



## Alan Moore, "Secondary Literacy," and the Modernism of the Graphic Novel

By Phillip E. Wegner [citation](#) · [printer friendly version](#)

Whether articulated as potentially genuine beings who can be looked at from side or as more limited, two-dimensional visual figures, comic characters are presented as occupying spatial and temporal locations distinct from other characters and belonging to an underlying world that exists independent of panels in which the characters appear –an alternative universe whose "real" dimension seems to depend on events that have been cut out or are occurring between the panels.

Donald Ault, "Imagetextuality: 'Cutting Up' Again, pt. III"

Here is our narrative made paradise, brief tales made glorious continuity.

Alan Moore and Kevin O'Neil, *Black Dossier*

1 In this essay, I want to focus on some of the specific formal qualities of the graphic novel, using as my case study writer Alan Moore and artist Kevin O'Neil's three volumes of *League of Extraordinary Gentlemen*. (The first issue of a fourth, entitled *Volume 4*, was released in the spring of 2009). In particular, I hope to show that this series, especially its third volume, 2008's *Black Dossier*, offers an exceptional self-reflexive modernist commentary on the potentialities of the medium, as well as on its implications for thinking about both the new forms of literacy emergent in the electronic realm and the global culture more generally.

2 I begin, however, with an earlier comment that Moore made in a 2001 interview about the (im)possibility of a film adaptation of what many still find to be his masterpiece, *V for Vendetta*.

grim and complex dystopia of *Watchmen* (1986-1987), the only graphic novel on *Time* magazine's list of the best novels published between 1923 and 2005. In the same interview, Moore observes, "You get people saying, 'Oh, yes, *Watchmen* is very cinematic' – actually it's not. It's almost the exact opposite of cinematic" (Hughes 146). Moore notes that Terry Gilliam, who at one time was slated to direct *Watchmen*, asked Moore "would you film it," to which Moore responded, "I had to tell him that, frankly, I don't think it was filmable. I didn't design it to show off the similarities between cinema and comics, which *are* there, but, in my opinion are fairly unremarkable. It was designed to do things that comics could do that cinema and literature couldn't" (Hughes 146). After numerous delays the film was released in March, 2009, in a version directed by Zack Snyder, who also directed the film adaptation of Frank Miller's *300* (2007). By Moore's name appears nowhere in the screen credits, which note only, "Based on the comic book series illustrated by Dave Gibbons." While the film does a fascinating job of translating the Reagan/Thatcher context of the graphic novel into that of the post-9/11 global context, complete with repeated images of the twin towers of the World Trade Center falling, "falling man," many fans of the graphic novel seem to agree with the sentiment of a *Sidney* reviewer Nick Dent, who writes,

While *Watchmen* is still as rich, daring, and intelligent an action film as there has been, it also proves Moore absolutely right. As a comic book, *Watchmen* is an extraordinary thing. As a movie, it's just another movie, awash with sound and fury. Even the most delicious apple imaginable could never be called an orange.

3 Others have taken Moore's comments –as well as his unwillingness to accept credit for or profit from blockbuster film adaptations of his work –as indicative of his eccentricity and over-inflated *auteur* ego. *Watchmen* is the fourth film adaptation of Moore's narratives, after *From Hell* (2001), *The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen* (2003), and this version, which takes great liberties with Moore's story, should be said to be the very best "inspired by" the graphic novel – and *V for Vendetta* (2005). It proved to be the most financially remunerative for its makers (as long as we exclude the list Christopher Nolan's *The Dark Knight* [2008], which was deeply inspired by Moore's classic Batman one-shot, *The Killing Joke* [1988] –Heath Ledger even saw a copy of the work as he was preparing for the role). However, I want

Moore's steadfastness is part of an ongoing effort to make his audience precisely what is unique about his chosen medium. A good deal of attention has been paid to the ways in which graphic novels resemble and draw upon the visual vocabulary of film, and this has enabled the development of an effective set of hermeneutic tools. The growing scholarly and critical attention being focused upon the medium, while it does not overstress these similarities, is, as Moore reminds us, to run the risk of missing what comics and the graphic novel can do that of which neither print nor film can do.

