:14). Mount Zion, as Kasemann (1984:53) notes, is viewed:

*As a site of the proclamation and the diathēkē established and guaranteed in Jesus' blood is the promise to the people of God and its wandering, just as in the shape of the 'inheritance' to be won it will be the final destination of the wandering people of God, and just as Jesus is both 'pioneer and perfecter of our faith. (Heb 12:1, emphasis)]*

To come to Mount Zion is to escape God's judgement - the fearful theophanic manifestation that will appear as the raging fire that will consume his enemies.

## Concluding observations

The hermeneutical strategy that the author of Hebrews employs is one of contrasting the old covenant with the new. In the case of Hebrews 12:18-24, the old covenant is contrasted unfavourably with the new covenant, but the old can only be realised through the optic lens of the new. Thus, the old is reinterpreted in light of the coming, death, resurrection, and ascension of Jesus, as an event that ushered in a new age. For the

has spoken in 'these last days' through his Son, a Son who currently sits at the right hand of God in the (Heb 1:1-3). The author of Hebrews, like Paul, discovered that the Christ event was the means through which the new covenant was inaugurated (Hooker 2009:209).

The contrast between Mount Sinai and Mount Zion forms part of the broader redemptive-historical framework from creation to the eschatological shaking of the earth and heavens. For the author of Hebrews, the micro-pilgrimage to Mount Sinai and ultimately to Mount Zion has its place and function within the broader framework, which climaxes with the Son. For the author of Hebrews, the new covenant continues God's invitation to humanity to enter into his 'Sabbath rest' (Heb 4:4, 11), alternatively identified with the 'true tabernacle to come' (Heb 11:10; 13:14); Mount Zion, the heavenly Jerusalem, the city of the living God (Heb 12:22) established at Mount Sinai only functioned on the one hand as 'a copy and a shadow' [ εἰκὼν ] of the heavenly reality (Heb 8:5), that is, a 'shadowing down,' and on the other hand, as 'symbol' [ σῆμα ] of the new age that is realised (Heb 9:9), a 'shadowing forward' to the time of the true high priest, and the true sacrifice (see Attridge 2009:100). The realised new age is no mere shadow of the heavenly reality but the actual substance of the heavenly reality. As the author of Hebrews confidently states it, 'you have come to Mount Zion and to the city of the living God, heavenly Jerusalem' (Heb 12:22).

Mount Sinai is presented as the locus of the old covenant, which has limited significance in relation to the new covenant. Mount Sinai was not the final destination both for God and Israel - it was a temporary stopping place for God to dwell amongst Israel and it was a temporary stopping place for Israel as they journeyed to the Promised Land. Mount Sinai was the place of encounter between God and Israel as they came together to continue together the journey to the Promised Land. Mount Sinai was indeed the mountain of God's descent where Israel experienced great theophanic experiences. The experience at Mount Sinai is presented as one that terrified the people of Israel and Moses, their mediator. The manifestation was so terrifying that Mount Sinai may be described as the mountain of terror.

The earthly Mount Zion, on the other hand, was the destination, the chosen site and dwelling of God, the site of the new covenant and the worshipping community. Mount Zion as the site of the new covenant is superior to Mount Sinai because it is permanent and not transitory. The earthly Mount Zion is viewed as a type or a shadow of the heavenly Mount Zion, the true destination. The experience at the heavenly Mount Zion is presented as a joyful one so that Mount Zion may be described as the mountain of joy. Heavenly Mount Zion - unlike the unpopulated Mount Sinai - is a populated mountain. For the author of Hebrews, the old covenant people through faith already experienced the heavenly reality, however, for as long as it is still the old covenant community, is still a pilgrim community awaiting the full manifestation of the heavenly Mount Zion. The new covenant continues.

For the author of Hebrews, the new covenant stands in continuity with the old covenant in its discontinuity. The new covenant supersedes the old covenant and replaces it. The supersession of the old is not the obliteration of the old and the old are interrelated *inter alia* in terms of promise-fulfilment, redemptive history, law-gospel, and various perspectives offer alternative ways of establishing the relationship. In the case of Hebrews 12:18-22, the old covenant as represented by Mount Sinai is superseded by the new covenant as represented by the heavenly Mount Zion. The old covenant has a number of motifs: the terror-joy motif, uninhabited-habited motif, transit-arrival motif, Moses-Jesus mediator motif, blood-Jesus' blood motif, earthly-heavenly motif. Thus, the new covenant as represented by heavenly Mount Zion is in some cases oppositionally better (terror-joy motif, uninhabited-habited motif) whereas in some cases it is simply better (transit-arrival motif, Moses-Jesus mediator motif, Abel's blood-Jesus' blood motif, earthly-heavenly motif).

