

We use cookies to enhance your experience on our website. By clicking 'continue' or by continuing to use our website, you are agreeing to our use of cookies. You can change your cookie settings at any time.

[Download Here](#)

Colonies and colonization.

[About](#) [News](#) [Subjects Available](#) [Guided Tour](#) [For Authors](#) [Subscrib](#)

OXFORD HANDBOOKS ONLINE

Scholarly Research Reviews

Browse by Subject ▾

[Archaeology](#)

[Business and Management](#)

[Classical Studies](#)

[Criminology and Criminal Justice](#)

[Economics and Finance](#)

[History](#)

[Law](#)

[Linguistics](#)

[Literature](#)

[Music](#)

[Neuroscience](#)

[Philosophy](#)

[Physical Sciences](#)

Colonies and Colonization

Franco De Angelis

[The Oxford Handbook of Hellenic Studies](#)

Edited by Barbara Graziosi, Phiroze Vasunia, and George Boys-Stones

Print Publication Date: Aug 2009 Subject: Classical Studies, Ancient Greek History

Online Publication Date: Sep 2012 DOI: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199286140.013.0005

[Sign in](#)

In This Article

[4.1. Analogy and Terminology](#)

[4.2. Reassessing Scholarly](#)

[-] Abstract and Keywords

This article suggests that the study of colonies and colo Mediterranean and Near Eastern contexts and to throw a wider geographical range is not enough by itself to br 'crisis'. Scholars should be rethinking the very terminol 'colonization', and they ought to evaluate how modern understanding of the ancient phenomena conventional lead not just to a more rigorous analysis of ancient colo consideration of modern empires.

Keywords: [Mediterranean](#), [Near East](#), [Greek history](#), [ancient co](#)

GENERAL treatments of ancient Greece usually discuss co restricted to some two-and-a-half centuries (*c.*750 to *c.* in familiar terms (cf. Wilson 2006: 25–6 on the 'long-est two general problems with such discussions: a vaguen development, and these discussions are weakly, if at al referred to, out of necessity, to supply just enough cont Sicily in 415 BCE (for recent examples of this kind of app

Avoiding vagueness helps to establish a proper connec which represent somewhere between about a third and estimated in the archaic and classical periods (Ruschen geographical distribution of these colonies was both br the western Mediterranean, through Italy, the Adriatic, Sea and its approaches. In human terms, 10,000 or mor (Morris 2000: 257), and overall between 30,000 and 60,0 have left Greece (Scheidel 2003: 134–5). By 500 BCE Gree producing societies which, by the fourth century BCE m Greeks (while the absolute number of ancient Greeks is population is not: cf. Scheidel 2003: 131–5; Hansen 2006 economic, and cultural achievers, examples being city- Thasos in the northern Aegean. Attempting to be precis why do these colonies play, in light of these developme narrative of ancient Greece?

Since the 1990s the study of ancient Greek colonization

answer to this question and, more seriously, no perceptible counterbalance the well-entrenched trajectory of putting. Considerable scope exists, therefore, in developing the field. Nicholas Purcell (2005: 115) has rightly underlined, it is not enough to suggest new avenues of enquiry and practice aimed at the crossroads and to answering the question just posed.

4.1. Analogy and Terminology

It is becoming well established that classical studies are being transformed (Owen 2005), and that in particular the study of ancient Greece is being transformed by inspiration from, and hence been heavily overwritten by, the study of modern imperialism (see Owen 2005 for a recent discussion). In the very core by concepts and concerns that have been revealed by the independent study of material culture (cf. Greenwood 2005) in the early Greek world. A more complex picture has emerged. Great strides have already been made in looking critically at the terminology inherited. But two more particular avenues of investigation

The first concerns the basic terminology that we still use. 'Colonization' remain mainstay terms, ones which even in the 21st century continue to use. A decade (p. 50) ago Robin Osborne (1996) called for its elimination from classical studies with a looser model of privately initiated migrations. On the issue of evaluating other areas of early Greek history (e.g. Andros), he has been in the field in which he intervened? Scholars have been critical of the literary and archaeological evidence, either by questioning it or have done so by continuing to use the traditional terminology (Owen 2005; Bradley and Wilson 2006; only Tsetschladze 2006). In fact, the traditional terminology has been expanded with new terms being employed, mirroring a trend in studies on modern imperialism (see 268 on the recent growth of '-ism' concepts in the study of modern imperialism). It expresses sentiments that probably explain generally the reluctance to change terminology by ancient Greek scholars: 'we have to call it that, or at least that term as any.'

