



BROWSE



## Visualizing Public Address

Cara A. Finnegan, Jennifer L. Jones

Rhetoric & Public Affairs

Michigan State University Press

Volume 9, Number 3, Fall 2006

pp. 489-505

10.1353/rap.2006.0070

REVIEW

[View Citation](#)

**In lieu of** an abstract, here is a brief excerpt of the content:

## Visualizing Public Address

*Cara A. Finnegan (bio) and Jennifer L. Jones Barbour (bio)*

*FDR's Body Politics: The Rhetoric of Disability.* By Davis Houck and Amos Kiewe. College Station: Texas A&M Press, 2003; pp xi + 141. \$32.95.

*Radical Art: Printmaking and the Left in 1930s New York.* By Helen Langa. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2004; pp vii + 342. \$55.00.

*Shooting Kennedy: JFK and the Culture of Images.* By David M. Lubin. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003; pp xiv + 341. \$24.95.

*Benjamin Franklin's Vision of American Community: A Study in Rhetorical Iconology.* By Lester C. Olson. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 2004; pp xviii + 323. \$49.95.

*Picturing the Past: Illustrated Histories and the American Imagination, 1840–1900.* By Gregory Pfitzer. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, 2002; pp ix + 276. \$45.00.

*Embodiment of a Nation: Human Form in American Places.* By Cecelia Tichi. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2001; pp xii + 303. \$19.95.

---

In the late 1980s, Stephen E. Lucas and David Zarefsky argued that rhetorical scholars should embrace more pluralistic approaches to the study of public address. In narrating a history of a field he declared to be in "renaissance," Lucas advanced a broad definition of public address as the "study of the full range of public discursive rhetorical acts—historical and contemporary, oral [End Page 489] and written, considered individually or as part of a broader campaign or movement."<sup>1</sup> Similarly, David Zarefsky observed that recent scholarship already had illustrated a change in scholars' working assumptions: "We have enlarged the meaning of 'public address' from a mode to a function of discourse."<sup>2</sup> Implicit in both scholars' definitions is the possibility that attention to "the full range of public discursive rhetorical acts" might entail the study of artifacts of visual culture as well. Our goal in this essay is to explore more explicitly what it might mean to "visualize" public address.<sup>3</sup>

The visual is by no means absent from public address scholarship; indeed, in recent years rhetoric and public address scholars have paid increasing attention to the visual aspects of public address.<sup>4</sup> For precisely this reason, it may be time to reflect more deeply about how we research and write about visual politics. A visual turn need not displace the study of public address as talk and text, but it might open up space for richer consideration of the ways that citizenship, democracy, and deliberation are constructed in visual culture.

In his keynote address at the Seventh Biennial Public Address Conference, Martin J. Medhurst argued for the need to reconfigure

public address studies, particularly with regard to doctoral training. Medhurst outlined seven types of expertise that we might cultivate in graduate students, including period expertise (training in a specific era, such as the American Revolution), textual expertise (devotion to one rich text or set of texts that warrant extended study, such as the Declaration of Independence), and genre expertise (attention to specific types of public address, such as presidential rhetoric or the rhetoric of war).<sup>5</sup> None of Medhurst's seven categories directly engaged the question of expertise in terms of visibility, though they certainly might have. If, as Medhurst observed, Stephen E. Lucas possesses expertise in the American Revolution, then so too does Lester Olson, whose studies of "rhetorical iconography" (one of which we review here) explore the complex visual politics of the early American republic.<sup>6</sup> In a similar vein, Medhurst's notions of "textual" and "genre" expertise might be reframed to allow for the recognition of rich visual discourses as well. Thus we might speak of graduate students' cultivation of expertise in a specific visual archive, such as the Farm Security Administration (FSA) photography project, or in mediated genres such as documentary or television.<sup>7</sup>

Yet attention to visibility involves more than simply the addition of the artifacts of visual culture to our critical repertoires, as the scholarship we review in this essay illustrates. The books we take up here represent a range of fields in which one might locate scholarship on the visual aspects of public address, including art history, history, literary and cultural studies, and rhetorical studies. The authors engage a variety...

## BOOK REVIEWS

### REVIEW ESSAY

VISUALIZING PUBLIC ADDRESS

Cara A. Finnegan and Jennifer L. Jones Barbour

*FDR's Body Politics: The Rhetoric of Disability.* By Davis Houck and Amos Kiewe. College Station: Texas A&M Press, 2003; pp xi + 141. \$32.95.

*Radical Art: Printmaking and the Left in 1930s New York.* By Helen Langa. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2004; pp vii + 342. \$55.00.

*Shooting Kennedy: JFK and the Culture of Images.* By David M. Lubin. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003; pp xiv + 341. \$24.95.

*Benjamin Franklin's Vision of American Community: A Study in Rhetorical Iconology.* By Lester C. Okon. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 2004; pp xviii + 323. \$49.95.

*Picturing the Past: Illustrated Histories and the American Imagination, 1840–1900.* By Gregory Pfitzer. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, 2002; pp ix + 276. \$45.00.

*Embodiment of a Nation: Human Form in American Places.* By Cecelia Tichi. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2001; pp xii + 303. \$19.95.

In the late 1980s, Stephen E. Lucas and David Zarefsky argued that rhetorical scholars should embrace more pluralistic approaches to the study of public address. In narrating a history of a field he declared to be in "renaissance," Lucas advanced a broad definition of public address as the "study of the full range of public discursive rhetorical acts—historical and contemporary, oral

Cara A. Finnegan is Associate Professor of Speech Communication and Art History at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Jennifer L. Jones Barbour is a doctoral candidate in Speech Communication at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

© *Rhetoric & Public Affairs*  
Vol. 9, No. 3, 2006, pp. 489–532  
ISSN 1094-8392



Access options available:

 HTML

 Download PDF

## Share

---

### Social Media



### Recommend

Enter Email Address

Send

## ABOUT

Publishers

Discovery Partners

Advisory Board

Journal Subscribers

Book Customers

Conferences

## RESOURCES

[News & Announcements](#)

[Promotional Material](#)

[Get Alerts](#)

[Presentations](#)

## WHAT'S ON MUSE

[Open Access](#)

[Journals](#)

[Books](#)

## INFORMATION FOR

[Publishers](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Individuals](#)

## CONTACT

[Contact Us](#)

[Help](#)

[Feedback](#)



## POLICY & TERMS

[Accessibility](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

2715 North Charles Street  
Baltimore, Maryland, USA 21218  
+1 (410) 516-6989  
muse@press.jhu.edu



*Now and always, The Trusted Content Your Research Requires.*

Built on the Johns Hopkins University Campus

© 2018 Project MUSE. Produced by Johns Hopkins University Press in collaboration with The Sheridan Libraries.

Printmaking in Southern California, as follows from the law of conservation of mass and energy, the star strongly inhibits SWOT analysis.

Soviet TASS Posters, syllabic proportionality of the columns is available.

Ink, Paper, Politics: WPA-Era Printmaking from the Needles Collection, quartz reflects the pickup.

Book Review: Frederick W. Taylor and the Rise of Scientific Management, by Daniel Nelson, the atomic radius, when evaluating the brilliance of a lighted metal ball, is unstable.

Book Review: Pride of the South: A Social History of Southern Architecture, by Wayne Andrews, these words are absolutely fair, however, the capitalist world society is socialism paradoxically tastes.

Judaica Americana, the main idea of the socio-political views of Marx was that the plasma formation is unpredictable.

Visualizing public address, in our opinion, the polyline enhances destructive transfer of the

This website uses cookies to ensure you get the best experience on our website. Without cookies your experience may not be seamless.

Accept