Furthermore, similar hierarchical and oppositional contrast can be observed elsewhere in Hebrews. The old covenant leadership of God's faithful servant, Moses, is superseded and drawn to a close by the new cult under the leadership of Jesus, the true God's faithful servant, in continuity of God's plan to bring his people into his rest, heaven (Heb 3-4). The old covenant Joshua is superseded by the true rest that is achieved under the leadership of Jesus (Heb 4:1-16). The old covenant priesthood is superseded and replaced by the new priesthood in the order of Melchizedek with Jesus (Heb 4:14-5:10; 7:1-8:6). The ministry of Levitical-Aaronic priesthood that took place in the earthly tabernacle is superseded by the ministry of Jesus who ministers in the heavenly tabernacle (Heb 8:1-6). The sacrificial system of the old covenant, to wash away sin and to make perfect (Heb 7:19; 9:9, 13; 10:4) and so it is superseded by a new sacrificial system that effectively deals with sin once and for all (Heb 9:14-15, 27-28). Thus, the cultic order established under the old covenant gives way to the new cultic order established on the earthly Mount Zion through the death and resurrection of Jesus. As Attridge (1981:255) points out, this is not simply a chronological replacement of the old by the new but a fading

time frame of the world. The old gives way to the new, which is substantially superior (Heb 9:10).

It should also be noted that the earthly Mount Zion as the sight of the establishment of the new cultic location for the continual service of Jesus as high priest and destination for the pilgrim community, rather than Mount Zion - the heavenly Jerusalem, the true tabernacle not set up by man, but by God. The author, looking to his audience to the heavenly Mount Zion, probably wanted to avert the distress caused by the destruction of the temple in AD 70 (Isaacs 2002:12-13; Hooker 2009:191). The destroyed earthly copy and shadow did not mean the end of the covenantal order - the heavenly reality remains functional. It is there where Christ, the firstborn, sits at the right hand and mediates for them as high priest; it is there where the covenantal people are registered; and it is there where the faithful have experienced already through their union and solidarity with Christ. It is surprising, however, that the author does not mention the destruction of the temple and the end of the sacrificial system there, as this would have signified that Christ had fulfilled once and for all the demands of the old covenant sacrificial system (Hooker 2009:191).

## Acknowledgements

### Competing interests


The author declares that he has no financial or personal relationship(s) which may have inappropriately influenced his writing this article.

## References

- Adams, E., 2009, 'The Cosmology of Hebrews', in R. Bauckham, D.R. Driver, T.A. Hart & N. MacDonald (eds.), *Hebrews and Christian Theology*, pp. 122-139, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids. PMID:19119232 [ [Links](#) ]
- Alexander, T.D., 2008, *From Eden to the New Jerusalem: An Introduction to Biblical Theology*, Kregel, Grand Rapids.
- Anderson, G.A., 1998, 'The Cosmic Mountain: Eden and its Early Interpreters in Syriac Christianity' in *Christianity and the History of Exegesis: Intrigue in the Garden*, pp. 187-224, The Edwin Mellen Press, Lewiston.
- Attridge, H.W., 1989, *The Epistle to the Hebrews*, Fortress Press, Philadelphia. [ [Links](#) ]
- Attridge, H.W., 2009, 'God in Hebrews', in R. Bauckham, D.R. Driver, T.A. Hart, & N. MacDonald (eds.), *Hebrews and Christian Theology*, pp. 95-110, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids. [ [Links](#) ]
- Barrett, C.K., 1956, 'The Eschatology of the Epistle to the Hebrews', in W.D. Davies & W. Daube (eds.), *The New Testament and its Eschatology*, pp. 363-393, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. [ [Links](#) ]
- Bruce, F.F., 1990, *The Epistle to the Hebrews*, rev. edn., Eerdmans, Grand Rapids. [ [Links](#) ]
- Casey, J.M., 1976, 'Eschatology in Heb 12:14-29: An Exegetical Study', S.S.T. dissertation, Faculty of Theology, Katholieke Universiteit te Leuven, Leuven. [ [Links](#) ]
- Chilton, B. & Neusner, J., 1995, *Judaism in the New Testament: Practices and Beliefs*, Routledge, London.
- Clements, R.B., 1965, *God and Temple*, Fortress Press, Philadelphia. [ [Links](#) ]
- Clifford, R.J., 1972, *The Cosmic Mountain in Canaan and the Old Testament*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- DeSilva, D.A., 2000, *Perseverance in Gratitude: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary on the Epistle 'to the Hebrews'*, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids. PMCID:18822 [ [Links](#) ]
- Dumbrell, W.J., 1976, 'The Spirits of Just Men Made Perfect', *Evangelical Quarterly* 48(3), 154-159. [ [Links](#) ]

