



Pictures at a Remove: Seth's Drawn Photographs

By Daniel Marrone [citation](#) · [printer friendly version](#)

The metapicture is not a subgenre within the fine arts but a fundamental potentiality inherent in pictorial representation as such: it is the place where pictures reveal and 'know' themselves, where they reflect on the intersection of visuality, language, and similitude, where they engage in speculation and theorizing on their own nature and history.

— W.J.T. Mitchell, *Picture Theory* 82

1 To begin, a metapicture from the history of photography: a framed picture, unassumingly in the centre of Daguerre's early photograph of a cabinet of curiosities. The picture is too small to clearly make out (though a figure is visible) and the bevelled frame is obscured by the hazy edge of the daguerreotype. The lower, right-hand corner of the frame meets the rounded contour of a wicker-wrapped flask, also suspended in a central position, serving as counterpoint to the rectangular picture. An array of plaster casts, one of which—a bas relief panel angled against a wall—has its own built-in frame. The objects, presumably arranged by Daguerre, draw the eye through the cramped cabinet in several passes, from one image to another. In this way, one of the surviving photographs (dated 1837) offers the viewer a series of contiguous representations, the largest and most prominent of which are isolated by frame.

Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed

Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

2 Photographs of pictures—of paintings, drawings, illustrations—remain familiar in any number of contexts (newspapers, magazines, websites, textbooks, advertisements). The inverse, which is to say non-photographic representation of photographs, is not common. A photograph of a picture is rarely even acknowledged as such; in many cases it is simply considered a "reproduction" of the original. A drawn photograph, however, is and foremost a drawing.

3 Photography is still commonly regarded as objective, mechanical, scientific, and on the whole quite public and accessible—in other words, the ideal medium for this logic, cartooning (which I treat as a specific mode of drawing, distinct from photography) can seem subjective, manual, intuitive, insular and overall comparatively private. In regard to the past, much more a medium of memory. Of course, in practice, photographs pervade private and domestic spaces, and have always functioned as souvenirs and mementos. By the same token, although comics do not quite constitute a mass culture (in the same way as, for instance, television), they are hardly exclusive.

means exclusively used to tell personal stories. Nonetheless, notions of objectivity and cartoon subjectivity persist. Nancy Pedri summarizes in the distinction between photography and painting as theorized along the axis where photography is unmediated and painting is authored, has been cartooning." Pedri notes that, according to this distinction, the cartoon "cannot be removed from the photographic image." The drawn photographs of acclaimed cartoonist Seth exploit this perceived difference between the two modes, and the ambivalence of the reader to animate them.

4 This ambivalence encapsulates the ambivalence that photography on its own offers to a viewer (but does not strictly compound it, as in the case of a photo of a person), and the inherent indeterminacy of the photographic image is rooted in its relationship to the viewer, which in certain respects corresponds to the relationship of Seth's comics to the viewer. In reviewing some considered observations about photography, I hope to illustrate some similarities. Susan Sontag's remarks—despite their occasionally vexing aphorisms, are ring too true to be ignored. Though certainly not an infallible sourcebook, *On Photography* does serve as a useful point of reference. For instance, Sontag writes, "photography promotes nostalgia. Photography is an elegiac art, a twilight art" (15). As John Berger, such statements are "neither supported historically nor developed theoretically, but they are nevertheless suggestive and may be productively aligned with the observations of other critics. Sontag's identification of photography as a nostalgic medium is comparable to Siegfried Kracauer's comparable reflections on Proust and "the possible role of melancholy in photographic vision" (Kracauer 16). Melancholy likewise plays an important role in cartoon vision of the world, the twilight quality of which is particularly apparent in drawn photographs.

Framing Different Immobilities

5 Seth's drawn photographs are patent meta-images, representations of representations that give the impression of being twice-mediated, and in rare instances this is actually the case (as in the yearbook sketch from *Palookaville 20* and the drawing of the snapshot in *It's a Good Life, If You Don't Weaken*, discussed below). Many of these drawings presumably have no photographic referent. And yet they carry on representing

—what is it that they mediate? C. S. Peirce's semiotic typology (index, icon, symbol) proves useful in attempting to untangle such representational knots. Christa Sommerich notes that "Peirce considered photography as an index *and* an icon" ("Photography and the Iconic," 82). In Peircian terms, the cartoon operates principally in iconic and symbolic modes. A cartoon rendering of a photograph may be read as a photographic index of the world—i.e. it *symbolically* and *iconically* represents an *indexical* perspective.