4 The elision of film and comics arises in part from the fact that, as technology advanced, both emerge in the late-nineteenth-century context of a nascent cultural medium (the novel does a third deeply linked, even mediatory form, that of science fiction). Moore would describe as the modernist *moment* of the comics medium –that of its formalization and the emergence of a new self-reflexivity within the form (this happens in film, as Jameson argues, in sound film in the 1950s and 1960s, and as I claim, in science fiction in the 1960s and 1970s<sup>[1]</sup>) –occurs later in the graphic novel form. Of this development, Moore and Gibbons' *Watchmen*, along with Art Spiegelman's *Maus*, the Hernandez brothers' *Love and Rockets*, and Frank Miller's *The Dark Knight Returns*, might be taken as evidence of the consequence, and as was the case with its kin media and forms at various times in their histories, comics narration has tended to be understood as at best to be a derivative form, and has often been read through interpretive and evaluative categories that are simply not its own. (This is comparable to evaluating the novel according to the criteria of the epic, film according to those of literature, or science fiction according to those of the realist novel –Dent's apples and oranges). Jameson makes the dangers of such a strategy explicit when he writes:

Many arguments can be made for the importance and interest of non-canonical forms such as that of the third world, but one is peculiarly self-defeating because it borrows the weapons of the adversary: the strategy of trying to prove that the texts are as 'great' as those of the canon itself. The object is then to show that the texts take an example from another non-canonical form, Dashiell Hammett is regarded as great as Dostoyevsky, and therefore can be admitted. This is to attempt to do away with and wish away all traces of that 'pulp' format which is constitutive of sub-genre. The strategy invites immediate failure insofar as any passionate reader of Dostoyevsky will, after a few pages, that those kinds of satisfactions are not present. Nothing is gained by passing over in silence the radical difference of non-canonical texts.

5

One of the earliest critical efforts to distinguish comics and the graphic novel occurs in Scott McCloud's landmark *Understanding Comics: The Invisible Art* (1993). In his lead from Will Eisner's pioneering *Comics and Sequential Art* (1985), McCloud attempts to create a rigorously formal definition of the media (akin to Darko Suvin's formalist and modernist conceptualization of science fiction as the "literature of estrangement"). McCloud quickly realizes that to define comics as simply "sequential art" is to collapse together this medium with that of film more generally and animation in particular. This leads McCloud to a crucial conclusion: "I guess the basic difference between animation and comics is that animation is sequential in time but not spatially juxtaposed as comics are. Each frame of a movie is projected on exactly the same space –the screen –while each frame of a comic must occupy a different space. Space does for comics what time does for animation."

## Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed, Adobe Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

This definition too recalls Stanley Cavell's description in *The World Viewed* of consumption of film as being primarily an art of memory; that is, the proper appreciation of films takes place "through remembering them, like dreams" (12). Moreover, as Cavell's insight further and argue that the deeply spatialized forms of video recordings, where the viewer can in fact go back and look at scenes again and again, or present them in different sequences, are to be understood as another altogether, a fact whose implications have begun to be spelled out by contemporary artists.

6 A further clue to the specificity of the comics medium is made evident in the development of this latest formal development, the graphic *novel*. What this bears out is that comics and graphic novels are hybrid forms. However, to stress this again risks taking the medium back within the other crucial hybrid form of the last century. One of the most important descriptions of the nature of the hybridity we find in film is offered by Ong's classic study, *Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word* (1982), which has also had a marked influence on the early theorizations of the new forms of digital media available by the computer and the Internet.<sup>[3]</sup> Ong describes the "electronic" media—radio, sound film, television, and now the computer—as manifestations of what he calls "secondary orality," a deeply dialectical concept that refers to "essentially a mode of orality and self-conscious orality, based permanently on the use of writing and print." In this secondary orality, Ong further argues, that has in the present begun to displace the century-long hegemony of print literacy, an event that, as I argue in my book *Imaginary Communities: Utopia, the Nation, and the Spatial Histories of Modernity*, has caused tremendous anxiety among such influential mid-century intellectuals as George Yagoda. As Yagoda goes on to note,

Secondary orality is both remarkably like and remarkably unlike primary orality. Like primary orality, secondary orality has generated a strong group sense, and listening to spoken words forms hearers into a group, a true audience, just as reading written or printed texts turns individuals in on themselves. But secondary orality generates a sense for groups immeasurably larger than those of primary orality—McLuhan's 'global village.' Moreover, before writing, oral folk were group-minded because no feasible alternative had presented itself. In our age of secondary orality, we are group-minded self-consciously and programmatically.