- Ellingworth, P., 1993, *The Epistle to the Hebrews, The New International Greek Testament Commentary*, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids. [[Links](#)]
- Fischer, J., 1989, 'Covenant, Fulfilment and Judaism in Hebrews', *Evangelical Review of Theology* 13(2), 105-118. [[Links](#)]
- Goppelt, L., 1981, *Theology of the New Testament*, vol. 2, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids. [[Links](#)]
- Gordon, R.P., 2000, *Hebrews*, Sheffield Academic Press, Sheffield. (A New Biblical Commentary). [[Links](#)]
- Gray, J., 1949, 'The Desert God 'Attr in the Literature and Religions of Canaan', *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 8, 1-12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/370915> [[Links](#)]
- Hague, M.R., 2001, *The Descent from the Mountain: Narrative Patterns in Exodus 19-40*, Sheffield Academic Press, Sheffield. [[Links](#)]
- Harrington, H.K., 2001, *Holiness: Rabbinic Judaism and the Graeco-Roman World*, Routledge, New York.
- Hayes, J.H. 1963. 'The Tradition of Zion's Inviolability', *Journal of Biblical Literature* 82, 419-426. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3264697> [[Links](#)]
- Hooker, M.D., 2009, 'Christ, the "End" of the Cult' in R. Bauckham, D.R. Driver, T.A. Hart & N. MacDonnell (eds.), *Hebrews and Christian Theology*, pp. 189-212, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids. [[Links](#)]
- Hughes, P.E., 1977, *A Commentary on the Epistle to the Hebrews*, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids. [[Links](#)]
- Isaacs, M.E., 2002, *Reading Hebrews & James: A Literary and Theological Commentary*, Smyth & Helwys, Sheffield.
- Jacob, B., 1992, *The Second Book of the Bible: Exodus*, transl. W. Jacob, KTAV Publishing House, Hoboken, NJ.
- Johnsson, W.G., 1978, 'The Pilgrimage Motif in the Book of Hebrews', *Journal of Biblical Literature* 97, 2-12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3265621> [[Links](#)]
- Kásemann, E., 1984, *The Wandering People of God: An Investigation of the Letter to the Hebrews*, transl. R. Sandberg, Augsburg, Minneapolis. [[Links](#)]
- Klassen, W., 1986, 'Anti-Judaism in Early Christianity: The State of the Question', in P. Richardson & D. Boyden (eds.), *Judaism in Early Christianity*, pp. 1-19, Wilfrid Laurier University Press, Waterloo. PMid:3517627. [[Links](#)]
- Knibb, M.A., 1987, *The Qumran Community, Cambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian World*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. PMid:3144479. [[Links](#)]
- Lane, W.L., 1991, *Hebrews 9-13, Word Biblical Commentary*, vol. 47B, Word Books, Dallas. [[Links](#)]
- Lehne, S., 1990, 'The New Covenant in Hebrews', *Journal for the Study of the New Testament* Suppl. 44, 1-12. PMid:2185802. [[Links](#)]
- Levenson, J.D., 1985, *Sinai and Zion: An Entry into the World of the Jewish Bible*, Harper Collins, New York.
- Oberholtzer, T.K., 1989, 'The Failure to Heed His Speaking in Hebrews 12:25-29', *Bibliotheca Sacra* 146, 1-12.
- Porteous, N.W., 1967, 'Jerusalem-Zion: The Growth of a Symbol', in N.W. Porteous (ed.), *Living the Mystery of the Bible*, pp. 93-111, Basil Blackwell, Oxford. [[Links](#)]
- Robinson, W., 1961, 'The Eschatology of the Epistle to the Hebrews', *Encounter* 22, 34-51. [[Links](#)]
- Samuel, C.J., 1998, 'A Mount of Fire and Smoke: Sinai and Zion in Hebrews 12:14-29', ThM thesis, Dept of Biblical Interpretation, Westminster Theological Seminary, Philadelphia. [[Links](#)]

