



Gift-Giving As a Metaphor For Understanding New Products That Delight

Jeffrey F. Durgee, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Trina Segó, Rensselaer at Hartford

ABSTRACT - This paper explores skills and knowledge that providers use to produce offerings (products and services) within intimate relationships is used as a metaphor to understand producer-consumer relationships. Trina Segó and Jeffrey F. Durgee were interviewed about their gift-giving and gift-receiving experiences. Results suggest that givers find novel combinations of various attributes and select gifts that reflect life orientations of receivers. Successful givers also know how to look for gifts that reflect various meanings to receivers. Implications for understanding delightful new product designs are discussed.

[to cite]:

Jeffrey F. Durgee and Trina Segó (2001), "Gift-Giving As a Metaphor For Understanding New Products That Delight," in *Consumer Research Volume 28*, eds. Mary C. Gilly and Joan Meyers-Levy, Valdosta, GA: Association for Consumer Research, 64-69.

<http://acrwebsite.org/volume28>

Gift-giving as a metaphor for understanding new products that delight.

Advances in Consumer Research Volume 28, 2001 Pages 64-69

GIFT-GIVING AS A METAPHOR FOR UNDERSTANDING NEW PRODUCTS THAT DELIGHT

Jeffrey F. Durgee, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

Trina Segó, Rensselaer at Hartford

ABSTRACT -

This paper explores skills and knowledge that providers use to produce offerings (products and services) within intimate relationships is used as a metaphor to understand producer-consumer relationships. Trina Segó and Jeffrey F. Durgee were interviewed about their gift-giving and gift-receiving experiences. Results suggest that givers find novel combinations of various attributes and select gifts that reflect life orientations of receivers. Successful givers also know how to look for gifts that reflect various meanings to receivers. Implications for understanding delightful new product designs are discussed.

receivers. Implications for understanding delightful new product designs are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Academic researchers (Kumar and Olshavsky 1997; Oliver, Rust and Varki 1997; Williams and Anderson 1999) and services (Coyne 1989) are looking at the concept of "delight." The researchers' goal is to understand marketers' goal is to introduce new products that go beyond basic need satisfaction. All are interested in new product level of excitement such as the sport utility vehicle and the laptop computer.

There is not a lot of agreement, however, about what "delight" means. A recent definition by Oliver, Rust and Fournier (1999) suggests that delight consists of three components: positive affect, arousal, and surprise. A standard definition from experiential marketing suggests that delight occurs whenever perceived deliverables exceed expectations (Kumar and Olshavsky 1997). This is the focus for much new product research and development, but it restricts innovation to simply moving farther and faster. Cars become more powerful, houses get bigger, and food portions at restaurants become more massive.

To the extent that models of satisfaction are features-based, market research efforts aimed at finding opportunities to be aimed at identifying key features. However, as Fournier and Mick (1999) point out, satisfaction is highly context-specific and delight-should address different ways that satisfaction might be achieved. Furthermore, such models should also relate to daily life and to associated meanings and feelings.

Marketers might learn how to identify opportunities for breakthrough products by exploring the exchange of gifts. A gift is defined here as a gift that both pleases and surprises the recipient. Whether it is called hitting a hot button or a delightful gift, like a great new product, involves an intimate understanding of the recipient and creative anticipation of the reactions that a gift might generate.

In this paper, we describe results of an exploratory study in which men and women in ten couples are asked to identify gifts that are delightful. By interviewing people in intimate relationships, we address provider or giver models of satisfaction and of the satisfaction response as described by Fournier and Mick (1999). People in intimate relationships know each other in terms of desired product features (e.g., "she likes fast cars"), but also in terms of the others' personalities and preferences (e.g., "he likes to be 'hot stuff,' always driving the 'hottest' car").

The following section summarizes research questions and examines parallels between new product development and gift-giving. The following sections review the results from an exploratory study of gift-giving between men and women in ten couples and discuss implications for identifying needs for new products that delight.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The central question driving this research is how do providers know how to surprise and please receivers? What gifts do receivers do they use? To suggest some answers, we considered delightful gift-giving in intimate relationships. The following are the research questions:

1. What types of gifts generate delight? What do delightful gifts have in common?
2. What does the delight experience consist of in receiving special gifts? What role do delightful gifts play in the relationship?
3. What happens when a giver selects the perfect gift? Why are some people particularly adept at uncovering the latent needs of other people, and using this understanding to select gifts that delight these people? What is the giver's understanding of these people? How much creativity is involved? Can that creativity be understood?

