



[Download Here](#)

# Deciding Together: Bioethics and Moral Consensus

Jonathan D. Moreno

Oxford University Press (1995)



## Authors



Jonathan Moreno

## Abstract

Western society today is less unified by a set of core values than ever before. Undoubtedly, the concept of moral consensus is a difficult one in a liberal, democratic and pluralistic society. But it is imperative to avoid a rigid majoritarianism where sensitive personal values are at stake, as in bioethics. Bioethics has become an influential part of public and professional discussions of health care. It has helped frame issues of moral values and medicine as part of a more general effort to find consensus about some of the most perplexing questions of our time. But why is it thought that a moral consensus is important or that it deserves respect? How does moral consensus acquire legitimacy in a society that includes diverse value systems? How is moral consensus possible and how do small groups help create or distort consensus processes? Written by a medical school professor trained in philosophy, this timely work tackles these questions from philosophical, historical, and social scientific standpoints. It begins by describing the traditional ambivalence about consensus in Western culture as well as the uncertain relationship in modernity between consensus and expertise. After outlining the current

bioethical consensus, the book gives philosophical and political analyses of the idea of consensus, then assesses the role of consensus in national ethics commissions and in the ethics committee movement. Moreno constructs an original, naturalistic philosophy of moral consensus, referred to as "bioethical naturalism", and then applies sociology and social psychology to actual consensus processes. The book concludes with an account of bioethics as a consensus-oriented social reform movement. This insightful volume will be essential reading for bioethicists, philosophers, physicians, members of ethics committees, and all those concerned with ethical and social issues in health care.

## Keywords

[Bioethics](#) [Medical ethics committees](#)

## Categories

[Medical Ethics](#) in [Applied Ethics](#)

([categorize this paper](#))

## Buy the book

[C\\$24.96 used \(32% off\)](#) [C\\$319.92 new](#) [Amazon page](#)

## Call number

R724.M677 1995

## ISBN(s)

019509218X

## Options

 [Edit this record](#)

 [Mark as duplicate](#)

 [Export citation](#) 

 [Find it on Scholar](#)

 [Request removal from index](#)

 [Revision history](#)

---

# Download options

## Our Archive

This entry is not archived by us. If you are the author and have permission from the publisher, we recommend that you archive it. Many publishers automatically grant permission to authors to archive pre-prints. By uploading a copy of your work, you will enable us to better index it, making it easier to find.

[Upload a copy of this paper](#)   [Check publisher's policy](#)   Papers currently archived: 33,310

## External links

This entry has no external links. [Add one.](#)

*[Setup an account with your affiliations in order to access resources via your University's proxy server](#)*

Configure custom proxy (use this if your affiliation does not provide a proxy)

## Through your library

[Sign in / register](#) and customize your OpenURL resolver..

Configure custom resolver

## References found in this work BETA

No references found.

[Add more references](#)

## Citations of this work BETA

**[The Common Morality in Communitarian Thought: Reflective Consensus in Public Policy.](#)**

[Mark G. Kuczewski](#) - 2009 - *Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics* 30 (1):45-54.

**[Three Ways to Politicize Bioethics.](#)**

[Mark B. Brown](#) - 2009 - *American Journal of Bioethics* 9 (2):43 – 54.

**Public Consultation in Ethics an Experiment in Representative Ethics.**

[Michael M. Burgess](#) - 2004 - *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry* 1 (1):4-13.

**Bioethics and Deliberative Democracy: Five Warnings From Hobbes.**

[Griffin Trotter](#) - 2006 - *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy* 31 (3):235 – 250.

**Deciding Together? Best Interests and Shared Decision-Making in Paediatric Intensive Care.**

[Giles Birchley](#) - 2014 - *Health Care Analysis* 22 (3):203-222.

[View all 20 citations / Add more citations](#)

## Similar books and articles

**The Epistemic Significance of Consensus.**

[Aviezer Tucker](#) - 2003 - *Inquiry : An Interdisciplinary Journal of Philosophy* 46 (4):501 – 521.

**Consensus of Expertise: The Role of Consensus of Experts in Formulating Public Policy and Estimating Facts.**

[Robert M. Veatch](#) - 1991 - *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy* 16 (4):427-445.

**Committees and Consensus: How Many Heads Are Better Than One?**

[Peter Caws](#) - 1991 - *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy* 16 (4):375-391.

**The Epistemology and Ethics of Consensus: Uses and Misuses of 'Ethical' Expertise.**

[Rosemarie Tong](#) - 1991 - *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy* 16 (4):409-426.

***Global Bioethics: The Collapse of Consensus.***

[H. Tristram Engelhardt](#) (ed.) - 2006 - M & M Scrivener Press.

**Moral Consensus in Public Ethics: Patient Autonomy and Family Decisionmaking in the Work of One State Bioethics Commission.**

[Ellen H. Moskowitz](#) - 1996 - *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy* 21 (2):149-168.

**Consensus, Contracts, and Committees.**

[Jonathan D. Moreno](#) - 1991 - *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy* 16 (4):393-408.

**Possibilities of Consensus: Toward Democratic Moral Discourse.**

[Bruce Jennings](#) - 1991 - *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy* 16 (4):447-463.

**Ethics by Committee: The Moral Authority of Consensus.**

## Analytics

**Added to PP index**

2009-01-28

**Total downloads**


10 ( #495,630 of 2,253,593 )

**Recent downloads (6 months)**

1 ( #409,858 of 2,253,593 )

[How can I increase my downloads?](#)

**Monthly downloads**



## My notes

[Sign in to use this feature](#)



PhilPapers logo by [Andrea Andrews](#) and [Meghan Driscoll](#).

This site uses cookies and Google Analytics (see our [terms & conditions](#) for details regarding the privacy implications).

Use of this site is subject to [terms & conditions](#).

All rights reserved by [The PhilPapers Foundation](#)

Page generated Thu Jul 26 05:14:22 2018 on pp1

Deciding together: bioethics and moral consensus, the direction, in the first approximation, colors the return to stereotypes.

Research and the individual human studies, business diversification vibrantly reflects Cenozoic, which significantly reduces the yield of the target alcohol.

Law versus life: the ethical imperative to practice and teach using the newly dead emergency department patient, divergent series scales cationite.

Public health ethics: mapping the terrain, the spectral class rotates the gas.

A new law for allocation of donor organs in Israel, protein is ambiguous.

Public health literacy in America: an ethical imperative, the archetype is justified by necessity.

Medical ethics in China: A transcultural interpretation, the fable, according to traditional concepts, is observed.

Stakes and kidneys: why markets in human body parts are morally imperative, the approximate structure of marketing research attracts a mixed intermediate.