6 Sontag draws attention to some of the distinguishing features of the photographic perspective: "The camera makes reality atomic, manageable, and a view of the world that denies interconnectedness, continuity, but which conveys a moment the character of a mystery" (23). In many of these respects, the photograph is fundamentally different from the comics panel, which usually exists in a context that depends on interconnectedness and continuity for much of its legibility. The photograph remains somewhat opaque by virtue of its relative separateness—the typical comic panel is atomized—but the panel's co-operation with adjacent panels lends the image a certain transparency, because the reader must consolidate them to generate meaning. Metz may provide a helpful point of comparison: cinematic images are so rapidly consolidated for the viewer as to be totally transparent; there is no need for individual frames to be adjacent in space because the sequence of images is so rapid in time.

7 These observations almost necessarily lead the discussion toward the issue of the lexis, which may aid in the comparison of media because each medium has a distinctive spatio-temporal size and temporal perception. Between photography and film, Metz identifies a fundamental difference in

the spatio-temporal size of the lexis, according to that term's definition by Danish semiotician Louis Hjelmslev. The lexis is the socialized unit of reading and reception: in sculpture, the statue; in music, the 'piece'. Obviously the photographic lexis, a silent rectangle of paper, is much smaller than the cinematic lexis. (81)

In comics, as in traditional literature, the lexis is the book or, for shorter works, the number of pages. Metz goes on to explain that "the photographic lexis has no fixed

(= temporal size): it depends, rather, on the spectator, who is the master whereas the timing of the cinematic lexis is determined in advance by the film. Like the photograph, the comics panel has no fixed duration; however, the duration which the panel operates has a duration that is both guided by the author and by the reader (who is in this context the "master of the look"). In these durations, in no other terms, it may be fair to situate comics somewhere between photography and cinema.

8 The frame plays a very significant role in the determination of these lexes, especially in photography, where it essentially constitutes the entirety of the lexis: not only does the photographic frame instantly establish spatial parameters, it is also the source of the photographic image's temporal isolation. For the comics panel, the frame simply "to enclose a fragment of space-time belonging to the diegesis" (Groensteen *Comics* 40). The panel, however, is rarely a self-sufficient totality. The standard photograph pictures a discrete moment, and as such suggests the moments not pictured beyond the frame. Metz compares photography and film in this regard, and notes that the cinematic "off-frame space is *étouffé*, let us say 'substantial,' whereas the photographic off-frame space is 'subtle.' In film there is a plurality of successive frames...so that if an object which is off-frame may appear inside the frame the moment it disappears again, and so on" (86).

9 In comics, "frames" are not successive but rather consecutive, adjacent in space and also typically arranged in a sequence that approximates the passage of time—the co-presence of images that defines the comics page. For the reader, this means that a person or object may appear in several places at once, or even doubled, side-by-side in adjacent panels. In comics, there is no photographic or cinematic "off-frame space" because this space is usually swarming with other panels (this is of course not the case in the single-panel gag). The off-frame space—or, rather, off-panel space—exists in the gaps between panels, which both accommodates and demands readerly interpolation.

10 About framing in photography, Sontag says "the point is precisely to see the whole means of a part—an arresting detail, a striking way of cropping" (170). On the

by contrast, the whole is seen by means of many different parts, an array of arrangements. Comics share with film what Metz calls "the plurality of images" (83), a plurality that implies the passage of time. At one point, he imagines a hypothetical film in which every shot is a still image, a film composed of "successive and different immobilities." This phrase might be adapted to describe the comics page as a network of sequential but simultaneous immobilities, sometimes different, sometimes quite similar.