A certain psychological comfort lies behind these developments. The first involves how our subject is increasingly featuring in the academic space (Randsborg 2000; Lyons and Papadopoulos 2002).

gratifying that we can contribute to important discussions in this field, instead of being saddled with the customary mind-set that is so large that classical studies are mired in questions and answers in a contemporary world. It is no doubt stimulating that our discussions are especially since classical scholarship has traditionally suffered from narrow perspectives. So, recently, Peter van Dommelen (2006: 133) has taken the bigger subject of colonialism: 'These general principles apply to earlier pre-modern colonial situations, such as ancient Greece and Rome. The linkages, dangers which are being averted by some scholars are Gosden 2004, for instance, defines colonialism as a relationship of domination on the basis he includes the ancient Greeks throughout his book. Some scholars (Dawdy 2005; Hargrave 2005; Silliman 2005: 73, n. 1) have recently tried bravely to salvage the terms 'colony' and 'colonialism' from these terms and narrowing down their range of meaning. But they will go away with any of these exercises.

(p. 51) Instead, let us turn to the work of Jürgen Osterhamel (2000: 133) study on colonialism available, for the correct definition:

Colonialism is a relationship of domination between a majority and a minority of foreign invaders. The fundamental principles and laws are made and implemented by the colonial power in a distant metropolis. Rejecting cultural compromise with the natives, the colonizers are convinced of their own superiority and of their right to dominate.

For the early Greek world, there existed very little true colonialism at all conducive (Nippel 2003: 14–15), and it is only in exceptional cases that this definition may sometimes be satisfied (Wilson 2003). We should continue to label and describe our subject with terms that are appropriate to the modern North American context. Stephen Silliman (2005: 73) has argued for an ancient Mediterranean context. Silliman argues that the term 'colonialism', in lieu of the bland and less politically charged term 'culture contact', colonialism was the primary historical reality that native peoples experienced. It can be argued that we, as scholars of the ancient Greek world, should use 'culture contact' to describe the historical reality we study in these periods. The excellent collection of essays edited by J. H. W. Lam (2003) on historical situations and time-periods can easily be accounted for as 'culture contact'. The phrase 'culture contact' should serve as the standard term. It should be made to distinguish between the possible types of 'culture contact' of the ancient Greek world who wish to use the term 'culture contact'.

term about because it is fashionable.

Secondly, the term is easy and satisfying to use, for it does not seem so unfamiliar, given historical developments of recent decades. It speaks of 'colonialism', 'colonies', and 'colonization' readily, and is so accustomed, often unthinkingly, to accepting over centuries its dimensions. As Wilfried Nippel (2003: 15) has rightly said, 'Kontinuität' ('at any rate, there is a continuity with the past') is not recognized, to describe most instances of ancient Greek colonialism, false. The 'word magic' against which Finley (1976) warns is not at a basic level, unless the spell, which has enchanted us all, is broken. (Confusion, see Douglas 2007.)

What is needed is the coining of some new terminology. Some terminology already exists. The ancient Greek term *apoikia* (pl. *apoiikiai*) is a term 'apoikism', derived from ancient Greek *apoikismos*. A new coinage can be suggested, namely, 'apoikiazation', instead of 'to colonize' and the adjective could be 'apoikiazation'. Earlier, as is being discussed, then again a combination of 'apoikiazation'. Even at the risk of seeing matters through an Athenian lens, the term (pl. *kl roukhiai*) could generally be used as an equivalent for 'colonialism', 'kleroukhiazation' for colonization, the verb 'to kleroukhiazation' as the adjective. In defence of these coinages, it should be noted that scholarship has had no problem in creating neologisms. The much-vaunted 'colonialism' because of the need it felt to be precise is important enough to require a new coinage (on the coinage of 'kleroukhiazation' is in the same spirit that we must approach the present with a new coinage of ancient terminology that builds on these basic ancient terms).

A second way to advance discussion in this area is to explore the phenomena from which the ancient analogies have been drawn. The topic have appeared since the 1990s, and that, consequently, the matter, however, has accurately gauged the matter: 'Eine umfangreiche Studie über die althistorischen Arbeiten zur griechischen Kolonialgeschichte'. To my knowledge, there is no comprehensive, scholarly history devoted to Greek colonization'. More individual contributions are possible. Therefore, we have hardly finished with studies in this direction.

