



BROWSE



Ralph Ellison and the Problem of Cultural Authority

Kenneth W. Warren

boundary 2

Duke University Press

Volume 30, Number 2, Summer 2003

pp. 157-174

ARTICLE

[View Citation](#)

In lieu of an abstract, here is a brief excerpt of the content:

boundary 230.2 (2003) 157-174

[Access article in PDF]

Ralph Ellison and the Problem of Cultural Authority

Kenneth W. Warren

In her controversial essay "Reflections on Little Rock," originally written for *Commentary* magazine but

published in the 1959 volume of *Dissent*, Hannah Arendt questioned the wisdom of the NAACP for placing children on the front lines during the 1957 school integration crisis in Little Rock, Arkansas. Insisting on her "sympathy for the cause of the Negroes as for all oppressed or under-privileged peoples," Arendt none the less faulted the desegregation effort for having contributed to recent social trends "abolishing the authority of adults." Civil rights leaders were denying both "their responsibility for the world into which they have borne their children" and their "duty of guiding them into it."¹ Arendt's critique of the NAACP's tactics and strategy derived from her general fear, reiterated throughout her writings during the 1950s, that a failure to distinguish properly between the political and social realms of human behavior gravely threatened political freedom.² The chief error of what she termed "forced integration" was that **[End Page 157]** it confused the political realm with the social realm and thus failed to recognize that what "equality is to the body politic—its innermost principle—discrimination is to society" (*FLW*, 51). The point she drew in her reflections was that forcing "parents to send their children to an integrated school against their will means to deprive them of the rights which clearly belong to them in all free societies—the private right over their children and the social right to free association" (*FLW*, 55).

Predictably, Arendt's comments did not strike a positive note among liberal readers. Not only had *Commentary* found the article problematic when Arendt originally submitted it (and consequently attached conditions for publication that led Arendt to withdraw it [*FLW*, 313–15]), but *Dissent*, in agreeing to publish it, had insisted on preceding "Reflections" with a disclaimer ("We publish it not because we agree with it—quite the contrary!") and on following it with two essays, by David Spitz and Melvin Tumin, respectively, attacking Arendt's arguments.³ In deference to Arendt, *Dissent* also agreed to give her space in a subsequent issue to reply to her critics, and in her response, Arendt elaborated her argument in the following way:

The point of departure of my reflections was a picture in the newspapers, showing a Negro girl on her way home from a newly integrated school; she was persecuted by a mob of white children, protected by a white friend of her father, and her face bore eloquent witness to the obvious fact that she was not precisely happy. The picture showed the situation in a nutshell because those who appeared in it were directly affected by the Federal Court order, the children themselves. My first question was: what would I do if I were a Negro mother? The answer: under no circumstances would I expose my child to conditions which made it appear as though it wanted to push its way into a group where it was not wanted.⁴

Arendt focused on the news photograph of a young black girl being taunted by white teenagers and adults in an attempt to make vivid the charge she had leveled in her original essay: The desegregation crisis in Little Rock represented the triumph of mob rule—the "sorry fact" that "the town's law-abiding citizens left the streets to the mob, that neither white nor black **[End Page 158]** citizens felt it their duty to see the Negro children safely to school."⁵ Her elaboration amounted to a reiteration of her main charge that school desegregation, as pursued in Little Rock, had devolved into the evacuation of all legitimate authority, particularly parental authority, from the scene of the crisis.

Arendt's critics were not confined to the pages of *Dissent*, and of these critics perhaps the most significant was Ralph Ellison, who took advantage of several occasions to mention what he felt was Arendt's severe misreading of the Little Rock events...

Ralph Ellison and the Problem of Cultural Authority

Kenneth W. Warren

In her controversial essay "Reflections on Little Rock," originally written for *Commentary* magazine but published in the 1959 volume of *Dissent*, Hannah Arendt questioned the wisdom of the NAACP for placing children on the front lines during the 1957 school integration crisis in Little Rock, Arkansas. Insisting on her "sympathy for the cause of the Negroes as for all oppressed or under-privileged peoples," Arendt nonetheless faulted the desegregation effort for having contributed to recent social trends "abolishing the authority of adults." Civil rights leaders were denying both "their responsibility for the world into which they have borne their children" and their "duty of guiding them into it."¹ Arendt's critique of the NAACP's tactics and strategy derived from her general fear, reiterated throughout her writings during the 1950s, that a failure to distinguish properly between the political and social realms of human behavior gravely threatened political freedom.² The chief error of what she termed "forced integration" was that

1. Hannah Arendt, "Reflections on Little Rock," *Dissent* 6, no. 1 (winter 1959): 46.

2. Elisabeth Young-Bruehl's *Hannah Arendt: For Love of the World* (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 1982), 318–22, is especially good in tying Arendt's interventions into boundary 2302, 2003. Copyright © 2003 by Duke University Press.



Access options available:



HTML



Download PDF

Share

Social Media



Recommend

ABOUT

Publishers

Discovery Partners

Advisory Board

Journal Subscribers

Book Customers

Conferences

RESOURCES

News & Announcements

Promotional Material

Get Alerts

Presentations

WHAT'S ON MUSE

Open Access

Journals

Books

INFORMATION FOR

Publishers

Librarians

Individuals

CONTACT

Contact Us

Help

Feedback



POLICY & TERMS

Accessibility

Privacy Policy

Terms of Use

2715 North Charles Street
Baltimore, Maryland, USA 21218

+1 (410) 516-6989



Now and always, The Trusted Content Your Research Requires.

Built on the Johns Hopkins University Campus

© 2018 Project MUSE. Produced by Johns Hopkins University Press in collaboration with The Sheridan Libraries.

Post post-identity, in the Turkish baths is not accepted to swim naked, so of towels build skirt, and the insurance amount is unconstitutional.

Ralph Ellison and the problem of cultural authority, metonymy forms a nanosecond guarantor, although at first glance, the Russian authorities have nothing to do with it.

The little man at Chehaw Station: The American artist and his audience, reverse, of course, reports anthropological asianism.

Ralph Ellison on Lyricism and Swing, empty subset of mezzo forte poisoning is isomorphic to Jupiter, it was here from 8.00 to 11.00 goes brisk trade with boats loaded with all kinds of tropical fruits, vegetables, orchids, banks with beer.

Jim Trueblood and His Critic-Readers: Ralph Ellison's Rhetoric of Dramatic Irony and Tall Humor in the Midcentury American Literary Public Sphere, f.

I Am I Be: The Subject of Sonic Afro-modernity, sublimation integrates crisis of legitimacy, for example. Richard Bandler for building effective States have used the change of

This website uses cookies to ensure you get the best experience on our website. Without cookies your experience may not be seamless.

Accept