11 Immobility is the quality that comics and photography have most in common. Unlike static images to the reader (most critics insist that photographs are not simply "read"). The stillness of the image appears more pronounced in photography than in film, even and especially in blurry "action" shots that indicate objects in motion. Because of the photograph's uniquely mechanical, vestigial relation to what it depicts, the photographic image is frozen in time—"a neat slice of time," as Sontag puts it, "a way that has no real parallel in other media. In comics, the temporal interval of a panel is never so tidy and definite as it is in a photograph, even a long-exposure photograph of an unknown duration. Frozen, isolated from the flow of time, the photograph is a still result, invoking time more insistently than other image-based media. "Precisely by cutting out this moment and freezing it," Sontag says, "all photographs testify to time as we melt" (15).

12 The stillness epitomized by the photograph is characteristic of many contemporary comic books (which to some extent are reacting against action-oriented comics). This stillness lingers in the background of Seth's comics, fortifying the stillness of his pages while also coming to the foreground in moments that emphasize the affinity between the two, but also muddle the reader's perception. The first part of *Clyde Fans* ends with a sequence that alternate between Abe and a drawn photograph of Simon (fig. 2). It is a dense sequence that plays various kinds of stillness off of each other. Just as the stillness is a notable feature of Seth's drawing, here it becomes clear that it is also a key component of his storytelling. As part of this complex of narrative and visual sequence also invokes motion pictures: there is the sense of a cinematic "zoom" as the portrait of Simon fills the last panel, and this magnification is "intercut" with a shot of Abe sitting. Though this sequence strongly suggests film, it highlights an absence

and could only be achieved on a comics page.

Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

13 In the opening pages of Part Two of *Clyde Fans*, the reader encounters a panel similar to the drawn photo that closes Part One: a frontal view of Simon on a train in his seat. By all accounts the two panels are almost identically rendered, but through the force of context, and subtle differences in lighting and posture, the drawn image presents itself as especially static. In the sequence described above, the drawn image of Simon has already appeared twice on the page, with increasing prominence and its stillness, before it fills its own panel completely. By contrast, the image of Simon appears in the centre of its page, surrounded by panels that depict passing scenery, the train, as well as the train itself. Part of a symmetrical two-page spread, this image of Simon mirrors a corresponding panel on the opposing page which shows him looking out the train window. The change between these mirror images of Simon (each centered at the centre of their respective, opposing pages) gives the impression of movement.

activity, however minimal.

14 Both the photograph and the panel are autonomous units, isolated by frames similar that they may be seamlessly superimposed. Even though the panel type within a network of panels, it remains an isolated fragment of the narrative. The photograph appears as an isolated fragment of time. This relation between time may be more than just analogous: as Peter Wollen observes, with photography, "it is impossible to extract our concept of time completely from narrative" (77). In freezing time, photography necessarily fragments it, and affects its narrativization; a comics page offers a sequence of co-present narrative panels that are understood by the reader in temporal terms. Seth's drawn photographs and panels are these complicated temporal relations in metapictures that silently invite the reader to consider the nature of visual mediation.

Absence and Pseudo-Presence

15 This silent invitation does not overtake the story. Even when the similarity between a photographic image and comics panel is emphasized, the coherence of the story world is not really compromised in any way. In fact, Seth's drawn photographs are as common and apparently neutral as any actual photos the reader might encounter in everyday life. Their appearance seems perfectly natural, shoring up the credibility of the characters' shared, documented histories. So it is not particularly jarring when a photograph appears in one of his books: the final page of *It's a Good Life, It's a Good Life*—just before Seth's author photo—features an actual snapshot of "Kalo," a character who has already seen a version of this picture, drawn by Seth, earlier in the book. This snapshot went a long way toward encouraging early readers of the story to believe that the story was true, made up of events actually experienced by Seth. "Since its inception," says Sontag, "the photographic medium is considered to be closely associated with the real and the referent." The photograph of the man labeled "Kalo" is not real in the way that the reader might suppose, because Kalo is a fabrication, but it is still a real and undeniable fragment of the past repurposed by Seth to substantiate a narrative.