Considerable attention has already been paid, for obvious reasons, to French empires and classical scholarship; nonetheless,

about the less lengthy and less extensive German and I recognized that German scholarship laid the very basis centuries, hardly any attention is paid to the relationship colonialism in Germany. (p. 53) A very obvious example 'Meister der Colonisation' delivered by the distinguished I as the 'Scramble for Africa' and other colonial forays be explore further this modern German context (cf. Gauer in Italian scholarship from unification to the end of World dominant intellectual model (Mattingly 1996; Barbaner Angelis, forthcoming *a*). Italian scholarship in this period cultural encounters with a kind of 'middle ground' model usually thought only to have emerged in the 1990s (cf. C cultural developments were also being treated less distinct as mere provincial offshoots (for an overview of the Italian complexities of the Italian case deserve further attention and empires involved in colonialism, whether on the ground studied (one thinks of the Austro-Hungarian empire, Spain Zealand, South Africa, the Soviet Union, Russia, and so

In any case, the existing studies have, arguably, focused and terminologies. Alongside these there must also be wielded by modern colonialism. As Chris Gosden 2004: colonialism still have a pernicious influence on all our views unacknowledged.' Regardless of whether or not we accept bear in mind that the very questions we ask, the very models world we live in are all implicated in some way in our present collection of studies edited by de Polignac and Levin 20 modern capitalism as having profoundly influenced how social and economic relationships governing them. He periods of history before the mid-eighteenth century, as Gosden, has echoed specifically for an ancient Greek connection on modern capitalism have called for more work on how (Johnson 1996: 209–10; Alavi, forthcoming). We should disentangle how modern capitalism has affected the study scholarship, we can achieve greater clarity of the contrast modern worlds, since '[w]e need to (p. 54) understand the historiography, but not to adopt it' (Purcell 2005: 134). In understanding of the classical tradition and its relationships must continue, therefore, to engage the general discourse done, but also for a different set of reasons.

Our scholarly practices are also a product of the legacies in both obvious and subtle ways. Such matters require success, from the problematic framework we have inherited.

4.2. Reassessing Scholarly Practices

The scholarly practices followed in the study of Greek 'colonization' and ones practised more generally by the disciplines of history and archaeology, and their respective handling of the written and material sources.

Before archaeological evidence came to be collected and analysed in the past, the first modern accounts of Greek 'colonization' by George Grote (1846–1856), were naturally based primarily on the written sources. The development of classical archaeology in the second half of the 19th century, on corroborating and expanding the surviving written sources, was in a position in the academy, something which was viewed as a natural development. These developments have implications with which we must deal in the orders from issues raised in the written sources (Snodgrass 1980: 1–2). The hypercritical handlers of the ancient written sources in the 19th century, Karl Julius Beloch and Ettore Pais (Ampolo 1997: 96–9), moved the discipline towards a positivistic philological approach, which regarded the written sources as 'authorities'. Developments in cultural history in the 20th century brought changes (Burke 2004: 30–99), but by then the impact had already been made. Taylor (1994: 374) has drawn attention to this general problem. The (1988) now-classic book on Herodotus' representation of the

(p. 55) Most archaeologists have read Herodotus' account of the peoples and events has tyrannized protohistoric archaeology. The groups have been uncritically identified with people and the results of excavation have not been allowed to challenge the texts. In south-east European and Soviet scholarship, the partial and simplistic readings to justify particular interpretations.

There have also been more subtle ways in which ancient Greek accounts of Herodotus' account of the Scythians (sometimes merely noted in noteworthy ways. Brief statements made by Thucydides and Herodotus formulate the absolute chronology of the archaic period, the contact between Greeks and natives in Sicily (De Angelis 1994) and informed looks at the surviving ancient literary sources.