Simply put, how does one person understand another so well that he or she can select a gift that delights the other? What are the implications of gift-giving in intimate relationships for new product development?

Given the size of the gift industry, the lack of popular literature on gift-giving is surprising. Baldrige (1999) considering receiver needs and hobbies, and Nelson (1987) recommends positive gifts such as good wine for parents into an extended family, and neutral gifts such as items from art museums to people one does not know very well. Hoffman (2000) advises gift shoppers to make the gifts as personal as possible, and to add an element of surprise. An example of a man who gave his wife a music box that played music from her favorite musical, and put inside a note about the musical. She also recommends gifts that commemorate shared histories and tap special memories (e.g., anniversary gifts) that might become family heirlooms.

Otnes, Lowrey and Kim (1993) found that gift-hunters use a number of different strategies: 1) "sleuthing" or "treasure hunting" for ideas; 2) "treasure hunting" in unusual places (e.g., antique shops) for things that would have special meanings or sticking to "whatever gift made them happy last year;" and 4) "compensating" or giving an item that replaces something recently lost. Ruth, Otnes and Brunel (1999) note the importance of empathy in the giver's ability to "see inside the recipient what he or she needs the most" (p 390). Fischer and Arnold (1990) argue that this quality is found more often in women "because [women] have greater familiarity with the tastes, wants and needs of recipients" (p.336).

Selecting successful gifts, like developing successful new products, appears to involve two steps: 1) a need or desire is identified, and 2) the giver comes up with a creative solution to fill that need. Both steps involve insight and creativity. A respondent in this study learned that his new girlfriend was very interested in Gothic movies, Edgar Allan Poe, and the Renaissance. He could have given her a book or a videotape on any of these subjects, but instead he gave her a sword which surprised and pleased her. The sword was a creative expression of Gothic themes and Renaissance art.

Successful gift-givers, like successful product designers, work hard to produce the right offering. In *Never Leave a Good Idea Behind*, designer Raymond Loewy tells other designers to constantly look out for ways to improve their designs. Similar to gift-giving, Loewy often took extra steps to find the perfect gift. For example, it was not enough that a woman respondent gave her husband Mark Twain-an old set of Mark Twain books; she gave him a set of *autographed* Mark Twain books.

Marketers today are pushed to develop close "relationships with their buyers" and to "know them in depth." Could spouses know each other? Could they please them as well as spouses please each other? General Electric has shown positive concern and intentions toward consumers through advertising claiming that GE brings "good things to life." How can GE really exercise such a level of concern?

Pollay (1987) refers to the concept of the "Acme Delivery" in which a very thoughtful gift or gesture comes from a company that touches people deeply. Could manufacturers learn how to make Acme Deliveries'? Could they at least get close to it? In their discussion of provider-consumer relationships in the context of services marketing, Price and Arnold (1990) note that hairstylists consider not only features such as head shape when creating a new hairstyle, but also clients' personality and social events.

AN EXPLORATORY STUDY OF GIFT-GIVING

To explore gift-giving in close relationships, in-depth interviews were conducted with a convenience sample of 10 couples who had been married for 20 years or more, and two couples had been married for ten years. One couple was engaged although the relationship was very close. Each respondent was interviewed individually.

The focus of this research is on how these individuals understand each other and use this understanding to please and surprise. Most gift research has focused on how gift-giving defines or redefines giver-receiver relationships (Fischer and Arnold 1990); however, we do not examine the relationships per se, but rather the tacit and creative skills given by the givers to their receivers.

During the interview, each respondent was asked to think of and describe gifts that he/she had given in the last year (to a fiancée or partner) that both pleased and surprised the receiver. Respondents were also asked to think of gifts that they had received from their partners that caused them to feel pleasure and surprise.

All gifts mentioned are considered in this study. Gifts were classified as "hit" gifts (to borrow a term from the

mentioned by givers and receivers; that is, hit gifts were ones that the giver thought the receiver would feel w receiver in fact named that gift as a special gift from the giver.

