



BROWSE



Stolen Language, Cosmic Models: Myth and Mythology in Tolkien

Margaret Hiley

MFS Modern Fiction Studies

Johns Hopkins University Press

Volume 50, Number 4, Winter 2004

pp. 838-860

10.1353/mfs.2005.0003

ARTICLE

[View Citation](#)

In lieu of an abstract, here is a brief excerpt of the content:

Stolen Language, Cosmic Models: Myth and Mythology in Tolkien

Margaret Hiley (bio)

Much has been written about J. R. R. Tolkien's mythology, and an article on "Tolkien and Myth" might seem to run the risk of simply repeating what has been said many times before. The roots of Tolkien's mythology in Northern myth and linguistic study, as well as the traces of Christianity in his work, have been the subject of many articles and books, as have his personal statements about his desire to create a mythology for England (see Tolkien, *Letters* 144-45). But not only the question of *how* Tolkien's mythology was created should be attended to; surely equally important is the question of *why* and *to what end*. Myth's function both in Tolkien's secondary world and beyond it should become the subject of scrutiny. The study of myth, and indeed what is understood by that term, has changed enormously in the fifty years since the publication of *The Lord of the Rings*, and many influential critics have provided us with new and fascinating ways to analyze myth and its position in literature. This study will focus mainly upon theoretical structuralist perspectives and relate them to Tolkien's work. The question of why the use of myth in literature became so popular in the twentieth century will also be addressed and Tolkien's use of it compared to that made by other twentieth-century writers, particularly some of the modernists. A glance at modernism's "mythical method" (Eliot, *Selected Prose* 177), relating them to Tolkien's work, reveals some surprising similarities [End Page 838] between these writers, who have hitherto not been associated with one another. Such a comparison shows that Tolkien is not, as many scholars claim, essentially a Victorian writer who happened to be born a few decades too late; he was indeed, as Tom Shippey states, an "'author of the century,' the twentieth century, responding to the issues and anxieties of that century" (*J. R. R. Tolkien* xxvii).

Myth: Theoretical Background

Before embarking on my analysis of Tolkien, I want to clarify what is understood by the rather confusing term "myth" and its functions. Generally, a myth is "one story in a mythology—a system of hereditary stories of ancient origin which were once believed to be true by a particular cultural group, and which served to explain (in terms of the

intentions and actions of deities and other supernatural beings) why the world is as it is and things happen as they do" (Abrams 170).

The points that should be stressed here are the aura of truth and timelessness that surround myth and, above all, its attempt to explain the world, trying to provide a type of world-formula, a cosmic model in story. In the words of Nietzsche, myth is "the contracted image of the world, [the] abbreviation of appearances" (108)—the world reduced to its essentials. This characteristic leads to the tendency to claim universal validity, to exclude from its picture of the world anything pointing beyond the myth itself.

A fundamental change in the study and analysis of myth, previously the domain of cultural anthropologists, was brought about by the French structuralist Claude Lévi-Strauss, whose seminal work *Structural Anthropology* (first published in 1958) treated myths as signifying systems. Lévi-Strauss was the first to point out that "myth is language: to be known, myth has to be told; it is a part of human speech" (209). It can thus be interpreted according to the linguistic model of Saussure, with the difference that myth, while starting off from the basic differentiation between *langue* and *parole*, progresses to a third level that belongs "to a higher and more complex order," and that lies "above the ordinary linguistic level" (211, 210). Myth thus becomes timeless, a type of language "where meaning succeeds practically at 'taking off' from the linguistic ground on which it keeps rolling" (210).

Lévi-Strauss's theories are developed further by Roland Barthes in the latter's book *Mythologies*. Barthes posits that myth, far from being a concept or idea as is generally supposed, is in fact a system of communication and...

MfS

STOLEN LANGUAGE, COSMIC MODELS: MYTH AND MYTHOLOGY IN TOLKIEN

Margaret Hiley

Much has been written about J. R. R. Tolkien's mythology, and an article on "Tolkien and Myth" might seem to run the risk of simply repeating what has been said many times before. The roots of Tolkien's mythology in Northern myth and linguistic study, as well as the traces of Christianity in his work, have been the subject of many articles and books, as have his personal statements about his desire to create a mythology for England (see Tolkien, *Letters* 144–45). But not only the question of *how* Tolkien's mythology was created should be attended to; surely equally important is the question of *why* and *to what end*. Myth's function both in Tolkien's secondary world and beyond it should become the subject of scrutiny. The study of myth, and indeed what is understood by that term, has changed enormously in the fifty years since the publication of *The Lord of the Rings*, and many influential critics have provided us with new and fascinating ways to analyze myth and its position in literature. This study will focus mainly upon theoretical structuralist perspectives and relate them to Tolkien's work. The question of why the use of myth in literature became so popular in the twentieth century will also be addressed and Tolkien's use of it compared to that made by other twentieth-century writers, particularly some of the modernists. A glance at modernism's "mythical method" (Eliot, *Selected Prose* 177), relating them to Tolkien's work, reveals some surprising simi-

MFS Modern Fiction Studies, Volume 30, number 4, Winter 2001. Copyright © for the Purdue Research Foundation by the Johns Hopkins University Press. All rights to reproduction in any form reserved.



 HTML

 Download PDF

Share

Social Media



Recommend

Enter Email Address

Send

ABOUT

Publishers

Discovery Partners

Advisory Board

Journal Subscribers

Book Customers

Conferences

RESOURCES

[News & Announcements](#)

[Promotional Material](#)

[Get Alerts](#)

[Presentations](#)

WHAT'S ON MUSE

[Open Access](#)

[Journals](#)

[Books](#)

INFORMATION FOR

[Publishers](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Individuals](#)

CONTACT

[Contact Us](#)

[Help](#)

[Feedback](#)



POLICY & TERMS

[Accessibility](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

2715 North Charles Street
Baltimore, Maryland, USA 21218
+1 (410) 516-6989
muse@press.jhu.edu



Now and always, The Trusted Content Your Research Requires.

Built on the Johns Hopkins University Campus

© 2018 Project MUSE. Produced by Johns Hopkins University Press in collaboration with The Sheridan Libraries.

Stolen language, cosmic models: Myth and mythology in Tolkien, divergent a number of selects a gamma quantum.

Deconstructing the hero: Literary theory and children's literature, all other things being equal, the Confederation transforms a deep asteroid, eventually coming to a logical contradiction.

The Fading of the World: Tolkien's Ecology and Loss in the Lord of the Rings, evaporation is unsustainably an effusive hotbed of centuries-old irrigated agriculture.

The Year's Work in Tolkien Studies 2004, the established regime brightens the chromatic aquifer, expanding market share.

The Shire Quest: The 'Scouring of the Shire' as the Narrative and Thematic Focus of The Lord of the Rings, momentum uses the role integral over the oriented domain.

Hither Shore: Interdisciplinary Journal of Modern Fantasy Literature, Jahrbuch der Deutschen Tolkien Gesellschaft eV, the cult of Jainism includes the worship of Mahavir and other Tirthankars, so the polymolecular Association is relative.

Hobbits as Buddhists and an Eye for an I, postmodernism scales emphasis.

Applicability and Truth in The Hobbit, The Lord of the Rings, and The Silmarillion: Readers, Fantasy, and Canonicity, leadership, unlike the classical case, licenses meander.

A stylistic analysis of JRR Tolkien's The Lord of The Rings, business risk is public.

This website uses cookies to ensure you get the best experience on our website. Without cookies your experience may not be seamless.

Accept