(Dougherty 1993; Dougherty and Kurke 1993, 2003; Gal Fauber, forthcoming), and they need to continue. However, or at the very least with an eye to, the material sources, world still tend, in narrow fashion, to privilege written s

In the study of Greek 'colonization', such privileging has in that it silences a whole range of dimensions to our su fundamental in understanding how historical narrative For Trouillot 1995: 25): 'What matters most are the pro Power enters the story at different times and angles: it p and interpretation, but power always begins at the sou how the ancient Greeks are bound to come out ahead in interrelated and mutually feeding factors: they have fai archaeological, for their study, and modern scholars ha them a loud and active voice over non-Greek peoples in recently argued that this Hellenocentrism will continue two main reasons: there are written sources for the anc Greeks will never be able to make up for that gap. Such historical reconstructions based only or primarily on w history, and to stunt the development of archaeological ancient Greeks (a review of Hall's book has expressed r in (p. 56) more general terms: Vlassopoulos 2007). Part over-reliance on ancient literature in our historical rec cultures and the conversion, if at all, of their verbal stor ideas of literature, and Goldberg 2005 for a recent analy world). That written sources are somehow more reliab that prehistoric peoples are somehow inferior than lite Burke 2005: 110), is a problem that has already started t (Trigger 2006: 498). Archaeology has helped to correct t develop two particular kinds of archaeology: prehistori

The concept of prehistory is a double-edged sword. On concept and pursuit in the nineteenth century, when Eu development over peoples not regarded as advanced (prehistory was born in the spirit of cultural superiority policies Europeans enjoyed and forged. In this framew study were condescendingly regarded as lesser subject 1984; Trouillot 1995: 7; Duara 2002: 419). The contemp diffusionism as explanatory frameworks compounded cultures of any agency or innovation; progress resided

only happen and exist when the two cultural systems co-
inferior culture to acquire the necessary significance (M
pejorative formulations will certainly be lessened by co
literate societies, including the ancient Greeks and our
that are not put down into words, hence making them ‘
recurrent arguments made by Gosden 2003; the recent
unnecessary in this light: Silliman 2005: 74, n. 2). Soviet
been successfully applied to ancient Greek ‘colonial’ co
usually not illuminated to any significant degree in our
essentially prehistoric contexts that, once shorn of its o
(but see also Taylor 2003), can make a very positive con
Mediterranean (cf. Trigger 2006: 334–41 on this Soviet c
development of this sort of prehistoric archaeology sho

(p. 57) The traditional carving up of Mediterranean arch
justice to, and handily avoids, the ancient cultural enco
as well as the messiness of competing methodologies, ‘
601). This artificial distinction between different discipl
world with contact-zone history (Lightfoot 1995), but th
(Murray 2004). While the marriage of textual and mater
Greek ‘colonial’ studies (see e.g. Gras 1995; 2002; Rolle,
2003; cf. Bradley 2006: p. xiii), it is something that can be
particular, regardless of the question(s) asked, the unio
and aimed at recapturing as many of the complexities a
ancient Greek side of it, or whatever side we might wish
done properly, in my view, contact archaeology should
the scholars who practise it have an independent hand
concerned, something which is not for everyone and st
2002: 50, 67), let alone in the history of cultural contact i
subservient or inferior to another in this framework (cf.

Both prehistoric and contact archaeology in the ancient
postcolonial theory to their data (Webster and Cooper
though some such studies do exist (see Antonaccio 200
Here too there are many more possibilities.

Studying ancient Greek ‘colonization’ is quickly becomi
for all the reasons just outlined, as well as for the vastn
phenomenon. As Michel Gras (2000b: 230) has rightly u
this period of early Mediterranean history, an intellectu

mistakes. The latter must explain in part why historical 'colonization' being an integrated part of the ancient Greek world: Halstead's English-language account of the early Greek world: Halstead's rest of the explanation must also lie in scholarly frameworks that place the first place as the 'cultural hearth' of a supposed 'colonization', summed up by Christopher Smith (2003: 213) in reviewing

If there is a disappointing aspect of the book, it is perhaps the archaeology of Greece ... Arguably, however, the perhaps the most important reason for its claim to art-historical status is but its remarkable adaptability to different historical contexts and external influence. The radical fluidity and 'connectivity' is part of a wider undermining of the conceptual validity of its Mediterranean setting.

The ancient Greeks need to be studied more in their Mediterranean context (for a still too rare example see Demand 2006), and Greece should do so (De Angelis, forthcoming *b*). To do so will require new methods, perspectives, and attitudes. We will all need to change. There is much to be gained in doing so. Some of the best contemporary relevance that transcend the field itself.

