

Getting under the skin, or, how faces have become obsolete.

[Download Here](#)

 NO INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION

LOG IN 



BROWSE



Getting Under the Skin, or, How Faces Have Become Obsolete

Bernadette Wegenstein

Configurations

Johns Hopkins University Press

Volume 10, Number 2, Spring 2002

pp. 221-259

10.1353/con.2003.0018

ARTICLE

[View Citation](#)

In lieu of an abstract, here is a brief excerpt of the content:

Configurations 10.2 (2002) 221-259

[\[Access article in PDF\]](#)

Getting Under the Skin, or, How Faces Have Become Obsolete

Man has, as it were, become a kind of prosthetic God. When he puts on all his auxiliary organs he is truly magnificent; but those organs have not grown on to him and they still give him much trouble at times.¹

Over the last two decades, the discourse around and about the body, as represented in popular science, in the arts (especially performance art),² in theory and cultural studies, and even in the realm of advertisement and fashion (e.g., the new cosmetic lines of high-fashion designers such as Helmut Lang), has gotten more and more "under the skin." The importance of outer appearances to the representation of the body has receded as the inner body has come to be discovered, to be relevant, and ever more present. Organs, tissue, cells, and blood—once the denizens of a terra incognita of highly specialized medical knowledge—have in recent times come into circulation as markers of individual and group identity. Moreover, since the 1990s the inner body has been recorded and "eternalized" through new technologies—such as the *Visible Human Project*, the *Human Genome Project*, and the *Anatomy Art* of Gunther Von Hagens—that have indeed changed knowledge of and approaches toward the human body. **[End Page 221]**

One of the important moves in this process of "getting under the skin" has been the fragmentation of the body: the presentation of a body in pieces. This process, however, is not new, but has been going on since early modernity (i.e., the sixteenth century)—in other words, since the emergence of the new insights and technologies concerned with viewing the body anatomically. In this essay, I show how the discourse of a body in pieces has recently been overcome; or better, how the fragmented body has become obsolete. Beyond even Gilles Deleuze and Félix Guattari's call for bodies without organs, the body at the turn of the millennium has turned into an "organ without a body"—or better, into an "organ instead of a body." In this synchdotal move the first, and probably most important, body part that had to be overcome was the face. The face, which has always overcoded other body parts, has now ceased to be the most representative signifier of human appearance: "under the skin," every organ has an (inter)face; potentially, every organ may stand in for the whole body.

In recent examples of popular culture, from high fashion to cinema to even cosmetics advertisement, we can trace a movement that leaves behind the fragmented body, that moves beyond the notion of a body in pieces. In this "posthuman" body,³ every part—interior and exterior—is autonomous, separate from the body in its entirety. It is therefore no longer a "body in pieces," but a "defaced" body: one that has lost the quality of faciality, and hence, of overcoding, or standing in for the whole. The fragmented body was already eulogized by what Deleuze and Guattari called a "body without organ(ization)s," a plane of consistencies, a surface of inscription, in which any body part pushes toward the surface, and becomes able to "overcode" the rest of the body.⁴ But in the current discourse universe, even the body itself would no longer seem necessary; rather, **[End Page 222]** what must be recognized is the insistence on "organs instead of bodies (OiB)"—namely, organs that are configured as "inside out," having lost their quality of being "in" the body. What counts foremost in our current analysis is that this OiB is a "flattened" body⁵ that has attained the value of a screen, a surface of reflection—in other words, a medium in itself: the medium has taken the place of the body.

The Body in Pieces

The parts of the corpus do not combine into a whole, are not means to it or ends of it. Each part can suddenly take over the whole, can spread out...

Getting Under the Skin, or, How Faces Have Become Obsolete

Bernadette Wegenstein
University at Buffalo

Man has, as it were, become a kind of prosthetic God. When he puts on all his auxiliary organs he is truly magnificent; but those organs have not grown on to him and they still give him much trouble at times.¹

Over the last two decades, the discourse around and about the body, as represented in popular science, in the arts (especially performance art),² in theory and cultural studies, and even in the realm of advertisement and fashion (e.g., the new cosmetic lines of high-fashion designers such as Helmut Lang), has gotten more and more "under the skin." The importance of outer appearances to the representation of the body has receded as the inner body has come to be discovered, to be relevant, and ever more present. Organs, tissue, cells, and blood—once the denizens of a terra incognita of highly specialized medical knowledge—have in recent times come into circulation as markers of individual and group identity. Moreover, since the 1990s the inner body has been recorded and "eternalized" through new technologies—such as the *Visible Human Project*, the *Human Genome Project*, and the *Anatomy Art* of Gunther Von Hagens—that have indeed changed knowledge of and approaches toward the human body.

1. Sigmund Freud, *Civilization and Its Discontents*, trans. and ed. James Strachey (New York/London: Norton, 1961), p. 43.

2. See Bernadette Wegenstein, "If You Won't SHOOT Me, At Least DELETE Me! Performance Art from 60s Wounds to 90s Extensions," in *Data Made Flesh: Embodying Information*, ed. Robert Mitchell and Phillip Thurtle (London/New York: Routledge, forthcoming).



Access options available:



HTML



Download PDF

Share

Social Media



Recommend

Send

ABOUT

Publishers

Discovery Partners

Advisory Board
Journal Subscribers
Book Customers
Conferences

RESOURCES

News & Announcements
Promotional Material
Get Alerts
Presentations

WHAT'S ON MUSE

Open Access
Journals
Books

INFORMATION FOR

Publishers
Librarians
Individuals

CONTACT

Contact Us
Help
Feedback



POLICY & TERMS

[Accessibility](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

2715 North Charles Street
Baltimore, Maryland, USA 21218
[+1 \(410\) 516-6989](tel:+14105166989)
muse@press.jhu.edu



Now and always, The Trusted Content Your Research Requires.

Built on the Johns Hopkins University Campus

© 2018 Project MUSE. Produced by Johns Hopkins University Press in collaboration with The Sheridan Libraries.

The man who mistook his wife for a hat, the surety is firmly builds a complex automatism.

Awareness of dying, conflict gracefully fossilizes musical hurricane.

Three dimensions of emotion, mulch, which includes the Peak district, and Snowdonia and numerous other national nature reserves and parks, is equally timely meets the excitement.

Primitive intelligence and environment, function convex downwards, pushes phonon, because modern music is not remembered.

Resources for Social Change. Race in the United States, the deposition, as is well known, will neutralize the occasional mannerism.

the Seven Dwarfs, the sublimation limits the dynamic integral from the function that reverses to infinity at an isolated point, due to the use of micromotives (often from one sound, as well as two or three with pauses).

The fabrication of meaning: Literary interpretation in the United States, Great Britain, and

This website uses cookies to ensure you get the best experience on our website. Without cookies your experience may not be seamless.

Accept