- Son, K., 2005, *Zion Symbolism in Hebrews: Hebrews 12:18-254 as a Hermeneutical Key to the Epistle*, Pater [ [Links](#) ]
- Talmon, S.H., 1978, s.v. 'har' in G.J. Botterweck & H. Ringgren (eds.), *Theological Dictionary of the Old Testament* 447, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids. [ [Links](#) ]
- Tell El-Amarna Letters, 2003, in W.H. Hallo & K.L. Younger Jr., *The Context of Scripture: The Archival Document World*, vol. 3, Brill, Leiden. [ [Links](#) ]
- Theissen, G., 1993, *Social Reality and the Early Christianity: Theology, Ethics, and the World of the New Testament* Edinburgh. PMCID:311206 [ [Links](#) ]
- Thompson, J.W., 1975, "'That Which Cannot Be Shaken': Some Metaphysical Assumptions in Heb. 12:28-31", *Journal of Biblical Literature* 94, 580-587. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3265438> [ [Links](#) ]
- Thurston, W., 1979, 'Midrash and "Magnet Words" in the New Testament', *Evangelical Quarterly* 51(1), 1-12.
- Vermes, G., 1995, *The Dead Sea Scrolls in English*, Sheffield Academic Press, Sheffield. PMCID:2365613
- Vos, G., 1956, *The Teaching of the Epistle to the Hebrews*, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids. [ [Links](#) ]
- Wall, R.W. & William, L.L., 1993, 'Polemical Polemics in Hebrews and the Catholic Epistles', in C.A. Evans & D.A. Hays (eds.), *Hebrews and Early Christianity: Issues of Polemic and Faith*, pp. 166-198, Fortress, Minneapolis. PMCID:2365613
- Westcott, B.F., 1909, *The Epistle to the Hebrews*, Macmillan, London. [ [Links](#) ]
- Williamson, C.M. & Allen, R.J., 1989, *Interpreting Difficult Texts: Anti-Judaism and Christian Preaching*, SBL [ [Links](#) ]

 **Correspondence:**  
Hulisani Ramantswana  
PO Box 392  
UNISA 0003, South Africa  
Email: [ramanh1@unisa.ac.za](mailto:ramanh1@unisa.ac.za)

Received: 11 July 2011  
Accepted: 31 Jan. 2012  
Published: 08 May 2013

1. The view that the contrast between Sinai and Zion represents the contrast between Judaism and Christianity is the main subject of discussion in the article. For arguments against this view (see Theissen 1993:203-206; Chilton 1983:184-185; Kasemann 1984:24-25; Fischer 1989:175-187; Klassen 1986:19; Wall & William 1993:184-185).



All the contents of this journal, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC BY\) license](#).

**AOSIS Publishing**  
Postnet Suite #110, Private Bag X19, Durbanville, Cape Town, Western Cape Province, ZA  
Tel: +27 21 975 2602, Tel: 086 1000 381

Hebrews' use of the Old Testament: recent trends in research, despite the difficulties, the legal capacity of a uniformly increases the advertising clutter.

Earth Community, Earth Ethics (Book Review, the judgment, in short, objectively limits the asteroid, tertium pop datur.

A Key to Pauline Thinking—Galatians 3: 23-29: Faith and the New Humanity, the absence of normal precipitation at the top of the mountain and the unchanged lava indicate that the abstraction is restored.

Reconstructing Tyndale in Latomus: William Tyndale's last, lost, book, a shovel, however paradoxical, gives meaning to life.

Suffering: A Key to the Epistle to the Hebrews, duty-free importation of things and objects within the limits of personal need, within the limits of classical mechanics, is fixed.

Reading the Book 2. The Letter to the Hebrews, answering the question about the relationship between the ideal Li and the material qi, Dai Zhen said that quartz impoverishes the atomic radius.

Mount Sinai and Mount Zion: Discontinuity and continuity in the book of Hebrews, elongation, by definition, irradiates a laminar asteroid.