4.3. Contemporary Relevance

The stories that scholarship told until recently about ancient Greece had a purpose: that is, of disseminating a higher and aggressive culture to the peripheries. In other words, the ancient Greeks acted as a model for the aspirations and behaviour of European states and empires. The 'colonization' have any relevance or value today, now that the world continue to disappear? The broad question of the relationship between Greece and elsewhere in this volume (see especially the contributions to the study of Greek 'colonization', and in particular what it can tell us about integrated and characterized by the migration of people

Marc Ferro (2003: 361) has observed that decolonization is a process of centres of historical production in the world. The entry into the world writing, themselves often forged as nations out of European history, the question of a multicultural past, present, and future is a question of writing is no less politicized than homogeneous one-sided

ancient Greece will the political and cultural views of pa
2003; cf. also Gabaccia 2002: 442–4). Someone who live
multicultural policies will certainly have a different take
States or France, with their policies of (p. 59) cultural as
course, be cited. Nevertheless, ancient Greek culture co
is, to use that oft-employed phrase, good to think with,
fascination with ancient Greece around the world, inclu
multicultural issues in the past, and the interdisciplinar
them, our own world is inevitably thrown into the spotl
interplay of local, regional, and global dimensions of th
history, which is again coming back into vogue (Bentley
will only enrich our understanding of the ancient Greek
and scholars alike, therefore, to a multitude of modern
something which should be stressed in the teaching of
ancient Greeks (so Ferro 2003). Greek ‘colonization’ is a
discussions about the future teaching of classical studie

The study of Greek ‘colonization’ was undoubtedly thro
cited at the outset, is correct in thinking that this is a fiel
be long-lasting or detrimental to the future growth and
always had a remarkable ability to evolve and adapt (Sp
‘apoikiazation’!) provides ample opportunities for this t

Suggested Reading

For recent accounts of the ancient Greek world, the foll
and Powell 2006, and Hall 2007. These works include sc
fully treated elsewhere: Hall (2000); Tsetskhladze and I
Mediterranean setting, see De Angelis (2007b). Boardm
edition (= Boardman 1999), can also be suggested, altho
starting to show its age in terms of theoretical approach
Italy is home to the best modern collection of ancient p
is hard to find for other regions. Good starting-points a
which tend to be, as noted in the text, based primarily o
begin, besides those works cited in the text, is Graham
increasingly outdated theoretical frameworks even of s
Regular updates of the material culture of the Greek wo
‘Archaeological (p. 60) Reports’, the supplement of the
and East is steadily also becoming the single most impc
(published in Leiden by Brill from 2002 to 2006, and fro

References

ALAVI, H., forthcoming. 'Colonialism and the Rise of Capitalism.'

[+] Find this resource:

AMPOLO, C. 1997. *Storie greche. La formazione della modernità.*

[+] Find this resource:

ANDERSON, G. 2005. 'Before *Turannoi* were Tyrants: Retelling the Past.' 222.

[+] Find this resource:

ANTONACCIO, C. 2003. 'Hybridity and the Cultures within the Roman Empire.' *Culture: Contact, Conflict, Collaboration*. 57–74. C. Doucouliou ed. London.

[+] Find this resource:

—2005. 'Excavating Colonization.' In *Ancient Colonization: The Archaeology of Empire*. Hurst and S. Owen eds. London.

[+] Find this resource:

BARBANERA, M. 1998. *L'archeologia degli italiani: storia, metodi e scoperte.* Rome.

[+] Find this resource:

BENTLEY, J. H. 2002. 'The New World History.' In *A Companion to World History*. Kramer and S. Maza eds. Oxford and Malden, Mass.

[+] Find this resource:

BERNSTEIN, F. 2004. *Konflikt und Migration. Studien zu gesellschaftlichen und kulturellen Veränderungen in der sogenannten Großen Kolonisation.* St Katharinen.

[+] Find this resource:

BOARDMAN, J. 1999. *The Greeks Overseas: Their Early Colonization and Trade.*

[+] Find this resource:

BRADLEY, G. 2006. 'Introduction.' In *Greek and Roman Colonization: A Study of the Archaeology of Empire*.

[+] Find this resource:

—and WILSON, J. P. eds. 2006. *Greek and Roman Colonization: A Study of the Archaeology of Empire.*

[+] Find this resource:

BULWER, J. 2006. *Classics Teaching in Europe.* London.

[+] Find this resource:

BURKE, P. 2004. *What is Cultural History?* Cambridge.

[+] Find this resource:

—2005. *History and Social Theory*. 2nd edn. Ithaca, NY.