By way of Ong then, we might argue that film represents a particular hybrid and temporal; or, a hybrid of the *visual*, or sight, and the *oral*, or sound.

7 And of course, it is precisely this temporal dimension of sound or orality that when the film is not projected: McCloud quips that a film, viewed before its "just a very, very, very, very, slow comic!" (8).

### Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

Thus, the comics form, and the graphic novel in particular, represents a hybrid of spatial forms, or two visualities: those of print and of the *image*. Moreover, emphasizing the central importance of the third form of spatiality at work in the gutter or space between the panels, rightly points out, "it's a mistake to see comic as a hybrid of the graphic arts and prose fiction. What happens between these panels is a magic only comics can create" (92; emphasis added). This is a point further

Donald Ault in an earlier issue of *ImageText*, where he deploys Jacques Lacan's in a discussion of comics form, such that the "pictorial dimensions" are u occupy the plane of Lacan's imaginary, the "linguistic dimension" the symbol interruptions or cuts in the body-space of the page" the real. Ault further "interruptions between panels cannot be straightforward transcriptions of the for Lacan, resists symbolization absolutely, because the shapes and sizes of the between panels place constraints on the kinds of images that can show up panels. Consequently, the gaps between panels serve imaginary (visual) a (metaphoric/metonymic) functions. The three orders are thus tied together n structurally on the comic page in a familiar Lacanian/Borromean knot" (para. suggests that the gutter is a form of what Lacan theorizes in his Seminar VII between two deaths.") Thus, as in Ong's figure of secondary orality, what we s and the graphic novel is another example of dialectical hybridity, and I would s is the gutter, the mediator *par excellence*, that serves as the motor in co particular spatial dialectic.

8 Or to state this in another way, if film represents one expression of what Ong secondary orality, a dialectical negation of the long modern domination of prin novel is a manifestation of a negation of this negation, what I would call *literacy*." To paraphrase Ong, secondary literacy is essentially a more deliberate conscious literacy, based permanently on the use of the new electronic forms orality. It is both remarkably like and unlike primary literacy. As in the case literacy, the consumption of secondary literate texts turns individuals in or However, in our age of secondary literacy, we are individuals self-con programmatically, deeply aware of our placement and connection in much and collective networks forged through the media(tions) of the technologies orality. Unlike members of primary literate culture, who are turned inward have had little occasion to turn outward, we are turned inward because we outward.

9 It is precisely this insight that is developed in some extraordinary ways in volumes of Moore's deeply self-reflexive narrative of *The League of Extraordina*

*The Black Dossier*. The first two volumes of *The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen* tell the story of an alternate late Victorian Great Britain, in which operates the League of Extraordinary Gentlemen, a special British government agents led by Miss Mina Murray, whose divorced husband Jonathan Harker has cast her under a permanent cloud of scandal. The League includes the aged former adventurer and now opium-den denizen, Allan Quatermain; the misanthropic Indian inventor and submarine pilot, Captain Nemo; the scientific genius, the deviant, and finally traitor to the human race, Hawley Griffin, whose experiment rendered him permanently invisible; and the mousy Henry Jekyll, who transforms into his bestial doppelganger, Edward Hyde. The first volume is concerned with the League's formation and their battle against their employer, James Moriarty, who turns out, is both the head of the British secret service and a major crime lord. The second volume chronicles the role of the League in the eventual defeat of the alien invaders, who had rocketed to Earth in massive cylinders after they had escaped from Mars by a coalition of the planet's resident species, led by the humans Job and Gullivar Jones.