Other gifts were classified as: 1) "misses" -gifts which givers hoped would please and surprise but which did not, which recipients felt were pleasing and surprising yet were not mentioned by givers. (On the last note, this o marketing. A new product is a big success but no one knows why!) Respondents were asked how they selected to receive. They also discussed their spouses (or partners), the duration of the relationships, shared lifestyles, an

Previous research on gift-giving has been criticized for focusing mainly on gift-givers rather than receivers (Otn few studies focus on both. Given recent emphasis on the creation and maintenance of marketing relationships (more traditional research on buyer-seller dyads in industrial marketing (Schurr and Ozanne 1985), it is surprisin gift-giving from the perspectives of the giver and of the receiver. Because we interviewed both givers and rec more balanced view of giver-receiver understandings and interactions.

RESULTS

Most of the married couples said they do not give surprise gifts, and two older males said they did not even lik and special occasions, respondents reported that they simply tell each other what they would like or give each and pressures from home, many are too busy to invest much time in shopping for gifts. One male said he "d many cases of high pleasure and high surprise, the surprise was because the gift was expensive and the recei spend that much money." Some gifts were felt to be surprises merely because they were received outside of the (holidays, etc.).

We found a number of successful gift-givers, people whose gifts satisfied the high-pleasure, high-surprise criteri an easy time remembering gifts they gave and those they received, and tended to focus on gifts given and recei gifts are listed in Table 1.

The Gifts

Respondents named 49 gifts. Approximately half were hits, 20 percent were accidental hits, and the remain pressures from marketers to give more services (e.g., gift of a day at a health spa, Broadway show tickets), pec (rings, books) rather than services when they think of special gifts (Table 1).

Not surprisingly, common among the hit gifts were indulgent, luxury items: limousine rides, bracelets, ma mentioned are commemorative gifts such as anniversary jewelry and special birthday dinners. These gifts all re from daily life and everyday consumption experiences. Givers present such items as special treats to receivers-a as such. If designers of everyday functional products wanted to incorporate more "delight" attributes into should consider indulgent products.

The "accidental hits" -gifts which delighted even though givers did not expect them to delight-tended to include videocassette recorder, a color printer, a jacket, and tools. In some cases, it was possible to re-interview givers a Givers said things like, "Oh, yes, I forgot about that..." and "Oh yeah, I figured he could use that." In many of givers simply got lucky. They hit a nerve or gave something that was needed by the receiver at that moment (e print a dissertation, or a videocassette recorder for a new apartment). One woman said, "I was really pregnant a husband gave me a single rose in a vase which was the perfect thing to give me at that time."

TABLE 1

GIFTS GIVEN BY MALES TO FEMALES, AND BY FEMALES TO MALES

The most misses were found in two couples, one a commuter marriage, the other experiencing the usual crush careers, children, business trips, etc. It is simply harder for these couples to devote the time and attention to fin just like successful marketers who are very close to their customers, newlyweds, dating couples and spouses

come up with the most hits and accidental hits. Moreover, people in these couples often put themselves-symb (Belk and Coon 1993). The sexy nightie presented to male 9 was worn by the woman giver. An ankle bracele Indian male early in couple 3's relationship. The bracelet very likely represented the giver's immigrant identity (significance of his ethnicity in a relationship with a nonIndian woman.

Many of the gifts-including hits as well as accidental hits-are unique, even obscure items. While there are r receivers note special titles of the most liked gifts: "Susan Cooper set" or "autographed Mark Twain set." C Department 56 Christmas Village Christmas set, a set of white kitchen baskets, an Egyptian necklace, a five-ston for each child), a single rose in a vase, a curio cabinet, a Baccarat vase, and the aforementioned Indian ankle bra

The uniqueness of these items highlights their personalness. By being unique, they have a "j ust for you" qualit are able to describe the uniqueness in detail: For example, woman 4 reported that "the watch had interwoven go Receivers feel touched that givers know them so well that they could come up with gifts which were so personal

Gifts from men to women include flowers, rings, and expensive watches. Some of the gifts from women to men binoculars, and clothes. Perhaps because of this, the women were more likely to be recipients of hit gifts. Men however, seemed very surprised to receive these gifts because the husbands seldom gave them. Fischer and research that women are more successful gift-givers than men, where success is defined in terms of percentag store. Our research would suggest, however, that men are better at pleasing and surprising women. How attributable to the poorer memories for gifts among men as well as the surprises women feel when they receive

In sum, our results support findings of earlier work by Belk (1996), and Rucker, Freitas and Kangas (1996). In the described as representing the following criteria: 1) there is sacrifice on the part of the giver; 2) the giver's sole wi the gift is a luxury; 4) the gift is something uniquely appropriate to the receiver; 5) the receiver is surprised by pleased by gift.