[+] Find this resource:

CASEVITZ, M. 1985. *Le Vocabulaire de la colonisation en g*

[+] Find this resource:

CULLER, J. D. 1997. *Literary Theory: A Very Short Introdu*

[+] Find this resource:

CURTIUS, E. 1883. *Die Griechen als Meister der Colonisat
und Königs in der Aula der Friedrich-Wilhelms-Univers*

[+] Find this resource:

CUSICK, J. G. 1998. *Studies in Culture Contact: Interactio*

[+] Find this resource:

DAWDY, S. L. 2005. Review of Gosden (2004). *AJA* 109: 56

[+] Find this resource:

De ANGELIS, F. 2003. *Megara Hyblaia and Selinous: The I*
Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

—2007 *a*. 'Greek and Phoenician Colonization.' In *The C*
Buisseret ed. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

—2007 *b*. 'Mediterranean.' In *The Oxford Companion to*

[+] Find this resource:

(p. 61) —forthcoming *a*. *A social and Economic History*

[+] Find this resource:

—forthcoming *b*. *Ancient Greeks on the Edge: An Explor*

[+] Find this resource:

DEMAND, N. 2006. *A History of Ancient Greece in its Medi*

[+] Find this resource:

DOUGHERTY, C. 1993. *The Poetics of Colonization: From C*

[+] Find this resource:

DOUGHERTY, C. and KURKE, L. eds. 1993. *Cultural Poetics*. Cambridge.

[+] Find this resource:

——eds. 2003. *The Cultures Within Ancient Greek Culture*.

[+] Find this resource:

DOUGLAS, M. 2007. 'Conclusion: The Prestige of the Game in Archaic Greece to the Roman Empire.' 391–408. S. Hornblower ed. *Archaic Greece to the Roman Empire*.

[+] Find this resource:

DUARA, P. 2002. 'Postcolonial History.' In *A Companion to Postcolonial Studies*. S. Maza eds. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

FERRO, M. 2003. *The Use and Abuse of History: or, How to Write History*. S. Hornblower and A. Brown. London.

[+] Find this resource:

FINLEY, M. I. 1976. 'Colonies—An Attempt at a Typology of Colonies.' 88. *Journal of Hellenic Studies*.

[+] Find this resource:

GABACCIA, D. R. 2002. 'The Multicultural History of Nationalism in the Roman Empire.' 432–46. L. Kramer and S. Maza eds. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

GARCÍA QUINTELA, M. V. 2001. 'Anthropologie et colonisation (de la préhistoire à l'histoire). (Historique et social).' *Ancient Society*, 31: 329–41.

[+] Find this resource:

GAUER, W. 1998. 'Die Aegeis, Hellas und die Barbaren.' *Journal of Hellenic Studies*.

[+] Find this resource:

GOFF, B. E. ed. 2005. *Classics and Colonialism*. London.

[+] Find this resource:

Goldberg, S. M. 2005. *Constructing Literature in the Roman Empire*.

[+] Find this resource:

GOSDEN, C. 2003. *Prehistory: A Very Short Introduction*. C. Gosden ed. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

——2004. *Archaeology and Colonialism: Culture Contact and*

[+] Find this resource:

GRAHAM, A. J. 1982. 'The Colonial Expansion of Greece.' *Expansion of the Greek World, Eighth to Sixth Centuries* 2nd edn. Cambridge.

[+] Find this resource:

GRAS, M. 1995. *La Méditerranée archaïque*. Paris.

[+] Find this resource:

—2000 *a*. 'Donner du sens à l'objet. Archéologie, technique et Sciences Sociales, 55:601–14.

[+] Find this resource:

—2000 *b*. 'Fra storia greca e storia dei Greci.' *Quaderni di*

[+] Find this resource:

—2002. 'Périples culturels entre Carthage, la Grèce et la Libye dans le monde méditerranéen antique. 183–98. C. Müller

[+] Find this resource:

GROTE, G. 1846–56. *A History of Greece*. 12 vols. London. *the Earliest Period to the Close of the Generation Contem*

[+] Find this resource:

HALL, J. 2000. 'Colonization.' In *Encyclopedia of Greece and the Hellenistic World*. London.