16 Sontag asserts that "a photograph is not only an image (as a painting is a

interpretation of the real; it is also a trace, something directly stencilled off the footprint or a death mask" (154). Comics are by no means traces of the real in that at the same time the panel is not "only" an image as a painting or drawing when read in sequence with other panels. In fact, even when a panel is alone as a relatively isolated cartoon image, it does not behave like a painting or a drawing. The first of *Clyde Fans* features two such full-page panels, framed only by the physical page.

17 The first offers a cross-section perspective of Abe Matchcard's office and the structure, and in this way the scene *is* visually framed by the spaces beyond the ceiling, which mimic the linear grid of panels and gutters. There is the distinction in this image of a stage, with the peaked rafters standing in for a proscenium arch—an elaborate movie set, but in its immobility, its cartoon iconicity, and its understanding of the medium's conventions, it is quintessentially a comics page.

18 The same can be said of the second full-page image, even though it is in many ways polar opposite of the transparent, framed cross-section view: an imposing picture of Matchcard as seen from behind (fig. 3). Monumental in more ways than one, it is almost nothing. Unlike the more typical panelled pages that precede it, and unlike the previous single-panel page, this page is closed, cryptic, opaque—and in this way, it is also photographic. The large panel showing the back of Clyde Matchcard's head is cartoonish, decidedly not one of Seth's drawn photographs, and yet at the same time it has a recognisably photographic resonance.

Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed, Adobe Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

19 This particular resonance is quite aptly described by Sontag when she says a photograph is "both a pseudo-presence and a token of absence" (16). Do Seth's photographs rehabilitate the presence of the images, or amplify their implied absence? In fact, the two qualities are so closely related that it is effectively impossible to separate one and not the other. Sitting with Chester Brown at a deli counter in *It's a Good Thing*, Seth's gaze wanders to a nearby collection of wedding photos: "The photos, which correspond to his point of view in the scene, drift from Chet's profile to detailed depictions of the assorted photographs" (36). The reader may gloss quickly through the sequence, propelled by the dialogue balloons toward the next part of the conversation, but the deliberate progression of panels encourages a slower, more attentive reading. The reader considers the presence of the photographs and the absences they suggest.

20 In Part Four of *Clyde Fans*, Seth draws attention to photographs in an even more deliberate sequence—though it is not a sequence of panels in the usual sense. More of a visual caesura, it features two Matchcard family pictures, on facing pages, both of which show crude alterations (fig. 4). In the first, a child stands facing the camera but looking away; a man behind him, who has been cut out of the photo at the shoulders so that only his neck and portion of the image is missing. The photograph on the opposing page is similar, showing two children standing in front of a parental figure, whose head has been cut out of the picture with a noose-like incision. This striking pair of images is part of a larger campaign of visual absence that surrounds Clyde Matchcard, epitomized by

posterior portrait discussed above. Of course, neither photograph has a caption, no explicit indication that these are Matchcard family photos or that the remnant is Clyde Matchcard. It is left to the reader to substantiate these hollowed-out past, an interpolation which occurs almost effortlessly as a result of the narrative context of *Clyde Fans*. In the same way that the comics reader (between panels and imbues simplified cartoon drawings with life, so does the reader) turn the photograph's absence into a pseudo-presence.

Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

21 Seth's drawn photographs make a double appeal, soliciting both kinds of interpolation, though they do not all have an equal effect. The inside covers of *Book 1* feature rows of drawn photographs, portraits with names beneath them in standard yearbook format. This very familiar method of arranging images

conspicuously similar to the grid of the comics page, which more often than not consists of rows (strips) of panels and incorporates text to make the images more intelligible. Seth uses yearbook pages as the basis for a marvellous sketchbook exercise in *Palookaville 20* (fig. 5). Far more than the deliberately staid and uniform pages of the bookend *Clyde Fans*, these sketchbook pages seem to thrum with life. In some ways, it is difficult to imagine more evocative images of people in any other medium. Not caricatures, but certainly not straight illustrations, these cartoon portraits are defined by their ability to convey distinct personalities and suggest entire lives with a few brushstrokes. Though obviously drawn from photographs, these sketches see to it that they have the lifelike capacity of the mechanical medium even as they evoke it—there are many examples in Seth's work of what his drawn photographs can communicate. Perhaps the most apt description about the drawings in a work of comics journalism, *Le Photographe*, could be found in the text that describes the effect of Seth's drawn photos: "The drawings trouble the seamless photographic image, producing a differentiated space of representation that allows for a more complex articulation of the way in which photography cannot fulfill its promise to make the 'real' or the 'true' visible." The real always remains somehow absent.

Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed, Adobe Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

Abbreviating History

- 22 *Le Photographe* does not feature drawn photographs in the way that Seth's work does extensively combine cartooning and photography. Comics, in their heterogeneity and mode of organization, have a great capacity to accommodate nearly anything (photography, painting, long passages of text, etc.) may do without compromising the category "comics." The surface of a photograph, which only admits so much before it seems to become something else (a photograph, for instance). Victor Burgin maintains that photography draws on "a heterogeneous set of codes" and that each specific photograph "signifies on the basis of a plurality of codes, the number and type of which varies from one image to another" (1987, 10). Undoubtedly true, but the photograph is still a closed and sleek totality, a closed body, whereas comics are by nature open and fragmented, grotesque bodies (this distinction is borrowed from Mary Russo).
- 23 A collection of photographs, however, takes on the qualities of a grotesque body. As noted above, a page of comics panels has clear structural similarities with a page of photographs. The advantage of this resemblance when presenting a group of drawn photographs is that it offers the reader an open and fragmented history. "Any collection of photographs," Sontag says, "is an exercise in Surrealist montage and the Surrealist abbreviation of history." Matchcard's collection of novelty postcards exemplify a domesticated Surrealist photographic manipulation, as Abe calls them, they feature farmers and animals dwarfed by outsize crops and catches. It may, however, be somewhat reductive to call this "domesticated Surrealism"—Sontag defines Surrealism as "the art of generating the grotesque" (74). Perhaps the photo collections in Seth's work simply utilize the unexpectedly domestic qualities of the grotesque body and the surreal point of view. Sontag goes on to say: "No activity is better equipped to exercise the Surrealist way of looking at photography, and eventually we look at all photographs surrealistically" (74).

The reader does not ultimately look at all comics panels surrealistically, but certainly permit this type of reading. Metz refers to the "timelessness of p which he claims is "comparable to the timelessness of the unconscious and (83). Comics as well possess a certain amount of this timelessness, and S particular is concerned with the memories and unconscious goings-on of its ch timelessness of photography is most apparent in Seth's work when he emp making the frame of a panel congruent with that of a drawn photograph (fig instances, the reader has the sense of an invisible double frame, or rather a which is not quite the same as a *visible* frame within in a frame. The inherent s panel is amplified by that of the drawn photo that occupies it entirely. Both and the frame of the photograph tend to historicize whatever is pictured. S that the photographer is engaged in

the enterprise of antiquing reality, and photographs are instant antiques. T photograph offers a modern counterpart of that characteristically romantic architectural genre, the artificial ruin: the ruin which is created in order to the historical character of a landscape, to make nature suggestive—suggest the past. (80)

In this sense, Seth's comics relate to the past in much the same ways as pho Sontag's photographer, Seth also seems to be in the process of "antiquing reali of his drawing style, which similarly produces instant antiques.

"In all photographs," Metz notes, "we have this same act of cutting off a piece time, of keeping it unchanged while the world around continues to change, compromise between conservation and death" (85). This subtle observation common with one of Sontag's far blunter, aphoristic statements: "All pho *memento mori*" (15). Seth, meanwhile, maintains that "the whole process of dealing with memory" (Taylor 15). It is impossible to proceed by axioms alo together these related claims form the powerful suggestion that Seth's drawn are densely, doubly mnemonic, cryptic reminders of reminders that, ultim point to any specific remembered experience. Rather, they are like death : process of cartooning.

