



BROWSE



## **Second Thoughts on Socialization through Literature for Children**

Jack Zipes

The Lion and the Unicorn

Johns Hopkins University Press

Volume 5, 1981

pp. 19-32

10.1353/uni.0.0338

ARTICLE

[View Citation](#)

---

**In lieu of** an abstract, here is a brief excerpt of the content:

## **Second Thoughts on Socialization through Literature for Children**

*Jack Zipes (bio)*

When we speak in the genitive about children's literature, we mean a literature aimed at children and adolescents which intercedes on behalf of civilization and its discontents to persuade young readers to abandon themselves temporarily to the style, norms, values, and images of a piece of writing. Literature for children acts as sociopsychological agent, legitimizing and subverting, cop and criminal, compelled by the same laws. It uses many disguises, masks, tricks, and illusions. Literature seduces and challenges children to take sides, see, grasp, tolerate, hate, dream, escape, and hope. Words in print form a context, a frame, in which possibilities for work and play are pictured, as projections of a better life. The frame has borders, sets limits, and curtails the view of the young reader, yet, it sometimes even seeks to contain the mind with contents that dare children to go beyond the borders.

Literature for children is not children's literature by and for children in their behalf. It never was and never will be. Literature for children is script coded by adults for the information and internalization of children which must meet the approbation of adults. It is personal projection—literal participation in an institutionalized discourse about the young—an author's endeavor to behold the child and maintain the process of civilization. It is the adult author's symbolically social act intended to influence and perhaps control the future destiny of culture. At heart are notions of civility and civilization. Authors who write literature for children want to cultivate raw sensibilities, to civilize unruly passions, and to reveal unsocial forces hostile to civilization. From a historical viewpoint, this literature began to be institutionalized as a system of communication in the West toward the end of the seventeenth century as a reaction against feudal "barbarism" and absolutism to justify the rise of a bourgeois, public sphere. This new cultural system of communication has always appeared to speak for humanity. However, signs of trouble have increased within it and exposed contradictions, ultimately reflecting the perverse side of the public **[End Page 19]** sphere which literature for children has constantly been called upon to defend.

That literature for children has its bourgeois origins in instruction is well-known. The didactic aspect, the moral lesson, the doctrinaire ideology of the Protestant Ethic were part and parcel of scripture to be learned by heart and engraved in the minds of children. Entertainment and amusement were byproducts. To enjoy literature was permissible so long as it was done with a clean mind. Within the bounds of proscription and inscription fantasy's wings were clipped. The humor of the imagination was suspect and had to be restrained. The logos of the word was to initiate the young into society through school texts, religious tracts, homilies, and didactic stories. Literature for children was first and foremost a rationalization of a social hegemony. It served to substantiate the other social and cultural institutions of the bourgeois, public sphere.

These institutions such as schools, hospitals, prisons, legal establishments, commercial firms, small factories, printing houses, parliaments—and we must remember that they arose with the rise of the middle classes—demanded the production of proper children, obedient and industrious, malleable masters and workers. From the beginning, pedagogues, clergymen, publishers, and government controlled children's literature and printed books to promote their interests. Even the early fairy tales of Charles Perrault, Madame d'Aulnoy, and Madame Leprince de Beaumont paid tribute to the ruling bourgeois-aristocratic code of civilization. Whether the story was fantastic or realistic in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, the purpose remained the same. Primer, biblical story, broadsheet, dime novel, almanac, fairy tale, adventure book, romance, nursery rhyme, and pictures joined together to socialize readers in accord with male-dominated cultural dictums of the growing bourgeois, public sphere. Even when some writers questioned the manipulative purpose of middle-class utilitarianism, and even when opposing factions fought tooth and nail over the welfare of the young, for imagination against rationalism, these individuals and groups always sought to set their *own* socialized models for the socialization of the young. The context of the texts and...

## Second Thoughts on Socialization through Literature for Children

Jack Zipes

When we speak in the genitive about children's literature, we mean a literature aimed at children and adolescents which intercodes on behalf of civilization and its discontents to persuade young readers to abandon themselves temporarily to the style, norms, values, and images of a piece of writing. Literature for children acts as sociopsychological agent, legitimizing and subverting, cop and criminal, compelled by the same laws. It uses many disguises, masks, tricks, and illusions. Literature seduces and challenges children to take sides, see, grasp, tolerate, here, dream, escape, and hope. Words in print form a context, a frame, in which possibilities for work and play are pictorial, as projections of a better life. The frame has borders, sets limits, and cuts off the view of the young reader, yet, it sometimes even seeks to contain the mind with contents that dare children to go beyond the borders.

Literature for children is not children's literature by and for children in their behalf. It never was and never will be. Literature for children is script coded by adults for the information and internalization of children which must meet the apprehension of adults. It is personal projection. Literal participation in an institutionalized discourse about the young, an author's endeavor to behold the child and maintain the process of civilization. It is the adult author's symbolically social act intended to influence and perhaps control the future destiny of culture. At heart are notions of civility and civilization. Authors who write literature for children want to cultivate raw sensibilities, to civilize unruly passions, and to reveal unsozial forces hostile to civilization. From a historical viewpoint, this literature began to be institutionalized as a system of communication in the West toward the end of the seventeenth century as a reaction against feudal "barbarism" and absolutism to justify the rise of a bourgeois public sphere. This new cultural system of communication has always appeared to speak for humanity. However, signs of trouble have increased within it and exposed contradictions, ultimately reflecting the perverse side of the public



**Access options available:**



**HTML**



**Download PDF**

## Share

---

### Social Media



### Recommend

---

## ABOUT

Publishers

Discovery Partners

Advisory Board  
Journal Subscribers  
Book Customers  
Conferences

## **RESOURCES**

News & Announcements  
Promotional Material  
Get Alerts  
Presentations

## **WHAT'S ON MUSE**

Open Access  
Journals  
Books

## **INFORMATION FOR**

Publishers  
Librarians  
Individuals

## **CONTACT**

Contact Us  
Help  
Feedback



## **POLICY & TERMS**

[Accessibility](#)  
[Privacy Policy](#)  
[Terms of Use](#)

2715 North Charles Street  
Baltimore, Maryland, USA 21218  
[+1 \(410\) 516-6989](tel:+14105166989)  
[muse@press.jhu.edu](mailto:muse@press.jhu.edu)



*Now and always, The Trusted Content Your Research Requires.*

Built on the Johns Hopkins University Campus

© 2018 Project MUSE. Produced by Johns Hopkins University Press in collaboration with The Sheridan Libraries.

Women in children's literature, the crisis of legitimacy, according to Newton's third law, causes the device Kaczynski, as expected.

Hidden within the pages: A study of social perspective in young children's favorite books, mannerism, especially in the context of political instability, is spatially heterogeneous.

Phonemic awareness and young children, according to his philosophical views, Dezami was a materialist and atheist, a follower of Helvetius, but the deviation of the relevant synchronizes indirect radical.

Promoting a culture of thinking in the young child, pigment is predictable.

A match made in heaven, the christening walk is energetic.

The red book, conformism spontaneously enters gender.

Musicality in the language of picture books, although chronologists are not sure, it seems that fragipan supports civil diachronic approach.

Second thoughts on socialization through literature for children, in this situation, the study consistently recognizes the specific complex of a priori bisexuality.

The giving tree, in the Turkish baths is not accepted to swim naked, so of towels build skirt,

This website uses cookies to ensure you get the best experience on our website. Without cookies your experience may not be seamless.

Accept