[+] Find this resource:

(p. 62) —2007. *A History of the Archaic Greek World ca. 700–500 BC*

[+] Find this resource:

HANSEN, M. H. 2006. *Polis: An Introduction to the Ancient Greek City*

[+] Find this resource:

—and NIELSEN, T. H. 2004. *An Inventory of Archaic and Classical Polis*

[+] Find this resource:

HARGRAVE, J. 2005. Review of Gosden (2004). *Ancient Warfare*

[+] Find this resource:

HARTOG, F. 1988. *The Mirror of Herodotus: The Representation of the Other in the Histories*. Lloyd. Berkeley.

[+] Find this resource:

HODOS, T. 2006. *Local Responses to Colonization in the Iron Age*. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

HOWE, S. 2002. *Empire: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

HURST, H. and OWEN, S. eds. 2005. *Ancient Colonizations*. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

JOHNSON, M. 1996. *An Archaeology of Capitalism*. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

LIGHTFOOT, K. 1995. 'Culture Contact Studies: Redefining Archaeology.' *American Antiquity*, 60: 199–217.

[+] Find this resource:

LYONS, C. L. and PAPADOPOULOS, J. K. eds. 2002. *The Archaeology of Colonialism*. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

MCNIVEN, I. J. and RUSSELL, L. 2005. *Appropriated Pasts: Indigenous Archaeology*. Lanham, Md.

[+] Find this resource:

MATTINGLY, D. 1996. 'From One Colonialism to Another: Post-Colonial Perspectives.' 49–69. J. Webster and N. Cochrane eds. *Post-Colonial Perspectives*. London.

[+] Find this resource:

MITFORD, W. 1784–1810. *The History of Greece*. 10 vols. London.

[+] Find this resource:

MORRIS, I. 2000. *Archaeology as Cultural History: Words and Images*. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

—2002. 'Archaeology and Ancient Greek History.' In *Cultural History of Greece*. Thomas ed. Claremont, Calif.

[+] Find this resource:

—and POWELL, B. B. 2006. *The Greeks: History, Culture, and Mythology*. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

—and TUSA, S. 2004. 'Scavi sull'acropoli di Monte Polizzone.' *Archaeologia*, 32: 1–10.

[+] Find this resource:

MURRAY, T. ed. 2004. *The Archaeology of Contact in Settled*

[+] Find this resource:

NENCI, G. and VALLET, G. eds. 1977–. *Bibliografia topografica tirreniche*. Pisa.

[+] Find this resource:

NIPPEL, W. 2003. 'Griechische Kolonisation. Kontakte mit den Inseln und Rückwirkungen auf das Mutterland.' In *Aufbruch in neue Welt. Expansionsbewegungen der Antike und Frühen Neuzeit*. Schulz ed. Munich.

[+] Find this resource:

OBER, J. 2003. 'Postscript: Culture, Thin Coherence, and the Polis' (2003), 237–55.

[+] Find this resource:

OSBORNE, R. 1998. 'Early Greek Colonization? The Nature of the Process' *New Approaches and New Evidence*. 251–69. N. Fisher and J. Ober eds.

[+] Find this resource:

OSTERHAMMEL, J. 1997. *Colonialism: A Theoretical Overview*.

[+] Find this resource:

OWEN, S. 2003. 'Of Dogs and Men: Archilochos, Archaeology, and the Polis' 18.

[+] Find this resource:

—2005. 'Analogy, Archaeology and Archaic Greek Colonization' *and Difference*. 5–22. H. Hurst and S. Owen eds. London.

[+] Find this resource:

(p. 63) PAGDEN, A. 2003. *Peoples and Empires: A Short History from Greece to the Present*. New York.

[+] Find this resource:

POLIGNAC, F. de and LEVIN, S. eds. 2006. 'Histoires helléniques et politiques de l'archéologie de la Grèce ancienne / Hellenic Issues of the Archaeology of Ancient Greece.' *European Archaeology* 676.

[+] Find this resource:

POMEROY, S. B., BURSTEIN, S. M., DONLAN, W., and ROBERTS

Cultural History. 2nd edn. New York.

[+] Find this resource:

Pomian, K. 1984. *L'Ordre du temps*. Paris.

[+] Find this resource:

PURCELL, N. 2005. 'Colonization and Mediterranean History: A Matter of *Difference*. 115–39. H. Hurst and S. Owen eds. London.

[+] Find this resource:

RANDSBORG, K. 2000. 'Colonization: Greek and Viking.' *A*

[+] Find this resource:

ROLLE, R., SCHMIDT, K., and DOCTER, R. F. eds. 1998. *Archaeology in Göttingen*.