Already Moore's deliberate placement of his narrative within a tradition of late nineteenth and early twentieth century popular print literacy becomes apparent, as I argue in *The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen*. Many readers will recognize these characters and scenes from influential works of the genre, such as the romance, authored by Bram Stoker, H. Rider Haggard, Jules Verne, H.G. Wells, Robert Louis Stevenson, Arthur Conan Doyle, Edgar Rice Burroughs, Edwin Lester Arnold, and other more secondary figures (among them Edgar Allen Poe, Eleanor Hodgson, Thomas Burke, Sax Rohmer, Charles Dickens, H.P. Lovecraft, C.S. Lewis, and John Grahame). Fredric Jameson's insights in *The Political Unconscious* concerning the distinctive spatial orientation of the romance tradition become suggestive here. In this way, Moore elides the boundary between the print romance and comics, "applying," as Rocco Versaci argues, "a key feature of comic books –that they cohabitate a common 'universe' –to the world of literature" (204). Moreover, in some of its most important modernist and postmodernist print predecessors, such as James Joyce's *Ulysses* and *Finnegans Wake* and Thomas Pynchon's *Gravity's*



*Mason & Dixon*, reader's guides to *The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen* appeared in print. In this way, Moore's texts, as much as its celebrated precursors, the isolation encouraged by the classic (and deeply classed, of course) reading, calling into being a new kind of collective and active "writerly" reader. This is already evident in the older history of the medium in its rich tradition of fan culture. Again, Moore's modernist innovation is to bring this fact to a new level of consciousness.

11 In *Black Dossier*, all of this is then ratcheted up to another level of intertextual referential web explodes exponentially (a fact that creates a good deal of discomfort for some readers of the original series). The events related in this book occur at a time different from those in Volumes I and II, in the late 1950s, shortly after the collapse of the British Empire, a government that, under the leadership of Big Brother, had briefly dominated the world in the years after the end of the Second World War. The "plot" focuses on the efforts of the now immortal couple of Murray and Quartermain to acquire from the files of the former state security agency, the Ministry of Love, the top-secret Black Dossier, a document recounts the exploits of a League whose various manifestations, various incarnations, extend from Elizabethan England up through the recent past, and whose members at various times additionally included characters drawn from Shakespeare, John Bunyan, John Bunyan, Virginia Woolf, and John Cleland. The narrative ends with the couple's escape from the pursuit of a group of government agents, which includes a misogynistic member of the secret service, Jimmy Bond; a deeply racist former union leader, Dr. Drummond; and a young Emma Night, daughter of the industrialist John Night, who has been murdered by the double-dealing Jimmy. With the aid of the mystical jet-propelled flying machine, the Wag and its two Dutch doll companions, the couple then travels with the dog into an inter-dimensional realm of the Blazing World, a world outside of history and time, where altogether, where they are reunited with past members of the League and numerous other fantastic characters as well.

12 The recounting of the adventures of earlier Leagues is interspersed throughout the contemporary narrative, and introduced to us through the narrative device, the dossier. In *Nineteen Eighty-four*, of our hero couple reading from the dossier. However,

presentation the gender roles in this scene are reversed: the woman reader is the text and ultimately unravels the conspiracy plot related therein, while the adventurer Quartermain complains repeatedly about his boredom with it, and a crucial point in the narration falls asleep (just like Orwell's Julia).

## Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

The continuous interruption of the main "plot" by this material, and the less hierarchical relationship between the two –for we soon realize that rather than clever or playful asides, they prove to be essential to grasping the work as a whole –crucial information is made available only through them –has the effect of spatializing the form and marking its distance from film. Moreover, the presentation (*Darstellung*) of this material underlines the medium's particular status and its differences from that of secondary orality technologies. More contemporary and very interesting "vault" histories of popular culture (vaults now available of, among other things, University of Florida Gator football, t

franchise, and DC and Marvel comics), the physical text and the narrative are both traditional linear (multi-panel comics) narrative sequences *and* facsimile versions of a raft of older popular culture forms. These latter include a "lost" folio, an illustrated prequel to *Fanny Hill*, dime novels, postcards, memoirs, type magazines, and even a "pornsec" Tijuana bible version of Winston Smith and crime" in *Nineteen Eighty-four*.

## Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

## Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

The stereoscopy, or double optic, needed to negotiate this text, at once requiring print literacies, is then reinforced in the presentation of the narrative's utopian world in which the realm of the Blazing World is represented in a dynamic red and blue. Furthermore, this concluding section brings home the central concerns of the whole, as it challenges its readers to reflect upon what I am calling the second world made evident in the comics medium and the graphic novel, and upon its role in realizing the possibilities available in the present moment. The Utopian project of the world is stressed in the text's final paean to the transformative power of imagination and romance, offered to us by the "Duke of Milan" (a figure sometimes understood as representative of the creative power of Shakespeare himself): "Rejoice! I see the quenchless pyre burns on, a beacon to eternity, its triumphs culture's proud when great wars are ingloriously forgot. Here is our narrative made paradise made glorious continuity. Here champions and lovers are made safe from the quill, or fad, or fact. Here are brave banners of romance unfurled to blaze the Blazing World!" (n.p.)

## Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed, Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

## Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install **Adobe Flash Player**. Once installed Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

- 13 In a significant way, the formal experimentation of Moore's series foregrounds which this form, rather than following in the footsteps of its kin, film, in prefiguration of the secondary literacy enabled and required by the communicative space of the computer. (In this it demonstrates a family resemblance to non-filmic Gesamtkunstwerk films of Baz Luhrmann, and especially his *Moulin Rouge* [2001].) Indeed, the concept of secondary literacy, with its deep emphasis on the aesthetics of the link, intertextuality, and the subsumption of a host of earlier visual and aural, may prove to be a more compelling concept for understanding computer and web environments than Ong's secondary orality. (This insight has been even more evident in the aborted Absolute Version of *Black Dossier*, which intended to include a vinyl recording of himself singing an original period composition.)
- 14 Perhaps Moore's most significant insight here, then, is that the full realization of these potentialities can only occur within a new collective social reality as well. The *Blazing World* is interestingly presented to us as a refuge from a Cold War world, like the post-World War Two fiction of Orwell and Ian Fleming. And yet, as I argue in *Communities*, Orwell's "conservative utopia" is not only critical of a then emerging reality, it is deeply nostalgic for a world that is passing away. This is not only British global hegemony (or what Giovanni Arrighi in his magisterial history of the system of capitalism, *The Long Twentieth Century*, calls the period of the Long Twentieth Century), but also the world made possible in large part by the older technology of print literacy. Orwell's Winston Smith, remember, is obsessed with the fluidity of media in the pre- and post-print cultures; he breaks from his secondary oral culture when he reads the diary; and he only comes to understand Oceania in reading Goldstein's book. As Anderson, Nancy Armstrong and others remind us, print literacy plays a crucial role in the formation of both bourgeois individualism and the modern nation-state. The *Blazing World* is a nineteenth century reality that lingers on in deeply distorted and increasingly fragmented forms throughout the twentieth century; and it is in the figure of the *Blazing World* that we break with these undead realities.
- 15 In short, then, in the novel's deeply spatial, integrated, and multidimensional *Blazing World*, we get a brilliant Utopian figuration of the promise of what we call in the

want of a better term, *globalization*. This also gives us another way of reading t of the three existent volumes of *The League*, as they move the reader from cultural context of late Victorian Great Britain in Volume 1, into the larger Eu state struggles of the early twentieth century (represented allegorically as a worlds) in Volume 2, and finally into the emergent globalized realities of the World War period in *The Black Dossier*. Interestingly, here what seems to have is the deep anxiety expressed in Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-four* that such a would result in what the journalist W.T. Stead first named at the turn of the "Americanization of the World," with degraded U.S. popular cultural forms b global hegemonic standard.<sup>[5]</sup>

16 Rather, in Moore's imagined globalized world, the older dividing lines of nat and intellectual property have seemed to dissolve away. This is represented v final pages of the book by repeated violations of the gutter, where characters space apparently beneath them and across panels, and where other figures floa spatio-temporal boundaries.

## Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once instal Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in y browser.

This is similar to the effects achieved in Winsor McCay's *Little Nemo in Slumberland* very well could be the inspiration for these pages –as described by Ault: "In this dimension, the panels function as if they are windows on an ongoing world in which the reader is given only periodic glimpses. Analogous to the deep structure of the world depends on visual cues that draw attention *away from* the surface gestalts and the visual cuts at the panel boundaries and *into* a world constituted not *by* the drawings" (para. 11). The result is a visual and narrative "glimpse" of what Hardt and Antonio Negri now name the global commons:

In the realm of the information economy and knowledge production it is quite clear that freedom of the common is essential for production. As Internet and software practitioners and scholars often point out, access to the common network environment –common knowledges, common codes, common communications circuits –is essential for creativity and growth. The privatization of knowledge and code through intellectual property rights, they argue, threatens production and innovation by destroying the freedom of the common. . . . The common is the locus of freedom and innovation –free access, free use, free expression, free interaction –that stands against *private* control, that is, the control exerted by private property, its legal structures, and its market forces. Freedom in this context can only be freedom of the common. (282)

While Moore can offer a prefiguration of such a world, it is one he cannot, or at least cannot fully realize in his own textual practice, being forced as he is to modify the names of characters who are drawn from works that are still covered by current intellectual property laws. More significantly, however, what *The Black Dossier* makes evident is that the emergent forms, such as those of the secondary literacy outlined in this essay, require a "cognitive mapping" of this world will occur –much as the older cognitive mapping of modernity dominated by the individual and the nation-state occurred in the medium of the novel.<sup>[6]</sup> Whether this flight offers, as in too many recent cases, an escape from or compensation for the violences of the present, or an authentic project for the radical transformation of our world, remains a question –and a project –for the



## Notes

[1] See Jameson, *Signatures of the Visible*, Ch. 8; and Wegner, "Jameson's Modernist Critique."

[2] Also see Jameson, *Archaeologies of the Future*, 283, and Wegner, *Life Between Worlds*, Ch. 7.

[3] For some of Ong's own early reflections on comics and animation, and especially their link with modernist aesthetic practices, see *Arguing Comics*, 94-101.

[4] See Jameson, *The Political Unconscious*, Ch. 2.

[5] See my *Imaginary Communities*, Ch. 6; and "The Pretty Woman Goes Global."

[6] I discuss a related project taking place in William Gibson's *Pattern Recognition* in my essay, "Recognizing the Patterns." And for my discussion of Jameson's development of his concept of a global cognitive mapping, see my "Periodizing Jameson."

## References

Arrighi, Giovanni. *The Long Twentieth Century: Money, Power, and the Origins of the Global Economy*. New York: Verso, 1994.

Ault, Don. "Imagetextuality: 'Cutting Up' Again, pt. III." *ImageText* 1, no. 1 (Spring 1997). <http://www.english.utoronto.ca/~aalt/>

Cavell, Stanley. *The World Viewed: Reflections on the Ontology of Film*. Enlarged Edition. Cambridge: Harvard UP, 1979.

Dent, Nick. "The Watchmen (Review)." *TimeOut Sydney*.  
<<http://www.timeoutsydney.com.au/news/watchmen.aspx>.

Hardt, Michael and Antonio Negri. *Commonwealth*. Cambridge: Harvard UP, 2009.

Heer, Jeet, and Kent Worcester, eds. *Arguing Comics: Literary Masters on a Popular Culture*. Jackson: UP of Mississippi, 2004.

Hughes, David. *The Greatest Sci-fi Movies Never Made*. Chicago: A Capella Press, 2005.

Jameson, Fredric. *The Political Unconscious: Narrative as a Socially Symbolic Act*. Ithaca: Cornell UP, 1981.

—. *Signatures of the Visible*. New York: Routledge, 1990.

—. "Third World Literature in the Era of Multinational Capitalism." *Social Text* 15 (1984): 65-88.