Receiver Reactions

Particularly with the hits in Table 1 (i.e., gifts intended to please and surprise that were actually experience recipients is one of joy and, in many cases, disbelief. Receivers say the gifts seem "unreal" and "impossible. autographed Mark Twain books called this "the mother of all gifts." The woman who received the shearling coat that one could ever be found it in her size, and the man who received the Scarlet Pimpernell tickets for the Broa believe his wife could get the tickets. To repeat a point made earlier, receivers feel that these gifts are unique or someone knows them very well, and selected a gift for them which is "perfect."

Women receivers seemed more surprised and appreciative than men with their gifts. Many of them gave detaile gifts. Men seemed to take longer to think of special gifts they had received, and had more trouble explainin women generally easier to "delight?" To repeat an issue raised earlier, how much of the excitement is due to t relationship?

How Givers Identify Special Gifts

Several strategies givers use to understand receivers have been noted in the literature:

Receiver interests

As indicated earlier, the most basic knowledge is of receiver hobbies and interests. An old gift-giving strategy is relates to a hobby. Woman 8 collected miniatures, so her husband surprised her with a nice cabinet for them always more objects to acquire.

Bring a feeling of closure

Wherever interests can be represented as sets of items, successful givers often use this knowledge to find

psychological closure to that set. The idea of adding to receiver collections has already been noted. Receiver "that is the last thing I needed! Where did you find it?" Marketers know that they can market items to the extent the last item you need for your kitchen (or bath, or tool box, or cosmetics drawer ...)" To the extent that there socially agreed-upon sets of items needed for certain social roles or identities (e.g., attorneys, doctors; Solomon use this knowledge to find gifts which complete these sets. Man 10 knew that his wife had many of the amenities a middle class woman, except pearls, so he gave her a strand of pearls.

Receiver hints

Another tactic successful givers use is to be very attentive to receiver foreshadowing. Givers say they shop with receivers as they examine items on store shelves. Receivers might even be unaware of what is happening, but givers notice and give a little more attention to one thing over another, and signal special interests in particular items (Sherry 1983).

At the same time, the results of this study suggest several additional ways givers anticipate needs of receivers and

Hypothetico-deductive models

Several givers noted using simple deductive models. The man who bought his wife the white kitchen baskets (couple 1) to organize things; b) she recently redecorated the kitchen in black, white and green; and c) she has a closet shelf for shoes and bottles; therefore, d) she would like white steel mesh baskets that fit into cabinet shelves. This strategy is to incorporate creativity and generate surprise. If the elements a, b, and c are individually liked by the receiver, taken together, a gift which incorporates all of them could bring surprise and pleasure.

Surprise and pleasure in the reaction of the receiver of the Mark Twain books may be because the receiver would like to have an autograph; as well as b) some nice Mark Twain books. So the combination, a set of autographed Mark Twain books. Hypothetico-deductive models might extend to product design as well. For a long time, consumers valued the portability of computers, but also the lightness and portability of a small portable typewriter—hence, the delight associated with

Core meaning

Many gifts were successful because the giver, consciously or unconsciously, understands the core meaning of the gift to the receiver, and used this knowledge to identify a purest expression of that meaning in a potential gift. Anniversaries represent a wide variety of possible meanings: commemoration of a marriage, return to a restaurant where the couple first shared a special type of food. In the cases of successful dinner gifts, however, what made them successful was the extraordinariness of the evening. This being the case, what might maximize that meaning is a ride in a limousine.

The reaction to these gifts might be described as a shock of recognition. Receivers might be expecting an item from that category and are shocked when they see a personally-relevant exemplar from that category which is extreme in terms of some dimension. A Mother's Day ring is a nice ring, but is special because it has five stones, each representing a child in the "young couple" (couple 7). A woman who wants to learn more about photography might expect a camera from her boyfriend, but a Christmas gift to her represents the highest level of photographic knowledge and expertise: an SLR camera (couple 1).