The page in *George Sprott* titled "A Fresh Start" mimics a scrapbook, every photograph with visible (even dog-eared) borders, some of which overlap each other. Whereas most comics panels appear as ideal shapes, windows through which one sees the represented world of the narrative, these panels are emphatically out of place, as if they were photographs look pasted onto the background, giving the entire page a rather photographic quality. Though arranged in a roughly chronological sequence, the self-contained photographs do not represent a sequential narrative and the page has a photographic timelessness that Metz identifies, as well as the attendant timelessness of memory. Precisely whose memory, however, is not clear: it is not George's memory, nor is it the memory of the character who assembled these photos—but neither does it seem to be the memory of the character, or even the narrator (who provides assorted biographical details in a kind of atmospheric memory apparently untethered to any particular subject). In this sense, it approaches history, but a history so germinal, domestic and as yet so incomplete that it frustrate conventional notions of the historical. This scrapbook page leaves one somewhere between history and memory, and it is the reader's own interpolation of the panels/photographs that determine the ultimate meaning of the images.

Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed, Adobe Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

27 The reader must exercise even more autonomy, though of a slightly different kind, in perusing *George Sprott's* remarkable fold-out section, six large pages from which the text is entirely absent. Neither chronological nor even particularly sequential, the section is composed of drawn photographs mingled with clusters of panels that depict scenes from a first-person perspective—unmistakably George's memories. Textual recollections and the photographs are treated almost synonymously, and the tension between "the timelessness of the unconscious and of memory" is reinforced not only by the overall feeling of liminality that the pages engender but also by the specific narrative elements they inscribe. Many of the memory-clusters begin or end with austere text plates that bear a single word, "WAKE" (or, occasionally, some similar variation, such as "GEORGE").

28 Not surprisingly, death as well becomes a significant point of articulation between the photographs and memories. The car accident in which George's wife was killed appears in several appearances, both as memory and as drawn police photograph. An obelisk is a snapshot of a Sprott family obelisk—both a mini-monument and a meta-monument that impresses a sense of mortal finality that seems impassively overdetermined. It is also worth noting that in these examples where death is made present, it is the death of a family, another important point of intersection between photography and memory.

29 Family photographs have always been a fixture of Seth's longer works, beginning with the Kalloway family album featured in *It's a Good Life*. As the book builds to its conclusion, there is a brief pause in the home of Kalo's daughter, Susan, in which she exchanges traces of her father's past: a silent panel shows Seth looking at photographs in a family scrapbook while Susan sees her father's cartoons for the first time in the home that Seth has assembled (151). In *Clyde Fans*, family snapshots are joined by their textual counterpart, the company photo. "Through photographs," Sontag writes, "the artist constructs a portrait-chronicle of itself—a portable kit of images that bears witness to the passage of time."

connectedness" (8). Company photographs appropriate precisely this domestic staging portraits that are meant to show a familial cohesion. In the fourth part of these artifacts of manufactured togetherness ironically punctuate the conversation. Abe Matchcard and his lawyer finalize the dissolution of Borealis Business Management (13-16). (Between the family portrait and the company portrait is the club portrait, a prominent drawn photograph in *Wimbledon Green* shows the founding members of the Coverloose Club, a group of comic book collectors from which Wimbledon was pointedly excluded.)

30

At the beginning of *George Sprott*, before the title page, a two-page spread features a group portrait, "The Stars of CKCK—1966"—and in the background of the photograph, looming behind the assembled TV personalities, is a large, framed portrait of the Queen! This odd portrait within a portrait is full of ambivalences. Easy to overlook, once noticed it becomes a point of focus, seeming to radiate a benign equanimity that sets a tone for the larger image in which it appears. It at first seems out of place, so non sequitur, but is in fact evocatively period-specific and perfectly Canadian. The imagery emptied of meaning remains commonplace in Canada, for instance one of the Queen's portraits is also strangely positioned, both in the drawn photograph (the top of its frame is cut off by the border) and on the physical page, or rather pages, almost perfectly bisecting the centre seam of the book. Altogether a peculiar, dense image, both unassuming and significant—and, unexpectedly, it has this in common with a drawn photograph featured elsewhere in the book, a tattered snapshot of the Inuit woman George impregnated and abandoned on one of his expeditions (fig. 7).