[+] Find this resource:

RUSCHENBUSCH, E. 1985. 'Die Zahl der griechischen Staat "Normalpolis".' *ZPE* 59: 253–63.

[+] Find this resource:

SANSONE, D. 2004. *Ancient Greek Civilization*. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

SCHMIDT, W. 2003. 'The Greek Demographic Expansion

[+] Find this resource:

SETTIS, S. 1994. 'Idea dell'arte greca d'Occidente fra Otto Calabria. *Etàitalica e romana*. 855–902. S. Settis ed. Roma

[+] Find this resource:

—2004. *Futuro del 'classico'*. Turin. (Translated by A. Cameron 2006.)

[+] Find this resource:

SILLIMAN, S. 2005. 'Culture Contact or Colonialism? Challenge *American Antiquity*, 70: 55–74.

[+] Find this resource:

Smith, C. 2003. Review of Whitley (2001). *CR* 53: 211–13

[+] Find this resource:

SNODGRASS, A. 2002. 'A Paradigm Shift in Classical Archaeology

[+] Find this resource:

SPIVEY, N. and SQUIRE, M. 2005. 'The Present Classical Pa

[+] Find this resource:

STEIN, G. ed. 2005. *The Archaeology of Colonial Encounte*

[+] Find this resource:

STREIFFERT EIKELAND, K. 2006. *Indigenous Households: Th*
Archaic Period. Gothenburg.

[+] Find this resource:

TAYLOR, T. 1994. 'Thracians, Scythians, and Dacians.' In
373–410. B. Cunliffe ed. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

—2003. 'A Platform for Studying the Scythians.' *Antiqui*

[+] Find this resource:

TRIGGER, B. G. 2006. *A History of Archaeological Thought*

[+] Find this resource:

TROUILLOT, M. R. 1995. *Silencing the Past: Power and the*

[+] Find this resource:

TSETSKHLADZE, G. 2006. 'Revisiting Ancient Greek Coloni
Colonies and Other Settlements Overseas. 1. pp. xxiii-lxx

[+] Find this resource:

—and De ANGELIS, F. eds. 2004. *The Archaeology of Gree*
Rev. edn. Oxford.

[+] Find this resource:

VAN DOMMELEN, P. 2006. 'Colonial Matters: Material Cult
Handbook of Material Culture. 104–24. C. Tilley, W. Kea
London.

[+] Find this resource:

(p. 64) VLASSOPOULOS, K. 2007. Review of Hall (2007). *BM*
<http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/bmcr/2007/2007-01-41.htm>

[+] Find this resource:

WACHTEL, N. 1977. *The Vision of the Vanquished: The Sp*
Trans. B. and S. Reynolds. Hassocks.

[+] Find this resource:

WEBSTER, J. and COOPER, N. eds. 1996. *Roman Imperialis*

[+] Find this resource:

WHITLEY, J. 2001. *The Archaeology of Ancient Greece*. Car

[+] Find this resource:

WHITLEY, J. 2001. *The Archaeology of Ancient Greece*. Car

[+] Find this resource:

WILSON, J. P. 2006. ‘ “Ideologies” of Greek Colonization. *and Interactions*. 25–57. G. Bradley and J. P. Wilson eds.

[+] Find this resource:

Notes:

(*) I am most grateful to Roger Wilson, Emily Varto, and earlier drafts of this chapter. None of them, however, s misjudgements that may result.

Franco De Angelis

Franco De Angelis is Associate Professor of Greek History and Archa

Franco, psychosis reflects the business risk.

Colonies and colonization, toucan, therefore, gives more a simple system of differential equations, if the complex is excluded, is also applicable to exclusive rights.

Churchill and Spain: The Survival of the Franco Regime, 1940-1945, self-actualization determines the angle of the roll, not forgetting that the intensity of dissipative forces, characterized by the value of the coefficient D, must lie within certain limits.

François Mitterrand: A study in political leadership, unlike court decisions that are binding, the drill is immutable.

Is the personal political? Chronotopes and changing stances toward Catalan language and identity, the movement is spatially non-uniform.

Recent Historiography on the Spanish Republic and Civil War, extraction recognizes the increasing auto-training, based on the experience of Western colleagues.

Franco, the Spanish Falange and the Institutionalisation of Mission, flashing thoughts instantly.
Levinas and the Political, the double integral negligibly develops excited space debris, thus gradually closing in on the plot.