Receiver Orientation to World

The most interesting approaches, however, involve giver insights about how the receivers orient themselves to the world (1998) would refer to as life context. For example, as indicated above, woman 10 loves to organize things. She is energetic, and loves to plan and arrange things. Any product that facilitates these tasks will be very satisfying for her. Telephone answering machines. The white steel baskets for organizing her kitchen cabinets were a perfect gift.

A second woman sees the world mainly in terms of her children. She has five children and constantly monitors their behavior. A Mother's Day ring with five stones was the perfect gift for her (couple 7). A third woman, who received the Renaissance ring, is a source of romance and adventure (couple 1). In each case, the gift becomes an extension of the receiver's values.

A few consumer products companies understand this strategy and use it to design products. General Motors (GM) buyers value being higher than or "above" the world. In spite of all the negatives associated with sport utility vehicles, they buy them because they like the elevated driving position. In fact, designers at the GM Design Center use the metaphor of an English saddle to capture the orientation of drivers of SUVs to the world around them. SUV drivers like the feeling of the seat as well as the creaking leather seats and slow, deliberate movements of these vehicles (Lystad 2000).

SUMMARY AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

This research examined three types of gifts which are exchanged between couples: a) hits, gifts which the givers mention and which surprise receivers and which receivers mentioned as having their intended effect; 2) accidental which receivers mention as surprising, but givers did not mention; and 3) misses, gifts which givers thought would please and surprise receivers but which they did not mention. The goal of the research was to explore how successful givers understand receivers, and use this understanding to make delightful new products so successful.

Findings from the research as well as recent literature indicate that givers use a number of strategies to identify gift opportunities. Givers:

1. Consider current receiver hobbies and interests;
2. "Sleuth out" receiver hints and reactions to possible gift ideas;
3. "Compensate" or give receivers something they recently lost;
4. Give receivers something that symbolizes the self or the relationship (e.g., is hand-made, reflects shared experiences);
5. Give luxury and unique gifts to emphasize specialness and personalness;
6. Give things that bring closure, for example, an item that completes a collection or project;
7. Identify previously uncombined receiver wants or values, and find a gift which combines these wants in one gift;
8. Identify a core receiver want or value, and find a gift which is a pure expression of that want or value; or
9. Find a gift that reflects how the receiver orients himself or herself to the world in general.

All of these strategies are applicable to new product development as well as to gift-giving.

Like most research, the present study suffers from limitations. First, the sample for this exploratory study is small. Second, respondents may have said that they liked some gifts not because of the gifts themselves but because of the relationship. One's attitude toward a gift is likely to be bound up with affect associated with a relationship to the gift giver. This is a common finding in many studies of gift-giving (e.g., Ruth, Otnes and Brunel 1999; Belk and Coon 1993), and represents a limitation in using gift-giving in intimate relationships and designing new products.

A gift might also be cherished because the giver and receiver simply like the same things (Belk 1976). In the future, successful givers sometimes said things like "I give him things that I like because we both like the same things." This way to assess needs and wants of target segments in marketing might be to interview people in intimate relationships.

Future research might consider gift-giving strategies from parents to children, since parents presumably follow their children's interests very carefully. Future research might also involve interviews with superstar gift-givers, individuals who are able to identify gift opportunities for their colleagues to have special powers of intuition and are able to identify the most exciting gift opportunities. So-called "gift-givers" might be used to identify such gift-givers.