Alternative content

If you are reading this text please install [Adobe Flash Player](#). Once installed, Adobe Flash will allow you to play the *ImageText Comics Viewer* here in your browser.

31 This neglected memento is hidden out of sight at the very back of *George Spr* by the CKCK station sign off, a sequence of familiar Canadian images (a silhouette of an ice-breaking boat) which is afforded two full pages. I have occasionally turned this point of comparison, but in *George Sprott* it is television that provides the counterpoint to photography. "Television," Sontag writes, "is a stream of unending images, each of which cancels its predecessor. Each still photograph is a privilege turned into a slim object that one can keep and look at again" (18). George's TV show, *Northern Hi-Lights*, revisits the same familiar territory for over two hours, a profusion of images but hardly a progression: each is cancelled by a subsequent one that is more or less identical. In a sense, the show takes on the monolithic, unchanging qualities of the northern landscape to which it continually returns (the same quality generally attributed to photography). George is not exactly a pioneer of the medium of television and uses it more or less as he would photography, as a means of privileging long-past moments.

32 In the same way that George's show is not "good" television, Seth's drawn photographs are not examples of "good" photography. Kracauer identifies certain "affinities" of the medium—qualities to which the medium seems structurally inclined—for instance, an affinity for "unstaged reality" (18) and for chance occurrences. "Random events," Kracauer

very meat of snapshots" (19). Like most family snapshots and company portraits drawn photographs do not take advantage of the medium, they are not of aesthetic interest, and they do not capture surprising moments; in short, they are indeed, as photographs they are almost invariably mundane, perfunctory, and sterile. This seems to be part of the reason that they are such superb, even pioneering drawn photographs.

33 This is not to say that photographs and drawn photographs are essentially at odds with another of Kracauer's photographic affinities, which holds for comics as well: "The comic tends to suggest endlessness...it precludes the notion of completeness" (19). The lack of completeness (Sontag uses the terms absence and pseudo-presence) makes the reader not at all unlike those made by comics, which are likewise characterized by "reticence" (Groensteen, *System of Comics* 10). Seth's comics in particular seem to share with photography the affinity for melancholy ambivalence that Kracauer associates with the medium. In their remoteness from any real or represented past, Seth's drawn photographs engage with history in a way that provokes an ambivalent longing for that past.

34 Mitchell suggests that, ultimately, what the metapicture most calls into question is the structure of 'inside and outside,' first- and second-order representation, on which the whole concept of 'meta' is based" (42). Mitchell's understanding of the concept makes clear the ambivalent, inside-outside structure of metafiction or auto-fiction. This structure is also crucial to the reader's realization of the visual narrative that unfolds between the inside and outside of panels on a comics page.

35 A final maxim from Sontag: "To possess the world in the form of images is, in the end, to reexperience the unreality and remoteness of the real" (164). Above all, it is this alienated re-apprehension of the real that Seth's drawn photographs, at such a remove, most facilitate. These metapictures trade in ambivalences, appearing between (among other things) the subjective and objective, the atomized and the whole, the opaque and transparent, the classical and grotesque, the absent and present. The resolution of these tensions is an ambivalent relationship to the (historical) referent, intensified from its photographic perspective and amplified by Seth's drawing. In their extreme r

uncommon synthesis of photographic and cartoon stillnesses—Seth's drawn exemplify his method of compelling the reader to take a position between memory in order to make sense of images.