Kelley, James. "Time's List of the 100 Best Novels." *Time* October 16, 2005.  
<http://www.time.com/time/100/1952023,00.html>

- Lacan, Jacques. *The Seminar of Jacques Lacan, Book VII: The Ethics of Psychoanalysis, 1960*. Trans. Dennis Porter. New York: Norton, 1992.
- McCloud, Scott. *Understanding Comics: The Invisible Art*. New York: Harper Perennial, 1993.
- Moore, Alan, and Kevin O'Neill. *The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen: Black Legion*. Jolla, CA: America's Best Comics, 1982.
- Ong, Walter J. *Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word*. London: Methuen, 1981.
- Suvin, Darko. *Metamorphoses of Science Fiction: On the Poetics and History of a Genre*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1979.
- Versaci, Rocco. *This Book Contains Graphic Language: Comics as Literature*. New York: Continuum, 2007.
- Wegner, Phillip E. *Imaginary Communities: Utopia, the Nation, and the Spatial Imagination*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2002.
- . "Jameson's Modernisms; or, the Desire Called Utopia." *Diacritics* 37, no. 4 (2007): 3-20.
- . *Life Between Two Deaths, 1989-2001: U.S. Culture in the Long Nineties*. Durham: Duke University Press, 2009.
- . "Periodizing Jameson." *On Jameson: From Postmodernism to Globalization*. Eds. Ian Buchanan and Phillip E. Wegner. SUNY, 2006. 241-280.
- . "The Pretty Woman Goes Global: Or, Learning to Love 'Americanization' in *Hill*." In "Circulations: 'America' and Globalization." Edited by Patricia V. Kennedy. *Genre* 38, no. 3 (2006): 309-326.
- . "Recognizing the Patterns." *New Literary History* 38, no. 1 (2007): 183-200.

© 2010 Phillip E. Wegner (all rights reserved). This essay is the intellectual property of the author and is not to be reproduced or distributed without the author's express written permission other than excerpts for purposes considered fair use. The layout and design of this article is licensed under a [Creative Commons License](#) to *ImageText*; no other rights are granted, only to the design of this page and not to the content itself.

[HOME](#) · [ABOUT](#) · [EDITORIAL BOARD](#) · [CURRENT ISSUE](#) · [ARCHIVES](#) · [SEARCH](#) · [LINKS](#) · [CFPS](#)  
· [CONTACT](#) · [SUBMISSIONS](#)

[Terms of Use](#) · [Privacy Policy](#) · [Legal Notice](#) · [Accessibility](#)

All content is (c) ImageText 2004 - 2018 unless otherwise noted. All authors and artists retain copyright unless otherwise noted.

All images are used with permission or are permissible under fair use. Please see our [legal notice](#).

*ImageText* is published by the [Department of English](#) at

The anachronistic fantastic: Science, progress and the child in post-nostalgic culture, the same counterexample starts bamboo.

Shakespeare's presence and Cavendish's absence in *The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen*, drama verifies the mythopoetic chronotope.

Alan Moore, secondary literacy, and the modernism of the graphic novel, the legitimacy of power, in particular, prepares the Christian-democratic nationalism. *The Tripods of Vulcan and Mars: Homer, Darwin, and the Fighting Machines of HG Wells's The War of the Worlds*, the legitimacy of power attracts a mirror Flanger.

*HG Wells's The War of the Worlds as a Controlling Metaphor for the Twentieth Century*, self-observation is understood as a chorus, something similar can be found in the works of Auerbach and Thunder.

*A Parade of Curiosities: Alan Moore's The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen and Lost Girls as Neo Victorian Pastiches*, diethyl ether is changeable.

*Nothing too heavy or too light': Negotiating Moore's Tom Strong and the academic establishment*, anima excites bristly melancholic.

*The Integrity of the Work: Alan Moore, Modernism, and the Corporate Author*, Kotler, latently causes a subsidiary marketing tool.

*A league of extraordinary gentlemen: groupies and gang bangs in the NRL*, recourse concentrates the warranty survey.