REFERENCES

- Baldrige, Letitia. (1978), *Amy Vanderbilt's Everyday Etiquette*, New York: Bantam Books.
- Belk, Russell (1976), "It's the Thought that Counts: A Signed Digraph Analysis of Gift-giving," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 3, 139-168.
- Belk, Russell (1988), "Possessions and the Extended Self," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15, 139-168.
- Belk, Russell (1996), "The Perfect Gift," In *Gift giving: A research anthology*, ed. Cele Otnes and Richard F. Beltramini, Bowling Green, OH: Bowling Green State University press, 59-84.
- Belk, Russell and Gregory S. Coon (1993), "Gift Giving as Agapic Love: An Alternative to the Exchange Paradigm Experiences," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 20, 393-417.
- Coyne, K. (1989), "Beyond Service fads-Meaningful Strategies for the Real World," *Sloan Management Review*, 31, 4-11.
- Fischer, Eileen and Stephen J. Arnold (1990), "More than a Labor of Love: Gender Roles and Christmas Gift Shopping," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 17, 333-345.
- Fournier, Susan (1998), "Consumers and their Brands: Developing Relationship Theory in Consumer Research," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 24, 343-373.
- Fournier, Susan and David Glen Mick (1999), "Rediscovering Satisfaction," *Journal of Marketing*, 63, 5-23.
- Hoffman, S. (2000), Personal interview with store owner.
- Kumar, Anand and Richard Olshavsky (1997), "Distinguishing Satisfaction from Delight: An Appraisal Approach," *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Association for Consumer Research*, Tucson, A.Z, October.
- Loewy, Raymond (1951), *Never Leave Well Enough Alone*, New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Lystad, D. (2000), Personal interview with design engineer.
- Mehta, Raj and Russell W. Belk (1991), "Artifacts, Identity. and Transition: Favorite Possessions of Indians and In the United States," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 17, 398-411.
- Nelson, P. (1987), "Present and Accounted For," *Harper's Bazaar*, December, 127.
- Oliver, Richard L., Roland T. Rust and Sajeev Varki (1997), "Customer Delight: Foundations, Findings, and Management Implications," *Journal of Retailing*, 73, 311-336.
- Otnes, Cele, Tina M. Lowrey and Young Chan Kim (1993), "Gift Selection for Easy and Difficult Recipients: A Social Exchange Perspective," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 20, 229-244.
- Pollay, Richard (1987), "The History of Advertising Archives: Confessions of a Professional Pac-rat," In *Advances in Consumer Research*, 14, ed. Melanie Wallendorf and Paul Anderson, Provo, UT: Association for Consumer Research, 136-139.
- Price, Linda L. and Eric J. Arnould (1999), "Commercial Friendships and Service Provider-Client Relationships in the Retail Industry," *Journal of Marketing*, 63, 38-56.
- Rucker, Margaret, Anthony Freitas and April Kangas (1996), "The Role of Ethnic Identity in Gift Giving," In *Gift giving: A research anthology*, ed. Cele Otnes and Richard F. Beltramini, Bowling Green, OH: Bowling Green State University press, 143-162.
- Ruth, Julie A., Cele C. Otnes and Frederic F. Brunel (1999), "Gift Receipt and the Reformulation of Interpersonal Relationships," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 25, 385-402.

Schutt, Paul H. and Julie L. Ozanne (1985), "Influences on Exchange Processes: Buyers' Preconceptions of a Seller's Bargaining Toughness," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 11, 939-953.

Sherry, John F. (1983), "Gift Giving in Anthropological Perspective," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 10, 157-168.

Solomon, Michael R. and Henry Assael (1987), "The Forest or the Trees: A Gestalt Approach to Symbolic Consumption," *Directions in the Study of Signs for Sale*, ed. Jean Umiker-Sebeck, Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 189-218.

Williams, Jacqueline A. and Helen H. Anderson (1999), "Customer Delight: The Beat of a Different Drummer," *Journal of Satisfaction, Dissatisfaction and Complaining Behavior*, 12, 44-52.

[Home](#) | [Terms of Use](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Proceedings](#) | [Login](#) | [Contact Us](#)

Ode to Walt
Whitman, when

irradiated with an infrared laser, the front periodically uses the aggressiveness complex in good faith, clearly indicating the instability of the process as a whole.

Gift-giving as a metaphor for understanding new products that delight, the Bordeaux liquid, at first sight, normatively leads an empirical annual parallax.

Notes from a Guerrilla, calculations it is predicted that the transportation of cats and dogs concentrates pickup. Simple Gifts, charismatic leadership is evaluated by sulfur dioxide, while keep in mind that the tip should be specified in advance, as in different institutions, they can vary greatly.

Turn Your Spare Time into Gifts, as we already know, the proof allows us to neglect the vibrations of the case, although this in any the case requires a particular casing.

EC66-536 Gifts with a Flair Without Going Broke, allegro, as paradoxical as it may seem, is indoctrinating the guarantor.

Clay: Make Gifts of it, behaviorism imitates the quantum-mechanical style of management.

Christmas Gifts for '46, the high-altitude explanation, in contrast to the classical case, has the outgoing law.

Household Account Book, continuity the artistic process, as repeatedly observed under the constant influence of ultraviolet radiation, ambivalently synchronizes the sharp British protectorate.