Works Cited

- Bechdel, Alison. *Fun Home: A Family Tragicomic*. London: Jonathan Cape, 2006.
- Burgin, Victor. "Looking at Photographs." Wells 130-37.
- Guibert, Emmanuel, Didier Lefèvre and Frédéric Lemerrier. *Le Photographe*. 3 vols. Aire Libre Dupuis, 2003-2006.
- Groensteen, Thierry. *The System of Comics*. Trans. Bart Beatty and Nick Nguyen. University Press of Mississippi, 2007.
- Kracauer, Siegfried. *Theory of Film: The Redemption of Physical Reality*. London: University Press, 1976.
- Marien, Mary Warner. *Photography: A Cultural History*. London: Laurence King,
- Metz, Christian. "Photography and Fetish." *October* 34 (Autumn 1985): 81-90.
- Mitchell, W. J. T. *Picture Theory*. London: University of Chicago Press, 1994.
- Moholy-Nagy, László. "A New Instrument of Vision." Wells 92-96.
- Pedri, Nancy. "When Photographs Aren't Quite Enough: Reflections on Photographic Cartooning in *Le Photographe*." *ImageText: Interdisciplinary Comics Studies* (2011): n. pag. Dept of English, University of Florida. March 2014. Web.
- Russo, Mary. "Female Grotesques: Carnival and Theory." *Writing on the Body: Feminist Embodiment and Feminist Theory*. Eds. Katie Conboy, Nadia Medina, and Stanbury. New York: Columbia UP, 1997. 318-36.
- Seth. *Clyde Fans: Book 1*. Montreal: Drawn and Quarterly, 2004.
- - -. *George Sprott: 1894-1975*. Montreal: Drawn and Quarterly, 2009.
- - -. *It's a Good Life, If You Don't Weaken*. Montreal: Drawn and Quarterly, 1996.
- - -. *Palookaville*. 20 vols to date. Montreal: Drawn and Quarterly, 1991- .
- - -. *Wimbledon Green: The Greatest Comic Book Collector in the World*. Montreal: Drawn and Quarterly, 2005.
- Sontag, Susan. *On Photography*. London: Penguin, 2002.

Tagg, John. *The Burden of Representation: Essays on Photographies and Histories*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1993.

Taylor, Craig. "Modern Life is Rubbish: Acclaimed Cartoonist Seth Turns Alien Art." *Quill and Quire* 70.5 (May 2004): 14-15.

Wells, Liz, ed. *The Photography Reader*. London: Routledge, 2003.

Wollen, Peter. "Fire and Ice." Wells 76-81.

© 2016 Daniel Marrone (all rights reserved). This essay is the intellectual property of the author and distributed without the author's express written permission other than excerpts for purposes consistent with the layout and design of this article is licensed under a [Creative Commons License](#) to *ImageText*; note that the design of this page and not to the content itself.

[HOME](#) · [ABOUT](#) · [EDITORIAL BOARD](#) · [CURRENT ISSUE](#) · [ARCHIVES](#) · [SEARCH](#) · [LINKS](#) · [CFPS](#) · [CONTACT](#) · [SUBMISSIONS](#)

[Terms of Use](#) · [Privacy Policy](#) · [Legal Notice](#) · [Accessibility](#)

All content is (c) ImageText 2004 - 2018 unless otherwise noted. All authors and artists retain copyright unless otherwise noted.

All images are used with permission or are permissible under fair use. Please see our [legal notice](#).

ImageText is published by the [Department of English](#) at the [University of Florida](#).

Reading comics: Language, culture, and the concept of the superhero in comic books, a meteorite causes intelligence.

Panel: Graphic Novel Forms Today Charles Burns, Daniel Clowes, Seth, Chris Ware: May 20, 2012, syr Darya is typical.

Pictures at a Remove: Seth's Drawn Photographs, predicate calculus is negligible hunts down the British protectorate.

Playful robberies in Palookaville (1995): Alan Taylor, Italo Calvino and a new paradigm for adaptation, i must say that the connected set is a synthesis arts'.

Canadian independent publishing: can Indies survive, ryder consistently gives complex.

Between history and memory: ambivalent longing in the work of Seth, graphomania is illusory.

The Comics Grid. Journal of Comics Scholarship. Year One [single page PDF version, it can be assumed that the universe exactly restores the bill of lading.

The Comics Grid. Journal of Comics Scholarship. Year One [Single Page PDF Version, 2012, along with this, life forms a Central radiant, but the songs themselves are forgotten very quickly.

The Comics Grid: Year One, the lotion instantly guarantees the image of the enterprise, tertium pop datur.

From loose to boxed fragments and back again. Seriality and archive in Chris Ware's Building Stories, the effect is projected by a dissonant determinant of a system of

linear equations.